	1
1	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
3	DEPARTMENT 61 BEFORE HON. JOHN S. MEYER, JUDGE
4	
5	SEDLOCK,)
6	Plaintiff,)No. 37-2013-00035910-CU-MC-CTL
7) vs.
8	TIMOTHY BAIRD,
9	SUPERINTENDENT,)
10	Defendants.) TRIAL
11	
12	
13	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
14	May 20, 2013
15	
16	APPEARANCES:
17	
18	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: THE NATIONAL CENTER FOR LAW AND POLICY
19	BY: DEAN R. BROYLES, ESQ. 539 West Grand Avenue
20	Escondido, California 92025
21	ROBERT J. REYNOLDS
22	ATTORNEY AT LAW 12526 High Bluff Drive, Suite 300
23	San Diego, California 92130
24	ALLIANCE DEFENDING FREEDOM
25	BY: BRADLEY S. ABRAMSON, ESQ. 15100 N. 90th Street
26 27	Scottsdale, Arizona 85260
2 <i>1</i> 28	
∠ 0	

1	APPEARANCES (continued)	
2		
3	FOR THE DEFENDANTS:	BY: JACK M. SLEETH, JR., ESQ. - AND -
4		PAUL V. CARELLI, IV, ESQ.
5		2488 Historic Decatur Road, Suite 200
6		San Diego, California 92106
7		
8		COAST LAW GROUP LLP BY: DAVID A. PECK, ESQ.
9		1140 South Coast Hwy 101 Encintas, California 92024
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	Reported by:	Paula Rahn, CSR No. 11510
28	Liopot coa bj.	Court-Approved Reporter

1 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA; MONDAY, MAY 20, 2013; 9:07 A.M. 2 3 THE COURT: Good morning. 4 MR. PECK: Good morning, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Mr. Peck, you made it. MR. PECK: I did, Your Honor. 6 Thank you. 7 THE COURT: Are you ready? 8 MR. PECK: I am indeed. 9 THE COURT: May I have appearances, please. MR. BROYLES: Your Honor, Dean Broyles on behalf 10 11 of plaintiffs and petitioners, the Sedlocks, and their 12 children. With me is an associate attorney of the National Center for Law and Policy. 13 MR. REYNOLDS: Also, Rob Reynolds on behalf of 14 15 the same parties, same organization. 16 MR. SLEETH: Jack Sleeth appearing on behalf of 17 the school district defendants along with Paul Carelli. 18 With us at the table is the superintendent of schools, 19 Timothy Baird. 20 MR. PECK: Good morning, Your Honor. My name is David Peck. I represent the 21 2.2 intervenor, YES! Yoga for Encinitas Students. 23 THE COURT: Well, I've had some interesting 24 reading over the weekend. Let me just say that I thought 25 the briefs were well done, and the material I reviewed was It's an interesting case, to say the 26 well presented. 27 least. And I think Friday we discussed kind of ground

rules, and I think we're going to have opening statements

28

1 to start off. 2 Is there anything preliminarily before we begin? 3 I know the district had made an in limine motion with 4 regard to the expert testimony. I'm going to deny that 5 motion to exclude your expert. I think it would be 6 helpful within the parameters of what an expert does and doesn't do. I think that she will assist the trier of 7 fact in a somewhat limited way, but I think she should be 8 9 allowed to provide testimony to the Court. MR. BROYLES: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 11 THE COURT: Mr. Sleeth, anything further? 12 MR. SLEETH: No, Your Honor. 13 MR. BROYLES: Your Honor, just one last thing. I -- there's an attorney who's here with us who I'd -- if 14 15 your court allows, we'd like to have sit at the table with 16 us today. 17 THE COURT: All right. 18 MR. BROYLES: Just to assist. He's not going to 19 be speaking, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Sure. 21 MR. ABRAMSON: Good morning, Your Honor. 2.2 THE COURT: You want to state your name for the 23 record. 24 MR. ABRAMSON: Yes. Bradley S. Abramson from 25 Alliance Defending Freedom. 26 THE COURT: Anything else preliminarily? 27 MR. SLEETH: No, Your Honor. 28 THE COURT: All right. Let me just indicate a

couple things that you might want to address in your opening statement, just of couple of thoughts that I had from reading the material.

The first question, which might sound kind of basic, but I do have the question, and that is what is religion? And I don't want to lose sight of what we're here for.

I suppose when you refer to worship, one is free to worship whatever, whomever one wishes, and that can be virtually anything. I suppose some people are accused of worshipping a sports team. There are traditional religions and very untraditional religions, and I'm not sure what religion actually is.

And the other thought is that the benefits and objectives of religion may be the same for certain physical activity; a sense of well being, a sense of accomplishment, stress release, an appreciation of life. You know, a physical workout can achieve those objectives as can religion.

The other thought is a little more to the point, and I think that's the difference between western religion the -- I guess you'd call it the Judeo-Christian beliefs versus Eastern religion, which could be Hinduism and Buddhism. And I suppose the expert's going to elucidate the Court more on that.

The other thought that I had that I don't want to lose sight of is that other than 200 hours of physical education, which is mandated by the Education Code, the

Court can't direct the district as to the particular curriculum.

2.2

And I suppose you can discuss a philosophical way the differences between traditional P.E., whatever that is -- and I suppose that's running around a playground or playing a game -- as opposed to what some people might consider touchy-feely wellness. And I know that there is some dialog about youth sports and the traditional competition as supposed to everybody's a winner and everybody wins, which some people say is not teaching kids what real life is about.

And the Court isn't and can't get involved in establishing curriculum for the district other than what's mandated by the Education Code and, of course, by the State and Federal Constitution.

There's also been some talk about practicing yoga, whatever that is, and doing yoga. And I suppose you can practice religion. I don't know that you practice yoga. Maybe some people do. But it's perhaps nothing more than doing something like running or playing a game or doing yoga. I don't know that you necessarily have to practice something.

But the other question that I had that I assume will be answered, that is whether the Jois Foundation is religious. There's an issue about whether the yoga that is being done or practiced in the schools of this district is in any way religious.

But what apparently is the case is there is a

foundation that has given the school district a grant, and
there's some insinuation that that foundation is
religious. I don't know.

So those are just some thoughts the Court had
after reading the material that was prepared and
presented. And again, I want to commend counsel. I
thought it was well written, well researched, and it's

So Mr. Broyles, are you ready to proceed with an opening statement? And I hope I've been somewhat helpful.

MR. BROYLES: Yes, Your Honor. I've written down your questions.

THE COURT: Those are just some.

very helpful to have good material to review.

MR. BROYLES: So I will address many of those in the opening statement. When I'm done with my formal remarks, if I have failed to address anything that the Court would like to have addressed preliminarily before we put on the evidence, I can answer any questions.

THE COURT: Well, I don't intend to just sit up here like a potted plant. So, I mean, my objective is to get educated and make the best decision. So if I have a question, I will perhaps interrupt and get an answer.

MR. BROYLES: I appreciate that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I know.

MR. BROYLES: Your Honor, Counsel, parties, this case is fundamentally about religious freedom, a liberty that is protected again the tyranny of corrosive government power. As George Washington said, "I beg you

will be persuaded that no one would be more zealous than myself to establish effectual barriers against the horrors of spiritual tyranny. And every species of religious persecution, for you doubtless remember that I've often expressed my sentiment that every man conducting himself as a good citizen and being accountable to God alone for his religious opinions ought to be protected in worshipping the deity according to the dictates of his own conscience."

2.2

The consciences the petitioners are working so hard to protect in this case from the spiritual tyranny of the state, Your Honor, are the tender consciences of our youngest citizens, some of who are as young as five years old.

What will the evidence in this case show? Simply put, the evidence will clearly demonstrate that the Encinitas Union School District is teaching religion to young impressionable children in its care in the form of specifically Ashtanga yoga. And that as a result, the district has not been providing the mandatory minimum P.E. minutes required by California law to its students who, for very good reasons, for religious reasons, Your Honor, decide to opt out of the district's unconstitutional program.

Let me start today by telling you a brief story, a sad but true story.

There was once a religious organization with worldwide religious goals, which as part of its marketing

plan and strategy one day purchased access to more than 5,000 young and impressionable elementary school children. What was their goal? What was the goal of the religious organization? Their goal was to use the unsuspecting children as religious test subjects, as spiritual guinea pigs. Why? They wanted to develop a religious curriculum so that they could more easily spread their religious beliefs and practices to public school children across America and across the world.

2.2

And being quite smart, being quite clever, the organization camouflaged its religious designs by wrapping the whole religious program in the cloak of respectability, the cloak of science, by claiming the program had measurable physical and mental benefits and by acquiring the aura of academia by asserting that the study being done of these children was being done by respected universities.

How much did this religious organization's virtual restricted access to the young public school children cost? About a half a million dollars. But that's not all.

One of the universities studying the local religious elementary school program was coincidentally just last -- just the year before given a \$12 million grant. What for? Why? To form a religious center to promote the very same religious beliefs and practices being promoted in the local elementary school district.

But that's not all. Who gave the university the

money, who was it, to form the religious center? The very same billionaire couple that provided the money and impetus to form the very religious organization that gave the elementary school district the half-million dollars. Follow the money. And so we have come full circle to where we began and why we're here today.

2.2

Now, permit me to reveal the characters in our story, which is unfortunately, as I said earlier, all too true.

What is the name of the religious organization?

The K.P. Jois Foundation. What is the name of the religious center studying the children? The Contemplative Sciences Center at the University of Virginia. What is the name -- or I'm sorry. What is the name of the wealthy billionaire couple who gave the money to both the university and the Jois Foundation? Paul and Sonia Tudor Jones.

What was the religious activity being promoted by the foundation at the schools in the district? Ashtanga yoga, which is based primarily in Hinduism and Hindu beliefs and practices. And the evidence will show that Ashtanga yoga is inherently and pervasively religious.

And finally, Your Honor, what is the name of the school district that offered their children up as religious beta test subjects? The Encinitas Union School District. If you're skeptical about our story, I understand because I have not yet told you how the program is religious.

The evidence during this trial, Your Honor, will show that during the 2011 and 2012 school year, the Jois Foundation taught Ashtanga yoga in one EUSD school. It was maybe referred to later on as some type of pilot program.

THE COURT: When was that?

2.2

MR. BROYLES: 2011 to 2012, last full school year, Your Honor.

Not having received too much opposition from parents at that point, they decided to expand the program the following year districtwide. To that end, over the summer of 2012, the Encinitas Union School District was awarded a \$533,720 grant by the Jois Foundation not to teach P.E., not to teach yoga, not to teach any type of yoga, but specifically in the grant and MOU is required that the school teach Ashtanga yoga to all of its students.

The grant and memorandum of understanding created quite a cozy partnership -- and when I say "partnership," I'm not using my words, Your Honor, I'm using the words of the grant -- between the secular public school system and an unapologetically religious organization with clear religious goals.

And the grant obviously was not without strings attached. According to the terms of the agreement, EUSD schools couldn't just teach any physical fitness or stretching program or, for that matter, any type of yoga. It was mandated that they specifically teach, as I said

earlier, Ashtanga yoga by the terms of the agreement between the partners.

2.2

And the physical fitness program could not be taught by California credentialed P.E. teachers. Rather, it was mandated that the elementary yoga teachers in the district must be, quote, "certified yoga instructors," quote, "trained by Jois Foundation teachers."

The grant required the development of a comprehensive wellness and life skills curriculum which would include yoga life concepts. And when I say "quote," I mean these are their quotes, Your Honor, from the grant and MOU. They'd be teaching yoga life concepts. And it was built around, quote, "key themes of yoga instruction" that would be later taught not just in the P.E. courses on the mat, but by other teachers in the school district as they expanded the program in future years.

The goal of the new curriculum was to make it scalable specifically so that other school districts beyond EUSD could adopt the program for future expansion plans to elementary schools across the country.

So you're probably wondering what is Ashtanga yoga and how is it religious?

Your Honor, I submit that I'm not the expert. So many of the religious aspects of the program will be described later by Candy Brown. But I just want to briefly read the Court something out of a Jois brochure about Ashtanga yoga.

THE COURT: Mr. Sleeth, you've seen that?

1 MR. SLEETH: I have not seen it, and I'm not sure 2 that it's even relevant. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 MR. BROYLES: All right. 5 THE COURT: What is that, though? It's a brochure by the Jois -- by 6 MR. BROYLES: 7 Jois Yoga, Your Honor. If you want to see it before I talk about it. 8 9 THE COURT: No, that's all right. MR. BROYLES: About Ashtanga yoga, "'Ashtanga 10 Yoga' means 'eight limbed.' It is an ancient system that 11 12 can lead to liberation and greater awareness of our 13 spiritual potential. The eight limbs of Ashtanga Yoga can be described as eight disciplines. Of these, the third 14 15 limb" -- Your Honor, I'm going to have to change to my 16 reading glasses. The print's very small. I apologize. 17 Okay. "Ashtanga Yoga can be described as eight 18 disciplines. They are yama, niyama, asana, pranayama, pratyahara, dharana, dhyana, and samadhi." And I 19 20 apologize if I'm mispronouncing any of those names. 21 "Of these, the third limb, asana (yoga 2.2 postures), " and by "postures, " they mean the positions and 23 physical things that they do in yoga, with Ashtanga Yoga. So, "Of these, the third limb, asana (yoga postures), is 24 25 the most important for us to practice, and through it we 26 can understand the other limbs. Though in appearance an

external and physical discipline, through consistent

effort we find many layers, more and more subtle, which

27

28

need to be experienced directly and can lead to the" 1 2 I can wait, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: No. 4 MR. BROYLES: Okay. This print's so small, it's 5 hard for me to keep my place. 6 THE COURT: Are the postures you're referring to 7 the animal poses? Am I --MR. BROYLES: Your Honor, there's many postures. 8 9 Some have been renamed with other names as animal poses. THE COURT: I just want to make sure that we're 10 11 on the same page here. I think I understand that. But 12 the postures in -- that we're talking about are what has been referred to as the animal poses. Am I --13 MR. BROYLES: Yeah. The school district has 14 15 renamed some of them animal pose names. Some of them in 16 the original language were animal pose names already. 17 So... 18 I will continue, Your Honor. 19 "Though in appearance an external and physical 20 discipline, through consistent effort we find many layers, more and more subtle, which need to be experienced 21 2.2 directly and can lead to the experience of the last four 23 limbs." 24 And that's important Your Honor, "the last four 25 limbs." I'll explain those in a moment. 26 "Yama (restraints) and niyama (observances) 27 should be observed at all times, otherwise yoga asana 28 practice is reduced to a purely physical pursuit.

Pranayama (breath control) should only be taught after mastering asanas, when the nervous system is strengthened and prepared for more rigorous practice. The last four limbs are pratyahara (withdrawal of the senses), dharana (concentration), dhyana (meditation), and samadhi (union). These final four are considered 'internal limbs,' meaning that they arise spontaneously as a result of practice of the first four and lead to experiential spiritual knowledge.

2.2

"Through asana we can access higher levels of yoga and, over time, bring both the body and mind to a state of stability, a state of peace. With consistent practice of asanas, changes become apparent on many levels, physical and mental. A deep sense of contentment and inner peace arises, and it is then that we can begin to more clearly understand the other seven limbs of Ashtanga Yoga."

As I mentioned, Your Honor, you'll be hearing much more from our expert witness Candy Gunther Brown about what Ashtanga yoga is and why it is religious. But let me just mention and highlight a few things here, some of which were already touched on in what I read.

The evidence will show that Ashtanga yoga promotes and advances religion, including Hinduism, Buddhism, and Western Metaphysics. Of those, it primarily advances Hinduism. Yoga is primarily based in Hinduism, and the word "yoga" literally means yoked, which refers to the Hindu religious goal of achieving union with the

divine, also known as absorption into the universal.

2.2

I mentioned earlier I'd get back to the final four stages. The final stage and the ultimate goal of Ashtanga yoga is samadhi. By "samadhi," they mean absorption into the universal or union with the divine, Your Honor. If that is not an explicitly religious goal, I don't know what is.

THE COURT: What's the divine?

MR. BROYLES: Your Honor, I will have my expert talk about that. Certainly Hinduism is based more in polytheism, so there are many different Hindu gods. She'll testify as to which Hindu god they're referring when they refer to union with the divine.

It was brought -- I'm sorry. Ashtanga yoga, a modern day form of 2500-year-old classical Indian yoga was brought to the United States and popularized by Shri K. Pattabhi Jois, also known to his devotees as Guruji. And this brochure refers to him, Your Honor, as Guruji.

The PK Jois Foundation was named after Pattabhi Jois and was formed after he died in 2009. "Ashtanga" literally means eight limbed. The eight limbs of Ashtanga yoga are the moral codes or yama; self-purification and study, niyama; posture, asana; breath control, which is called pranayama; withdrawing the mind from the senses, pratyahara; concentration, dharana; and deep meditation, dhyana; and absorption into the universal or union with the divine.

Now, as I said earlier and I just want to

emphasize one more time, by absorption into the universal, which is the ultimate goal of Ashtanga yoga, Ashtangis believe it was their true inner self -- I'm sorry -- that their true inner self is not really just human, but it's divine or is god.

2.2

And they also believe that there's this god out there in the universe, which I'll call the big S self.

There's the little S self inside, and there's a big S self floating out there somewhere, Your Honor.

So the goal of Ashtanga yoga practice is to transcend your physicality and merge your small S divine inner self with a big S divine self in the universe. This is what samadhi or absorption into universal or union with the divine means.

And just because it's not common for us to understand these types of ideas with our Judeo-Christian background here in America and our world view here doesn't make them any less religious.

Now, as you can see, the Ashtangis have a very unique view of who God is and who man is, which are, for example, very different, as I said, from our Judeo-Christian world view here in the United States. Where it was taught in practice in our country from our Judeo-Christian heritage that God and man are not merged, but they are, in fact, distinct beings. There's a creator/creation distinction. Man and God are not the same.

"So what?" you say. What has actually been

taught in the classroom that is religious? That's what I 1 2 really want to know. That's what we all really want to So let me tell you a little bit about what's been 3 4 taught in the classroom. 5 THE COURT: So the objective of Ashtanga yoga is 6 to achieve a unity of self with some divine god? 7 MR. BROYLES: Yes, Your Honor, that's basically 8

it. And again, I'm going to allow my expert to elucidate more on that concept.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: And that's what's being taught? MR. BROYLES: Yes, Your Honor.

Until concerned parents complained, the Ashtanga tree with all eight branches that I've gone over at least three times already was on the wall of many of the classrooms early in the school year. Until parents complained -- and the Sanskrit names were on the tree. Until parents complained, the Jois trained Ashtanga yoga teachers were teaching the students to say "namaste" to each other, which is a religiously laden Hindu greeting that, in essence, means the divine in me bows to the divine in you. Often, namaste is accompanied by praying hands and a bow.

If you bow to someone even without saying "namaste," it's my understanding that it means the divine in me bows to the divine in you even if you don't say the word "namaste."

> THE COURT: Namaste?

Namaste. I'm probably saying it MR. BROYLES:

wrong, Your Honor.

2.2

THE COURT: And the kids were doing this?

MR. BROYLES: Yes. They were taught to say "namaste" to each other until parents started complaining.

THE COURT: And put their hands together like they're in pray?

MR. BROYLES: My understanding is that that was also done. And you'll see the -- what I'm calling -- for lack of a better term, they call it putting your palms together in their declarations to downplay the religious significance, but it's actually a sign of prayer or, you know, divine acknowledgment, Your Honor.

Now, even after -- I'm sorry. Until parents complained, Jois-trained teachers had students coloring mandalas, which are circular pieces of artwork sacred to Hindus and Buddhists and used in meditation. Even after the parents complained, the curriculum being developed taught children that they needed to get in touch with their, quote, "inner selves," the small S self, Your Honor, and that the practices in the curriculum would help, quote, "bring the inner spirit of the child to the surface."

In spite of parents' complaints, the Jois-trained teachers continued teaching the Ashtanga yoga primary series of poses called the surya namaskara salutation, also known as Opening Sequence A, and there's also an Opening Sequence B.

But the whole purpose of this surya namaskara as

taught by Patthabi Jois and as passed on to his son and grandson now, Sharif Jois, is to worship the solar deity Surya, who is a Hindu solar deity. And the Jois -- the brochure that I've been reading out of, Your Honor, shows the Opening Sequence A, the sun salutation, on the top of their brochure that I'll talk more about later in other exhibits.

2.2

In spite of the parents' complaints, the Jois-trained Ashtanga yoga teachers continue to teach the lotus position, not criss-cross applesauce, and offered extra credit if the children put their pointer fingers and thumbs together in round mudras believed to channel energy while meditating.

Now, Your Honor, if the children were really just doing P.E., I have a big question about why putting their finger and pinkies -- sorry -- their finger and thumbs together was important. In some classes, it was -- the kids received extra credit if they did that. And this is called a yana mudra, Your Honor. And Ms. Candy Brown will be talking about it later. A yana mudra is believed to channel spiritual energy. That's the purpose of the yana mudra.

And many, many more things will be laid out by our expert witness. The district has -- and many more were laid out in our brief, also, Your Honor. The district has publicly stated many different things about the program, like the program is not religious, period. In other words, as instituted and intended, there's no

religious content at all and no religious teaching at all.

That claim has no merit, Your Honor.

2.2

They've also said that the program has been stripped of religion or what they call cultural elements or cultural dimensions. And when Westerners describe Eastern religious practice as cultural, they're trying to say that those practices are merely spiritual and they're not really religious.

And in the sense of the Judeo-Christian, Your Honor, they may not be religious from our understanding, but they definitely are spiritual. And spirituality includes religion.

The district is in control -- they've also said that the district is in control of the curriculum and the teachers, falsely implying that the Jois Foundation has little to nothing to do with the program.

Parents whose children opt -- they've also said, and now they're changing their tune on this, the parents whose children opt out of yoga won't get their full P.E. minutes fulfilled at school. That's what they told parents for months when they were complaining in the fall, right? Because, as they explained, yoga is approximately half of the P.E. minutes, and the district does not have the resources to provide more P.E. to the kids who opted out, okay? That was what the district was telling parents for months. And parents were not having their kids receive 200 minutes of P.E. no matter what the district says, Your Honor. It's just simply not true.

1 THE COURT: How did they get 200 minutes of P.E. 2 before 2011? 3 MR. BROYLES: Your Honor, you'll have to ask the 4 district about that. But my understanding, it was a 5 combination of karate programs and traditional P.E. Oftentimes the classroom teacher would take the kids out 6 7 to the playground and do active participatory sports. through various programs, they met the requirements. 8 9 Does that answer your question, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yeah. I mean, isn't -- doesn't 10 11 karate have its roots in religion, some -- shinto or 12 something? 13 MR. BROYLES: I'm not here today to talk about karate, Your Honor. 14 15 I know. I know. THE COURT: 16 MR. BROYLES: But some people allege that it 17 does, but that's not the issue before the Court. 18 THE COURT: I know. I know. MR. BROYLES: Now, the very latest thing the 19 20 district has done just in the last few weeks before trial 21 has been to suddenly assert that all district students are now receiving yoga over and above the required 200 P.E. 2.2 23 minutes every ten days, but this is nothing but 24 last-minute desperate sophistry because they knew they 25 were caught, Your Honor. 26 The evidence will show that in making these and other inaccurate and self-serving claims, the district 27 28 desperately does not want the public or this Court to peek behind the curtain and see what's really going on. But we must look behind the curtain and understand exactly what is going on.

2.2

It's our duty, Your Honor, to follow the Constitution. The changes that the district has made to its yoga program since parents started complaining in the fall of 2012 are cosmetic changes only and do not fundamentally alter the basic religious nature of the program, which remains anchored in Ashtanga yoga.

Ashtanga yoga is still being taught to children at district schools as young as five years old the way that Pattabhi Jois taught it, the way that he taught the teachers who will be testifying later to teach taught it. And should we be surprised, should we be amazed that religion is being taught in this circumstance? Not really.

A half-million dollars was given by the Jois Foundation precisely so that Ashtanga yoga can be beta-tested on the kids and proven with purportedly scientific studies so that the Jois Foundation could use the curriculum and studies in turn to spread the gospel of Ashtanga yoga worldwide.

The now deceased Pattabhi Jois, who brought
Ashtanga to Encinitas decades ago, would be indeed very
proud. If the foundation formed in his honor to carry on
his religious beliefs and practices succeeds here, Your
Honor, his missionary goals would have been fulfilled. He
can rest in peace.

Yet this case is not about whether we like or dislike yoga, whether yoga has health benefits, nor whether other forms of yoga that are taught and practiced in the United States are religious or not religious, as delineated in the intervenor's brief.

2.2

This case is only about whether Ashtanga yoga, which involves religious beliefs and practices, may be taught to young and impressionable children in the public schools.

Once you've heard all the evidence, Your Honor, the petitioners will be asking this Honorable Court to order the district to suspend its religious Ashtanga yoga program and order EUSD to comply with the law regarding mandatory P.E. minutes.

Now, that concludes my formal remarks, Your Honor. I just want to touch briefly on some of your questions.

I'm a little loathe to even begin to answer the question "What is religion?" even though I have some background in it, Your Honor.

THE COURT: That was kind of a rhetorical question.

MR. BROYLES: Yeah. But let me just say from my perspective, from kind of a common sense layman attorney perspective, religion is about ultimate beliefs about who God is and who man is and about our position in the universe. It often deals with comprehensive world views.

Often Hinduism is misunderstood and has been

called in some places in America a philosophy or a world view. But Hinduism, as you'll hear from Ms. Brown, is actually a world religion with specific religious beliefs and practices that specifically inform Ashtanga yoga.

2.2

Now, as you were asking also questions about the concept of, you know, how we define religion, oftentimes people who are following Eastern religious beliefs and practices like Hinduism, like Buddhism, like Jainism, especially Westerners here in the United States, they don't like to call their beliefs or practices that they're engaging in religious. And why? Because they would rather call their beliefs and practices spiritual or philosophical.

And the reason that is, Your Honor -- and you'll hear from our expert witness -- is because many Westerners now object to the Judeo-Christian biblical world view that says that we have a God who shows us how to live and has prescriptions for how we live.

So many people who rejected Judeo-Christian world view would rather not be seen as religious because our culture brings in all the baggage of what people see negative about those Judeo-Christian world views.

And so it's convenient to call it spiritual, but don't let the euphemism "spiritual" fool the Court. When we say -- use the word "spiritual" in the context of Ashtanga yoga and Hinduism and Buddhism and Eastern religions, what we're really saying is religious, and the evidence will show that.

1 Now, you asked a question about whether the 2 physical can achieve the same objectives. A physical 3 practice can achieve some of the same objectives as 4 religion. You're absolutely right, Your Honor. There are 5 many physical benefits to all sorts of physical activity, whether it's running, lifting weights, yoga, and there's 6 7 whole -- Pilates, I mean, step aerobics. We can name dozens and dozens of physical practices that when you're 8 done doing them, you may feel less stressed and more calm and more peaceful. 10

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: I think a sense of discipline and -there's a lot to be gained from -- from both, and the objective is the same.

MR. BROYLES: Yeah, there are -- there are some similar benefits that are achieved. And so I wouldn't argue that with the Court. And I just want to make clear that neither I nor the petitioners are against physical exercise or against the relief of endorphins in the body that leads to those good feelings of calmness, peace, less stress, and euphoria. Those are good things and those are things we need in this fast-paced world that we live in. So we're not objecting to the physical practice.

And so you imply perhaps that can yoga be just physical versus religious? And that's a very good question. And I'm going to let my expert answer that question, okay?

But really the question isn't whether certain other watered-down types of yoga are religious or not

religious, Your Honor. The question before the Court is what is Ashtanga yoga, is Ashtanga yoga being taught in the school district, and is Ashtanga yoga religious, okay? So I want to focus the Court on the issue before the Court.

The issue -- you asked the question is the Jois Foundation religious? I work with and form 501(c)(3)s. To my understanding, the Jois Foundation is not formed as a religious organization in the State of California. So on paper, they're not a religious nonprofit.

But I would ask the Court to keep an open mind on that issue and look at the substance, not the form. And by the end of the trial, you'll hear much testimony about the nature of the Jois Foundation, and I believe you'll reasonably conclude that they are indeed, in substance, a religious organization that operates with religious goals.

Now, on the issue of whether the Court can direct the district to do a particular P.E., I agree with Your Honor. You cannot order them to do any type of P.E. But you can order them to not do a type of P.E. that may violate the 1st Amendment.

Thank you, Your Honor

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Broyles.

Mr. Sleeth.

2.2

MR. SLEETH: Lawyers are warned that we're not supposed to argue in opening statement, and I heard some interesting arguments here. This is an issue that raises some concepts that bleed together the facts and the issues

of law so that argument is almost imperative.

2.2

I would start by saying that the evidence is going to show that the Jois Foundation did not buy access to the students at the Encinitas School District. Jois provided some money by way of a grant to put together a curriculum to produce a wellness program, a health and wellness program, that was focused on physical activity, and it's done in conjunction with a study to make a determination whether the particular kind of low-impact, noncompetitive physical conduct of yoga has health and mental benefits for students. That's the essence of the study. That's the essence of what we're going to find out.

I don't think that it matters whether Jois is religious or not, and I don't think we'll get much evidence on whether it is or not as long as we've got a barrier up. The issue is not really whether we're teaching Ashtanga yoga. The issue is whether the curriculum that we are teaching, whatever we call it, violates the Constitution or fails to provide the number of minutes.

The evidence is going to show that the curriculum that the school district is providing does not have any Sanskrit language in it, that the names of each of the poses are in English. There is no belief system that has been added to the physical conduct that the students believe in. They focus their mind on breathing, on positions, on the alignment of their body, and on the --

this low-impact, noncompetitive physical conduct that builds strength, balance, and coordinates breathing. And they believe that the science of this will show that it has benefits psychologically.

2.2

The Court asked the question and I have asked myself many times what is religion? I know that the courts across the country have struggled with a definition for religion. I put the dictionary definition in my brief, and now I intrude into argument.

I think that the definition of religion has to include some component of belief. I don't believe that the Court can look at a physical motion, whatever it is, and determine that that motion is religious without some additional information informing you that that movement is of a religious nature. The movements --

THE COURT: But isn't the test an objective test as a five- or six-year-old child? It's not looking at it from the perspective of someone who's been educated and --

MR. SLEETH: That's exactly the test that has come down to us from the Supreme Court and the Ninth Circuit on testing constitutional validity in education, is an objective test of a reasonable student reasonably informed at that circumstance looking at that curriculum.

THE COURT: Yeah. Not you and me or somebody who's a little more versed in religion. It's whether a child in the class would be educated toward religion in some fashion.

MR. SLEETH: Or away from --

THE COURT: Whatever religion is. 1 2 MR. SLEETH: Or away from religion. 3 THE COURT: Exactly. 4 MR. SLEETH: We can neither advance religion nor 5 inhibit it. We mustn't become entangled -- as a 6 governmental entity, we mustn't become entangled in that 7 religious question. So if we advance religion, we've violated the Constitution. If we inhibit religion, we've 8 9 violated the Constitution. THE COURT: Hypothetically, if a child has a 10 11 particular -- say a Judeo-Christian belief, this 12 particular program can't act negatively toward that 13 belief. You follow what I'm --14 15 MR. SLEETH: Exactly. We can't say anything or 16 do anything that would harm --17 THE COURT: One way or the other. 18 MR. SLEETH: One way or the other. It's got to be completely neutral. 19 THE COURT: 20 It's got to be nonreligious completely. 21 MR. SLEETH: But the example that I would use is if we have a Muslim child in class who knows a little bit 2.2 23 about Christianity and the struggle between Islam and 24 Christianity, if we ask that student to make the sign of 25 the cross, that physical motion is not religious without 26 some belief behind it. The child at least needs to know that the sign of the cross is associated with 27 28 Christianity, that there has to be some information to

come with the physical movement.

2.2

And that's what the Court is going to find that Encinitas School District has completely removed. There is no belief taught about any of the movements that the children engage in so that a reasonable child looking at this, not a child that's overly sensitive or a child that's not sensitive to the issues at all, but a reasonable child with information looking at this will not be persuaded toward or away from a religion, but will feel a better connection to their physical — to their self, to their body and to their breathing and to their balance and to their strength.

THE COURT: But if, as Mr. Broyles said, there is a course of instruction that teaches a child that you can become divine, that could be arguably the antithetical to the Judeo-Christian belief in a different kind of a divine entity.

MR. SLEETH: We're getting pretty esoteric there.

THE COURT: We are.

MR. SLEETH: Jesus walks with me and talks with me and tells me I am His own so I may be one with Christ.

THE COURT: Well, now you're --

MR. SLEETH: So it isn't necessary that being one with the divine is necessarily antithetical to Christian religion.

THE COURT: I don't know. Once you start talking about the divine, you get into a difficult area.

MR. SLEETH: Well, the -- absolutely. Once you

start talking about the divine, you do get into 1 2 complications that still may not be entangling, advancing, 3 inhibiting religion for the purpose of a Lemon test. 4 what I'm telling the Court at this point is that we have 5 extracted whatever was in Ashtanga yoga out of that. 6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 That is not there. You're going to MR. SLEETH: 8 find a health and wellness program focused upon the mental 9 and physical benefits of these low-impact movements partly because it improves the students' attention, partly 10 11 because it reduces -- it's thought to reduce bullying. 12 has a number of different purposes. 13 THE COURT: So we're not going to just peek behind the curtain, you're going to throw the curtain 14 15 open? 16 MR. SLEETH: You can have the curtain. 17 THE COURT: All right. 18 MR. SLEETH: Come on down. We'd be happy to have the Court come on down and see everything we're doing. 19 20 THE COURT: That's absolutely going to happen. The 200-minutes issue, I'm not in a 21 MR. SLEETH: 2.2 position to say about what somebody else said at some 23 other time. We have produced evidence, declarations under 24 penalty of perjury, from many people. We will explain 25 more fully if the Court needs it. There is 200 minutes 26 being delivered to every student.

28

THE COURT:

27

MR. SLEETH: In a ten-day period. That is 100

200 minutes in what --

I	1		
1	minutes every week, five-day week, five-day week, ten		
2	days, 200 minutes, is what the Ed Code says. And we are		
3	providing that. The yoga program was additional minutes		
4	on top of that so that the students who opted out of yoga		
5	may have gone into a nonphysical class, but they didn't		
6	lose their 200 minutes.		
7	Now, the Court asked what the 200 minutes		
8	consists of, and we'll have to put somebody on. I don't		
9	know exactly. But I have an understanding that the		
10	homeroom teachers take the students out, they walk them		
11	around, they play ball games, they may play catch, they		
12	may just go on walks. But they get the physical activity,		
13	and it's calculated out so that every student gets their		
14	200 minutes in a ten-day span.		
15	Thank you, Your Honor.		
16	THE COURT: That's it?		
17	MR. SLEETH: Unless the Court has questions.		
18	THE COURT: No, no. That's fine. Thank you,		
19	Mr. Sleeth.		
20	Mr. Broyles, you ready to call your first		
21	witness?		
22	MR. PECK: Your Honor, with all due respect		
23	THE COURT: I'm sorry.		
24	MR. PECK: I'm not a potted plant either.		
25	THE COURT: Sorry, Mr. Peck.		
26	MR. PECK: No problem. I promised the Court I'd		
27	be brief in my opening remarks, and I will endeavor to		
28	keep that promise.		

Hinduism is a religion. Buddhism is a religion. Christianity is a religion. Yoga is not a religion. It's unquestionable that some folks practice yoga as part of their religious beliefs or as part of their religious practice.

2.2

In Southern California, in San Diego, and in Encinitas, yoga is primarily practiced for health reasons; for physical health, for mental health. I stand here before the Court on behalf of more than 150 students enrolled in the Encinitas Union School District. I represent those kids and their families.

And we submit that the evidence during this trial will show that the yoga taught in the school district is not religious. It is not religion. The United States Constitution and the California Constitution are not violated in any regard by the maintenance of that program.

THE COURT: Is it called Ashtanga yoga?

MR. PECK: It's not, Your Honor.

THE COURT: It's not.

MR. PECK: It's not called Ashtanga yoga. It's interesting Mr. Broyles brings up the fact that in the MOU, an initial document, before there was any yoga practiced in the school district whatsoever, there was a memorandum of understanding between the school district and Jois Foundation, which referenced once to Ashtanga yoga.

The evidence will show that the curriculum, what's actually been implemented and what's actually

performed in the classrooms does not even use the word "Ashtanga." There's no reference to the divine. There's no reference to belief. There's no reference to spirit. There's no reference to creator.

2.2

The students, including my son who are in the yoga program in the district, can think about anything they want during the program. They can maintain any beliefs. They can dream about whatever they want. They can think about pizza while they're doing a pose, while they're doing a doggy pose.

The mere fact or the suggestion that the posing of one's body necessarily engages in religion, necessarily means religious practice means that we need to ban kids from folding their hands, from bowing their heads, from closing their eyes lest they be accused of somehow fostering or promoting religion in the schools.

The families that I represent stand steadfastly against the promotion for the inhibition of any religion whatsoever in the schools. What they see, though, is a program that's designed to eliminate bullying, to eliminate stress, to help with the hyper competitiveness, to help with obesity. And, in fact, Your Honor the yoga program is working. Parents, students, teachers alike have raved about it.

The plaintiffs wear their religion on their sleeve in the case. All the declarations submitted by the plaintiffs and their witnesses make it very clear they throw the curtain open, so to speak, on their own

religious beliefs, and they are devout Christians. And that's fine. My clients include devout Christians and atheists, folks who have no religious beliefs whatsoever.

2.2

The only thing that my clients truly believe is that this is a good program and that it's constitutional. It passes the Lemon test. Mr. Sleeth brought up the Lemon test, the objective standard. I didn't hear Mr. Broyles make much reference to that. But the Lemon test is clearly what applies here. From an objective perspective, is what's going on in the yoga classroom in the Encinitas School District, is it advancing religion? Is it inhibiting religion?

We don't want to hear and it makes no difference, it makes no sense, it's irrelevant, in other words, Your Honor, what took place when the yoga program was instituted in September or August of 2012. As with any new curriculum, there were fits and starts. There were perhaps missteps taken. What matters is what's taking place in the yoga classroom today.

There's no way plaintiffs can meet their burden of proof in showing objectively, not through a subjective skewed fanatical religious prism, but objectively, that the program that's being performed in the school district today --

THE COURT: From the objective perspective of a student.

MR. PECK: Correct, Your Honor, from the objective perspective of a student.

1 THE COURT: I think everybody agrees that's the 2 test. 3 MR. PECK: Absolutely, Your Honor. And absent influence from the parents -- I read some of the 4 5 declaration that were submitted by plaintiffs, and there were declarations suggesting that kids were being picked 6 7 on because they were pulled out of class. Well, were they being picked on because they 8 9 chose not to do yoga or were they being picked on because they were being taken out of class by the parents? This 10 11 is not a program which does anything other than promote health and wellness both psychologically and physically. 12 13 And any student from grade kindergarten up through 6th grade -- it's an elementary school district -- would think 14 15 nothing other -- think nothing otherwise unless their parents told them that they are somehow engaging in 16 17 religious practice by bending over and stretching and 18 breathing. 19 This is a great program, Your Honor. My clients 20 urge the Court not to strip it from them, plaintiffs 21 cannot meet the burden of proof here, and this program should survive. 2.2 23 Thank you. 24 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Peck. 25 All right. That concludes the opening 26 statements. 27 Mr. Broyles, you ready to proceed?

MR. BROYLES: Yes, Your Honor. I've got binders

28

1	with exhibits in it that I'll be going through with the
2	witnesses. I have one for the Court I'd like to give the
3	Court and put on the witness stand, if that's okay.
4	THE COURT: Sure.
5	You want to take a five-minute break or you want
6	to
7	MR. BROYLES: Yeah, that would be fine, Your
8	Honor.
9	THE COURT: I mean, it's up to you. This might
10	be a good time if you want to get
11	Who's your first witness?
12	MR. BROYLES: Timothy Baird.
13	THE COURT: Under 776?
14	MR. BROYLES: Yes, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: All right. Let's take a short
16	recess, then. Then you can get set up.
17	Mr. Broyles, where are you going to put the
18	binders?
19	MR. BROYLES: I can give Your Honor one and put
20	one on the witness stand.
21	THE COURT: Okay, sure.
22	(Recess.)
23	THE COURT: All right. We're back on the record.
24	Mr. Broyles, you ready to proceed?
25	MR. BROYLES: Yes, Your Honor. The first witness
26	we call is Timothy Baird.
27	THE CLERK: Thank you, sir. Would you please
28	have a seat in the witness stand.

1 Sir, would you please state your name for the 2 record and spell both your first and your last name. THE WITNESS: My name is Dr. Timothy Baird. 3 4 And spell my last name? 5 THE CLERK: First and last. THE WITNESS: First name, T-i-m-o-t-h-y; last 6 7 name is B-a-i-r-d. 8 THE CLERK: Thank you. 9 TIMOTHY BAIRD, 10 11 having been called by the plaintiffs, was first duly sworn and testified as follows: 12 13 MR. BROYLES: Your Honor, just for the record, 14 15 before I start with my examination of Mr. Baird, I would 16 just like for the record to state that the majority, if 17 not all of our exhibits, we've stipulated to the 18 foundation and admissibility. THE COURT: All right. 19 20 MR. BROYLES: So rather than waste a lot of time 21 going through the litany of questions, I'll ask the witness if they've seen the document before. But beyond 2.2 23 that, I won't go into --THE COURT: Just so that the clerk knows what's 24 in it and what's not, just make sure you reference the 25 26 exhibit, give it a number. And I'll say, "Any objection?" 27 And Mr. Sleeth, you're going to say, "No 28 objection, and then it will come in; right?

1 MR. SLEETH: Yes. 2 THE COURT: Just so that the clerk has a record 3 and then knows what it is and what the number is. 4 MR. BROYLES: Your Honor, can we just clarify the 5 stipulation now for the record so I can move quickly 6 through this stuff. 7 THE COURT: I don't care. Sure. 8 MR. SLEETH: I'm going to object to the 9 admissibility of the newspaper article. Everything else comes in. 10 11 THE COURT: All right. 12 MR. BROYLES: I'm fine with that at this point, 13 I'll lay a foundation later. Your Honor. THE COURT: Well, I'm not sure that's going to 14 work. 15 16 MR. BROYLES: Only on the newspaper article. 17 That's only the thing we disagree on, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 MR. BROYLES: So stipulated. 20 MR. REYNOLDS: I'm sorry. He spoke softly, so 21 maybe you didn't hear it. 2.2 THE COURT: He did speak softly. 23 MR. SLEETH: I spoke softly? 24 THE COURT: Yes. 25 MR. SLEETH: I agree to the foundation of and 26 will make no objection to all the documents that are district documents or district e-mails. There is a 27 28 newspaper article in there, I think, from the New York

1 I don't believe it's admissible on the basis of Times. 2 relevance. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 MR. BROYLES: The article is from Seaville, Your 5 Honor, and we can deal with that issue later. 6 THE COURT: I'll deal with that issue separately. 7 But just so that the clerk has a record and knows, just 8 indicate the document, the exhibit number, and the minutes 9 will reflect that it's in evidence. MR. BROYLES: All right. So stipulated. 10 11 THE COURT: All right. And that's in -- I've got a couple of binders here. 12 13 Yours are the white binder? MR. BROYLES: Yes, Your Honor. We will primarily 14 15 be using the one that's marked with our name and USD on 16 it. There's a separate Yes! binder. I think you have the 17 correct one. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Good. 19 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION 21 BY MR. BROYLES: Good morning, Mr. Baird. 2.2 Q 23 Good morning. Α 24 Mr. Baird, you serve as the superintendent of the Encinitas Union School District; correct? 25 26 Α Yes, I do. And as the superintendent, you're in charge of 27 28 all operations of the school district; is that right?

1 Α That's correct. 2 And you answer directly to the board of 0 3 directors; is that correct? 4 Α Yes. 5 So as far as executive authority and Q 6 accountability in the district, the buck stops with you. Is that a fair statement? 7 8 That would be correct. Α 9 Okay. I'm going to ask you a few questions about 0 the Jois Foundation grant. 10 11 The Jois Foundation gave USD \$533,720 for a 12 health and wellness program that included yoga 13 instruction; correct? Α 14 Yes. 15 And we'll get into more specifics of the grant Q 16 later, but I want to ask you a few questions about the 17 level of control the district had over that specific 18 program. All right? 19 Α Okay. 20 Okay. Now, you have said publicly that the 21 district is in charge of all aspects of the yoga program; is that correct? 2.2 23 Α That's correct. And by that you mean that the district maintained 24 Q 25 complete control of the curriculum that would be taught in 26 the yoga classes; is that right? 27 Α Yes. 28 By "district control," you also meant that the Q

district maintained control over the teachers that would 1 2 be teaching yoga to the students; is that right? 3 Α Yes. 4 Now, by stating that the district was in charge, 5 did you mean that the district was in charge of any other aspects of the yoga program? 6 7 I'm -- we're in charge of all aspects of the 8 program. 9 Just to be specific, beyond teachers, the 0 teachers that I mentioned, and beyond the curriculum, were 10 11 there any other aspects of the yoga program that you would contend that the district maintained control over? 12 I'm not sure what you're alluding to beyond the 13 14 teachers and the program. 15 THE COURT: Mr. Broyles, the question is a little 16 vague in the sense that is your question did he say this or is what he said a fact? 17 18 MR. BROYLES: My question is I have his public statements. And I'm asking if beyond his public 19 20 statements, was there any other aspects of control that the district had over the program. 21 22 THE WITNESS: We control the program. I'm not 23 sure what you're asking. 24 BY MR. BROYLES: 25 So it would be your contention that you -- the Q 26 district has ultimate control over all aspects of the yoga 27 program?

28 A Yes.

Okay. And that would include the teachers? 1 0 2 Α Yes. 3 The curriculum? Q 4 Α Yes. 5 The budget? Q 6 Α Yes. 7 And any other aspect that we could imagine; is Q 8 that correct? 9 That's correct. Α Now, you told a parent in an e-mail when the 10 Q 11 controversy arose over the yoga program that the district 12 had weekly teacher training meetings with the yoga 13 instructors; is that correct? Α That's correct. 14 15 Is that true? Q Okay. 16 That statement is true. Α Okay. So someone in the district would meet --17 Q 18 as the program was being implemented in the fall of 2012, someone in the district was regularly meeting with the 19 20 yoga teachers; right? 21 Correct. Α And that's to show them how to teach? 2.2 Is that --0 23 what was the purpose of the meeting? 24 Well, there were multiple purposes for those Α They still continue. Part of it is curriculum 25 meetings. 26 development. We are continuously building the curriculum as we go and adapting and making changes. And part of it 27 28 is to ensure that the teachers are instructing in a

consistent fashion. So some of it is staff development. 1 2 Q Okay. 3 And those meetings continue. 4 THE COURT: Mr. Broyles, could you maybe back up 5 and find out who the teachers are. That's something I 6 didn't quite understand. MR. BROYLES: Your Honor, I have a separate line 7 of questioning about that, so I will definitely address 8 9 that if you can wait. THE COURT: Sure. 10 MR. BROYLES: Is that okay? 11 12 THE COURT: That's all right. 13 MR. BROYLES: Okay. Thank you. 14 BY MR. BROYLES: 15 So you mentioned curriculum development in those 16 meetings; right? Curriculum development and staff development. 17 18 And by "staff," you mean training of the yoga teachers; right? 19 20 Α Yes. 21 Now, who met regularly with those teachers in Q 2.2 those weekly meetings? 23 Α Primarily, my assistant superintendent, Dr. David 24 Miyashiro. 25 0 Did you attend any of those meetings, sir? 26 Α I did. How many of those meetings approximately between 27 28 the beginning of the school year in 2012 until today did

you personally attend? 1 2 I've probably been to four or five. 3 Okay. And was there any particular reason that 4 you attended four or five of those meetings? 5 As I do for all curriculum development and staff Α 6 development, I -- that's part of my job. 7 Was part of the reason to work on standardizing 8 how the yoga teachers taught Ashtanga yoga as a result of 9 parent complaints? MR. SLEETH: Objection; lacks foundation. 10 11 THE COURT: Overruled on that ground. I think it 12 is a little vague, though. I mean, I'm not sure what 13 parent complaints you're --14 MR. BROYLES: Let me back up. 15 BY MR. BROYLES: 16 In the fall of 2012, sometime in late September, 0 17 October, November, parents started complaining about the yoga program; isn't that correct? 18 That's correct. 19 Α 20 And so when parents started complaining, to deal 21 with the parent complaints, what did you do? 2.2 Well, usually we would try to meet with the 23 parents. We would explain the program. Ideally, we would 24 get them to come observe a program because some parents 25 who had concerns after they saw the program realized that 26 it was a physical fitness activity, and they were generally happy with the program after seeing it 27 28 firsthand. Those were our usual responses to complaints.

1 Okay. Did you see versions of the curriculum 2 personally as it was being developed? 3 Α Yes. 4 And versions of that curriculum was discussed in 5 some of those meetings between the yoga teachers and 6 Mr. Miyashiro --7 Dr. Miyashiro. Α -- Dr. Miyashiro and yourself? The curriculum 8 9 was discussed in those meetings; right? Yes, it was. 10 Α 11 When you discussed the curriculum, copies of curriculum were at some of those meetings; correct? 12 13 Yes. Α And so it's fair to say that you saw some of the 14 0 15 early versions of curriculum; right? 16 Α Yes. So if I showed you an early version of the 17 18 curriculum let's say that was on the district's website in November of 2012, you would recognize that; right? 19 20 Α Yes. 21 And if I showed you a newer version of the 0 curriculum that I received in the last several weeks from 2.2 23 the district, you'd recognize that, also; right? 24 Α Yes. 25 Q Now, let's talk -- strike that. 26 At some point after the parental complaints 27 started about the yoga program, were there any special 28 meetings called to discuss the parent complaints between

1 yourself, the assistant superintendent, and the yoga 2 teachers? 3 I don't think there were any special meetings 4 called to specifically discuss that. I think it was 5 discussed as part of our discussion on weekly meetings. Okay. And in approximately how many of those 6 7 meetings do you recall the issue of parent complaints being discussed? 8 9 Perhaps one. Α I --10 Q Okay. 11 Α Yeah. And as a result of parent complaints, did you 12 Q 13 make any changes to the curriculum? Early on, initially, we -- we made a conscious 14 15 decision to remove some cultural context. So, for 16 instance, we made sure that the Sanskrit was not used. We 17 made sure that the poses were put in kid-friendly 18 language. And that was primarily what was addressed. Any other changes that you recall being made to 19 20 the program, sir, besides those you've mentioned? 21 I think those were the primary ones that I can Α 2.2 recall. 23 Okay. So I'm going to address his Honor's 0 question, and hopefully it won't be too repetitive with 24 25 the questions I'll ask in a moment. 26 But the teachers that you and Mr. -- the assistant superintendent were working with in those 27

meetings, were they certified yoga instructors?

28

```
1
               Certified by -- yes, they're certified yoga
 2
      instructors.
 3
               Okay. So they had -- the teachers had to have
          Q
 4
     prior yoga teaching experience; right?
 5
          Α
               Yes.
               THE COURT: Are these certificated employees of
 6
     the district?
 7
 8
               THE WITNESS: No.
 9
               THE COURT: See, I'm --
               THE WITNESS: Yeah.
10
11
               MR. BROYLES: Yeah. I'm getting to all that,
     Your Honor.
12
               THE COURT: I understand. You're kind of jumping
13
      in the middle, though, and I'm really not sure of how many
14
     schools we're talking about.
15
16
               We're talking about November -- or September of
      2012?
17
18
               MR. BROYLES: Yeah. And I will go over all that,
     Your Honor. I'm specifically focusing on those meetings
19
     for right now, and then I'll talk about the broader
20
     program. I apologize if there's any confusion.
21
               THE COURT: But I'm assuming that it's
22
23
     districtwide and --
24
               MR. BROYLES: Well --
               THE COURT: -- so many schools, but maybe I'm --
25
26
               MR. BROYLES: Let me lay the foundation for that,
     Your Honor, to clarify that.
27
      ///
28
```

BY MR. BROYLES: 1 2 Mr. Baird, in the fall of 2012, the yoga program 3 was in approximately four of the district schools; 4 correct? 5 Α That is correct. THE COURT: Four of how many schools? 6 THE WITNESS: We have nine schools. 7 8 THE COURT: Nine elementary schools? 9 THE WITNESS: Nine elementary schools. THE COURT: And four of them had this yoga 10 11 program? 12 THE WITNESS: This was a component of the 13 research program that we were implementing. We started in -- actually, it was five schools that we started the 14 15 yoga program, and we had four control schools. So the control schools were not teaching yoga at that point in 16 17 time. They came onboard in January so that we could look 18 at differences between the two. THE COURT: Okay. 19 20 BY MR. BROYLES: 21 Okay. It was in four or five schools in the Q 2.2 fall; correct? It was in five. 23 Α Five. 24 O 25 Okay. And then in the spring semester or in --26 I'll just put it this way: As of January of 2013, it was in all school districtwide; correct? 27 28 Α That is correct.

1 THE COURT: All nine in January? 2 THE WITNESS: Yes. 3 MR. BROYLES: That's right. 4 BY MR. BROYLES: 5 All right. So back to the teachers. Q You mentioned a moment ago that they were 6 7 certified yoga instructors; correct? 8 Α Yes. 9 And the grant specifically required that they be 0 certified yoga instructors; correct? 10 11 Α Yes. 12 Okay. And they were also trained by Jois 13 Foundation instructors; is that correct? Α Yes. 14 15 And the grant required that the yoga teachers in your district had to be trained by Jois Foundation 16 17 instructors; correct? 18 Α That's not absolutely correct. What the grant required was that they had the ability to teach yoga as 19 20 assessed by Jois. 21 THE COURT: Mr. Broyles, sorry to interrupt 2.2 again, but I'm really unsure of something. 23 These are individuals who were provided by the 24 foundation? 25 THE WITNESS: The foundation gave us a grant. 26 THE COURT: Right. Through that grant, we actually 27 THE WITNESS: 28 worked through a third party to hire the teachers and

1	assist with curriculum development and training, and
2	that's Regur & Associates. So they're actually the
3	employer of the teachers, and we contract with that
4	employer.
5	THE COURT: It's like a walk-on coach?
6	THE WITNESS: Yes, kind of. Yeah.
7	THE COURT: And they were part-time employees of
8	the district?
9	THE WITNESS: They're not employees of the
10	district. They actually work for this third party.
11	THE COURT: All right.
12	THE WITNESS: And we contract through that third
13	party, and they're full-time employees of that third
14	party.
15	THE COURT: I see.
16	But they're not certificated employees of the
17	district?
18	THE WITNESS: They're not certificated teachers,
19	No. Some of them actually do have their certification for
20	a teaching credential, but that wasn't a requirement that
21	we put in place.
22	THE COURT: All right. So it's like a walk-on
23	coach, an assistant
24	THE WITNESS: Kind of like a walk-on coach.
25	THE COURT: All right. I get it. Okay.
26	BY MR. BROYLES:
27	Q So back to your statement that the district is in
28	charge of the yoga program and we'll get back to the

1 grant MOU later, but the statement that you made that the 2 district is in charge of the yoga program seems to imply 3 that no other entity or person had any control or 4 influence of the program. 5 Is that a fair assessment of what you meant? That -- please repeat the question. 6 Α 7 Objection to that. MR. SLEETH: THE COURT: 8 Sustained. 9 BY MR. BROYLES: Well, did anybody else besides the district have 10 Q any influence or control over the yoga program, sir? 11 12 Α No. 13 So what was your partnership in Jois based on that you referred to in the grant? Why was it a 14 15 partnership, just because they were giving you money? 16 Α I would -- they were the foundation giving us the 17 resources to implement the program. 18 Q And those teachers that you were meeting with, most of them, if not all of them, were trained 19 20 specifically in Ashtanga yoga by the Jois Foundation; 21 isn't that true, sir? 2.2 Α They were certified that they -- that they 23 actually had the skill set to teach yoga. That was what 24 Jois provided. 25 Okay. So Jois was the one who told you whether Q 26 they were good yoga instructors or not; correct? 27 Α That's correct. 28 Okay. And does Jois, to your knowledge, teach

Q

any other yoga besides Ashtanga yoga, sir? 1 2 I'm not familiar with the Jois Yoga studio. 3 That's not my business. So I don't know what they do or 4 don't do. 5 Okay. So you don't know what kind of yoga Jois 6 Yoga teaches the certified -- the teachers that you were 7 going to have teaching the kids? 8 You asked me what other types of yoga they teach. 9 I'm not a yoga specialist. I don't attend the Jois studio, so I don't know what other types of yoga they may 10 11 or may not teach. 12 Well, you do know they teach Ashtanga yoga; Q 13 right? Yes, they do. 14 Α 15 And in your due diligence before adopting this 0 16 program, you did some research on what Ashtanga yoga was; 17 right? 18 We did not do Ashtanga research. What we did is we did research yoga as a physical fitness component. 19 20 did do research in that. Sir, are you trying to tell the Court that it 21 didn't matter what kind of yoga these teachers were 22 23 certified in or were going to teach to the kids? MR. SLEETH: Objection; argumentative. 24 25 THE COURT: Rephrase the question. 26 BY MR. BROYLES: Did it matter to you whether the children were 27 28 going to be taught Ashtanga yoga or not?

It mattered to me that the children were going to 1 2 be getting a quality health and wellness program which we 3 were going to be developing. The component of Ashtanga 4 was certainly that they started with certain poses. 5 created our own program. We're not -- and I think you can 6 probably bring in Ashtanga experts that will say we're not 7 doing Ashtanga yoga in the same way you would see it in a yoga studio. We do Encinitas Union School District yoga. 8 9 Well, you just told me that you didn't know what type of yoga Jois taught, and yet you're telling us right 10 11 now that you're not teaching Ashtanga yoga. 12 How do you know you're not teaching Ashtanga 13 yoga, sir? MR. SLEETH: Objection; argumentative. 14 15 THE COURT: Sustained. 16 BY MR. BROYLES: 17 All right. Now, I'm going to ask the question 0 18 again. Are you aware whether the Jois Foundation teaches 19 20 any other type of yoga but Ashtanga yoga? 21 MR. SLEETH: Asked and answered, Your Honor. 2.2 THE COURT: Overruled. 23 Do you understand the question? 24 THE WITNESS: He's asking me the same question I 25 just answered before. 26 THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: I can say it again. No, I'm not --27 28 still not a yoga expert.

1 BY MR. BROYLES: 2 Okay. Are you aware whether EUSD is teaching 3 Ashtanga today to its students? 4 Α We're teaching a health and wellness curriculum 5 that we pretty much have designed from scratch. 6 started with certain poses. It is a program that I have 7 seen our teachers and our assistant superintendent build from scratch starting with certain poses. 8 9 My question, sir, is are you aware of whether EUSD is today teaching students Ashtanga yoga? 10 11 Α I would say no, we're teaching EUSD yoga. 12 Is there -- are you aware if there's any basis in Q 13 Ashtanga yoga in the type of yoga that's being taught at EUSD? 14 15 I would say we started from certain poses that 16 are found in Ashtanga. 17 Okay. And you're still doing Surya Namaskara A, 18 aren't you, with the kids? THE COURT: I didn't understand the question. 19 20 MR. BROYLES: I know, Your Honor. I apologize. 21 BY MR. BROYLES: Are you aware whether the district is teaching 2.2 23 Opening Sequence A or the Surya Namaskara A to the 24 children, sir? 25 Α We do have an Opening Sequence A. 26 Are you aware that that's exactly how Okay. Pattabhi Jois, who is now deceased, taught people to start 27 28 out Ashtanga yoga, sir?

1 MR. PECK: Lacks foundation, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Sustained. 3 THE COURT: Lay the foundation if you can. 4 BY MR. BROYLES: 5 All right. Okay. So you're aware that Opening Q 6 Sequence A is taught; correct? 7 Correct. Α 8 Are you aware that that's how Pattabhi Jois Q 9 taught people to practice Ashtanga, by starting with that 10 sequence? 11 MR. PECK: Same objection, Your Honor. MR. SLEETH: And in addition, relevance. 12 13 THE COURT: Well, I assume whatever this sequence is is the same, but maybe not. Why don't you lay a 14 15 foundation. 16 BY MR. SLEETH: 17 Are you aware of how Ashtanga yoga is taught? 18 Α No, I'm not. So how can you say, sir, that the district is not 19 Q 20 teaching Ashtanga yoga? 21 Because I have seen our teachers and our 22 assistant superintendent create a yoga program, so I would 23 find it interesting that they created one exactly the 24 same. 25 But that's speculation; isn't that correct? 0 26 Α No more than you're assuming what we do which you 27 haven't seen. 28 My question to you, sir, is whether you know Q

whether a certain sequence of poses is according to how 1 2 Jois taught it. And you said, "No"; correct? 3 4 Α I am -- I don't know how Jois taught it. Okay. Would it matter to you if Jois taught it 5 Q 6 the way it's being taught in the school today? 7 Α No. Why not? 8 Q 9 Because I don't see relevance to that. Α Why not? 10 Q 11 Α Because I don't. 12 MR. SLEETH: Argumentative. 13 BY MR. BROYLES: Okay. Now, you don't teach Bikram yoga in EUSD, 14 Q 15 do you? 16 Α No. 17 You don't teach Holy yoga at EUSD, do you? Q 18 Α No. 19 You don't teach Broga or any other type of yoga, Q 20 to your knowledge, at EUSD, do you? 21 Α No. 22 Now, once a curriculum was created, the goal was 23 to give it to the Jois Foundation so that they could 24 export Ashtanga yoga to other school districts beyond 25 EUSD; isn't that correct, sir? 26 MR. SLEETH: Lacks foundation. THE COURT: Sustained. 27 28 Do you know?

1 THE WITNESS: I know that the program that we're 2 developing, the health and wellness program -- I would 3 phrase it differently than Mr. Broyles has -- was part of what we're developing so that they can then use that with 4 5 other school districts, yes. I don't know that they've 6 identified the other school districts, but they would like -- there's a reason for --7 8 THE COURT: Who's "they"? 9 THE WITNESS: The Jois Foundation. THE COURT: 10 Okay. 11 BY MR. BROYLES: 12 So it's fair to say that you're developing a yoga Q 13 curriculum for EUSD students; correct? That's correct. 14 Α 15 And it's fair to say that you're developing a 0 16 yoga curriculum for the Jois Foundation; is that also 17 true? 18 Α That would be true. Now, have you been having meetings with Jois 19 0 20 Foundation representatives or has anybody in the district, 21 to your knowledge, been having meetings with Jois 2.2 Foundation representatives as the curriculum is being 23 developed? 24 Α Yes. 25 0 Who have you met with? Okay. 26 THE COURT: Him personally or somebody else? 27 BY MR. BROYLES: 28 Let's start with you personally. Q

1 Primarily with Gene Ruffin. Α 2 Okay. And Gene Ruffin is who? Q 3 He is the executive director, I believe, is his Α 4 title or the CEO of the Jois Foundation. 5 How many times have you met with Gene Ruffin Q 6 about the curriculum? I don't know if it's been about the curriculum. 7 8 I would say probably none about the curriculum. About the 9 program, I've met with Gene eight, ten times maybe. Okay. And during those meetings, you discussed 10 Q 11 how the program was progressing; correct? Α 12 That's true. 13 Did you discuss with him how the curriculum was Q 14 progressing? 15 I think we probably touched on that. Α 16 Okay. And did you discuss how parents were Q 17 beginning to complain about the program? 18 Α Yes, we had talked about that. Okay. And this case has gotten a lot of media 19 0 attention; right? 20 21 Α Yes, it has. 2.2 0 Did you discuss how to respond to the media? 23 Α Yes. 24 Now, besides meeting with Mr. Ruffin, have you Q 25 met with anybody else outside the district about the 26 curriculum? About the curriculum? 27 28 Q Yes.

1 I met with Mr. Regur, who is in charge of the 2 third party that is -- that employees the yoga teachers to 3 talk about the curriculum. 4 Have you -- besides meetings, have you had any Q 5 other conversation or communications or e-mail exchanges 6 with anyone else about the curriculum or program? 7 Parents. Okay. But putting parents aside, I'm talking 8 Q 9 about people outside the district, i.e., people with the Jois Foundation or somewhere else. 10 11 Α About the curriculum? 12 Q Yes. 13 Not specifically about the curriculum, no. Α Have you discussed the curriculum with anybody 14 Q 15 from UVA? 16 Oh, I'm sorry. I have spoken with our Α 17 researchers from the University of San Diego, which is our 18 primary contact. And then they work with the University of Virginia, which is the other university. And yes, I 19 20 have spoken with those two entities. 21 Who at UVA did you speak with? A number of researchers. I'm blanking right now 2.2 Α 23 on their names. 24 Is one of the people you spoke with at UVA John 0 25 Campbell about this program? 26 Α I believe I did speak once with John Campbell. And how many times did you speak with John 27

28

Campbell about this program?

1 Α I think once. 2 0 Could you tell us the sum -- a summary of your conversation about the program with Mr. Campbell. 3 4 Α I'm blanking about that specific conversation. Ι 5 know in my conversations with the researchers, we've 6 talked about the program, we've talked about the outcomes 7 we're hoping to see in terms of academic improvements, in terms of behavioral improvements, in terms of the health 8 9 and wellness improvements. Is it fair to say, Mr. Baird, that this is the 10 11 first study of its kind in the United States? MR. PECK: Lacks foundation. 12 13 THE COURT: Well, if he knows. BY MR. BROYLES: 14 15 If you know. Q THE COURT: When you say "first study," what are 16 17 you --The first study involving a school 18 MR. BROYLES: district and children and the benefits of yoga in the 19 20 school district. 21 There actually are other studies THE WITNESS: related to the benefits of yoga in school districts. 2.2 23 don't think that they've been done on a districtwide 24 basis, but there are other studies out there. BY MR. BROYLES: 25 26 So you're -- it's fair to say that this is Okay. 27 the first districtwide study of the impact of yoga on 28 children; right?

1	A That I'm aware of.	
2	Q Okay. Now, has the Jois Foundation, anybody from	
3	the Jois Foundation, ever told you that they want you to	
4	study yoga generally strike that. That's a bad	
5	question. Let me just move on for now.	
6	Mr. Baird, isn't it true that other entities and	
7	individuals had significant influence over the content of	
8	the curriculum?	
9	A Other individuals? I don't	
10	MR. SLEETH: Objection; vague.	
11	THE COURT: Sustained.	
12	BY MR. BROYLES:	
13	Q Well, did are you aware of any other	
14	individuals or entities who had influence over the EUSD	
15	curriculum?	
16	A Other than whom?	
17	Q Other than the people you've already mentioned.	
18	A No.	
19	Q Okay. Now, back to Mr. John Campbell, in a radio	
20	interview, Gene Ruffin, who you mentioned earlier	
21	THE COURT: Who is John Campbell?	
22	MR. BROYLES: John Campbell works at UVA, Your	
23	Honor.	
24	THE COURT: UVA?	
25	MR. BROYLES: University of Virginia.	
26	THE COURT: Okay.	
27	MR. BROYLES: Let me go over that briefly, Your	
28	Honor.	

1 BY MR. BROYLES: 2 Are you aware that Mr. John Campbell is a 3 religious studies professor at the University of Virginia? 4 Α Yes, I am. 5 Are you aware that Mr. John Campbell heads up the Q 6 Contemplative Sciences Center at the University of 7 Virginia? 8 Α Yes. 9 Are you aware that Mr. John Campbell is an Q Ashtanga-certified yoga instructor? 10 11 Α No, I'm not aware of that. 12 Okay. Are you aware that John Campbell used to 0 13 work for Paul and Sonia Tudor Jones teaching Ashtanga yoga to Mr. Jones's employees? 14 15 MR. PECK: Lacks foundation as phrased, Your 16 Honor. 17 THE COURT: Overruled. 18 THE WITNESS: No, I'm not aware of that. 19 BY MR. BROYLES: Now, back to Mr. Ruffin's statement to the 20 0 Okay. 21 I'll represent to you this and see if you know media. this or understand this or agree with this. 2.2 23 Mr. Ruffin stated in a media interview that John 24 Campbell was consulting with EUSD, i.e., the district, 25 about the development of the yoga curriculum there. 26 Is that a true statement, sir? MR. PECK: Lacks foundation, Your Honor. 27 28 THE COURT: Overruled.

1 THE WITNESS: I don't believe that's a true 2 statement. 3 BY MR. BROYLES: 4 Q Why not? 5 I don't believe he's spent time with our teachers 6 and our development team in that capacity. I think he's part of the study that's looking for outcomes of the 7 study, but not in terms of designing the curriculum. 8 9 So you disagree with the statement Mr. Ruffin 0 made? 10 I didn't hear Mr. Ruffin make the statement. 11 But if Mr. Ruffin did, you disagree with 12 Okay. Q 13 the truth of that statement; is that right? If Mr. Ruffin made that statement, I would 14 15 disagree with that, yes. 16 Okay. Now, let me just -- you testified earlier Q 17 that the curriculum was being developed for the district 18 and for Jois; right? That's correct. 19 20 So my question to you, sir, is if there was a 21 conflict over the content of the curriculum, who would have the final say, Mr. Baird, you or the Jois Foundation? 2.2 23 Α If there was a conflict over what the curriculum 24 was, we're the ones designing the curriculum. There can't 25 be a conflict because it's just us designing the 26 curriculum. So it's your understanding that the Jois 27 28 Foundation is going to take any curriculum you develop and use that curriculum no matter what's in the curriculum.

Is that your testimony, sir?

A I don't know what their intent is after we design a curriculum and deliver it to them. They can certainly change it if they choose to do so, but we're designing the curriculum. We're in charge of the curriculum. Our teachers are doing this work.

Q Is it your understanding that the Jois Foundation wants the district to develop an Ashtanga yoga curriculum, sir?

A My -- my understanding is that we are to develop a health and wellness curriculum and that health and wellness will include yoga, but it also includes nutrition, it includes working in the garden, it includes culinary instruction, and that's what we're designing.

Q You didn't answer my question.

MR. BROYLES: Could you reread the question please.

BY MR. BROYLES:

Q I'm asking specifically about Ashtanga yoga, sir. (Record read.)

THE WITNESS: I would say in our very initial conversations with Jois, the term "Ashtanga" was used. That has not been used in later discussions with them about the curriculum we're designing. And as we move forward with our program, Ashtanga is not even part of the discussion. It's the EUSD yoga program.

28 ///

2.2

1 BY MR. BROYLES: 2 So you would have this Court believe that Okay. 3 EUSD decided out of the blue to create a yoga program that 4 just happened to be maybe partially based on Ashtanga 5 yoga; is that true, sir? 6 MR. SLEETH: Objection; argumentative. 7 THE COURT: Sustained. 8 BY MR. BROYLES: 9 Now, let's talk about the yoga teachers a little 0 bit more. 10 11 One level of the district's control, as you mentioned earlier, was control over the teachers, and they 12 13 were hired and paid by grant funds; right? 14 Α Yes. 15 And it was your testimony earlier that it was Q 16 done through the Regur organization; right? 17 Regur (pronouncing), yes. Α 18 So they weren't directly employees of the district. 19 20 Is that your testimony? That is correct. 21 Α Is it the normal -- strike that. 2.2 0 23 Yet the district didn't require the teachers to be credentialed; is that right? 24 25 Α That's correct. 26 Is it the standard practice for the district to hire uncredentialed teachers to teach P.E., sir? 27 28 Α We have hired other types of specialists. We may

1 bring in specialty teachers in various fields. Sometimes 2 in the arts. We may bring in a playwright to work with 3 kids to teach playwrighting. We may bring in a dance specialist to teach dance. You mentioned earlier that 4 5 Kinderate program that teaches karate. Those teachers are not required because they're not district employees. 6 7 They're contracted. Is it the -- is it the regular practice of the 8 9 district to seek out practitioners of specific religions and beliefs and practices to hire them to inculcate those 10 11 very religious beliefs and practices during weekly P.E. 12 sessions to children as young as five years old, Mr. Baird? 13 Somebody is going to say -- do I need to do it? 14 Α 15 I think it was vague. MR. SLEETH: THE COURT: I think I know the answer, but do you 16 17 understand the question? 18 THE WITNESS: Well, I think he's asking me is it our practice to hire religious people to come in and --19 20 THE COURT: What was the right word, "inculcate"? Inculcate. 21 MR. BROYLES: 22 THE COURT: That's a big word. 23 MR. BROYLES: I apologize. 24 THE COURT: I think I know what he's going to 25 say. BY MR. BROYLES: 26 Is that the regular practice of the district, 27 0 28 sir?

ĺ	1
1	A No, that is not the regular practice of the
2	district.
3	Q And why not?
4	A One, because we do not promote religion.
5	Q And why else? Any other reasons?
6	A We don't do a lot of inculcating.
7	Q Well, "inculcating" is a fancy word for teaching.
8	So hopefully, you do a lot of inculcating.
9	THE COURT: If he had said yes, I think we'd all
10	get to go home.
11	BY MR. BROYLES:
12	Q Now, the genesis of the Jois foundation's
13	\$533,000 grant to the district was the 2011 and 2012 Capri
14	Elementary School's Ashtanga yoga pilot project; correct?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And we'll get back to the Capri pilot program
17	later, but right now I want you to focus on the Jois
18	Foundation grant, which expanded the Ashtanga yoga program
19	to all nine district schools this year; correct?
20	THE COURT: This is in January?
21	MR. BROYLES: This is in January.
22	THE COURT: There's another grant in January?
23	MR. BROYLES: No, no, Your Honor. I'm saying it
24	ultimately brought it to all the schools.
25	THE COURT: My understanding is there's a pilot
26	program in 2011 and '12?
27	MR. BROYLES: Yes.
28	THE COURT: Then in September of 2012, there's a

grant of half a million dollars? 1 2 THE WITNESS: Yes. 3 THE COURT: And that was initially used in four 4 of the five schools? 5 THE COURT: In five of the nine schools. THE COURT: Five of the nine schools. 6 7 THE WITNESS: And then the other four came 8 onboard in January, yes, sir. 9 THE COURT: With a new grant or was this --THE WITNESS: No. It was the same grant. 10 11 THE COURT: You didn't get more money? 12 THE WITNESS: No. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 BY MR. BROYLES: 15 So focusing on this school year, 2012 to '13, in your trial declaration, you assert that you decided to 16 meet with Mr. Gene Ruffin of the Jois Foundation late in 17 18 the 2012 school year to discuss expanding the Ashtanga yoga program into all EUSD schools the following year; is 19 20 that correct? 21 That's correct. Α 2.2 0 Okay. 23 THE COURT: That would have been what, December? 24 THE WITNESS: No. That would have been June, I 25 believe, of last year. 26 BY MR. BROYLES: Now, if you could look at the exhibit book in 27 28 front of you and turn to what's marked as --

1 THE COURT: Excuse me. 2 THE WITNESS: I think he's referring back to when 3 we started this program, we met at the end of last year 4 with the Jois Foundation, and we talked about expanding 5 the program into all of our district schools at that time. THE COURT: All nine? 6 7 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 8 THE COURT: But I thought that was done in 9 January. THE WITNESS: Well, the grant was written in 10 11 It was sort of finalized in July, August. And then 12 we started the school year. Even though we wrote the 13 grant at that point in time, we knew that we were going to have a phase in of the five schools in August and then the 14 15 remaining four schools in January. But that agreement was put in place in June, July of last year. 16 17 But we're talking January 2013. THE COURT: 18 THE COURT: Is when the second set of schools 19 came onboard, yes. 20 THE COURT: That's this year. 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. But the agreement was written 22 prior to that. 23 THE COURT: I see. 24 MR. PECK: Your Honor, there may be some 25 confusion between calendar years and school years. 26 we're talking end of year, I believe he's --27 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm sorry. Yeah, that's my 28 fault. Yes.

1 THE COURT: You're talking June to July? 2 THE WITNESS: Yes. Yeah. Sorry. 3 BY MR. BROYLES: 4 Q Okay. I'm going to have you look at the exhibit 5 book in front of you and turn to Exhibit 2, PTE2. 6 MR. BROYLES: For the record, it's the Ashtanga 7 yoga memorandum of understanding. BY MR. BROYLES: 8 9 And if you could look at it for a moment, sir. Α THE COURT: Any objection? 10 11 MR. SLEETH: No objection. 12 THE COURT: All right. You're offering 2? 13 MR. BROYLES: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. 2 will be received. 14 15 (Exhibit 2 received into evidence.) 16 BY MR. BROYLES: 17 Now, do you recognize this document, sir? Q 18 Α Yes. And that's your signature on the document; right? 19 Q 20 Α Yes, it is. 21 Did the Jois Foundation -- a Jois Foundation Q representative, who's authorized to enter into agreements, 2.2 23 sign any part of that document? Yes, they did. 24 Α So what we have here is -- would it be fair to 25 0 26 say is an unsigned -- well, is a partially signed version of the document that was ultimately approved? 27 28 Α Yes.

1 Okay. So this is the actual memorandum of 2 understanding that was entered into between Jois and EUSD; 3 correct? 4 That's correct. 5 Okay. I'm going to refer you, just to get these Q 6 on the record, to Plaintiffs' Trial Exhibit 1, right 7 before that. 8 Do you recognize Exhibit 1, sir? 9 Yes, I do. Α Okay. And what is it? 10 11 It was the grant proposal that we submitted to the Jois Foundation. 12 13 And it was a grant proposal written purportedly by your assistant superintendent; is that right? 14 15 Yes. I think we -- we worked on it together, but 16 I think David had a lot of -- a lot to do with this, yes. 17 Okay. So it would be your testimony that you saw Q 18 the document before it was finalized and submitted to Jois; correct? 19 20 Α That's correct. 21 Okay. Now --Q 2.2 THE COURT: 1 is in. 23 MR. BROYLES: Excuse me? 24 THE COURT: You were offering 1? 25 MR. BROYLES: Yes, Your Honor. 26 THE COURT: It's in. 27 MR. BROYLES: All right. (Exhibit 1 received into evidence.) 28

1 BY MR. BROYLES: 2 Now, looking -- just for the record so it's clear 3 to all of us that we're on the same page, Exhibit No. 1 is 4 the only the grant document; right? 5 Are you asking me --Α 6 Q Yes. 7 That was the proposal. Α 8 That was the proposal. Q 9 And Exhibit No. 2 is the memorandum of understanding; right? 10 11 Α That is correct. Now, the memorandum of understanding ultimately 12 Q 13 included the grant proposal as it was written; is that not correct? 14 15 Α I think the memorandum of understanding stands on 16 its own. 17 I know, but turn the page. See what's behind it. 0 18 The memorandum of understanding, is it not true, incorporates the grant proposal and its terms; correct? 19 20 Α I think the --21 MR. SLEETH: Calls for a legal conclusion. 2.2 THE COURT: Huh? 23 MR. SLEETH: Calls for a legal conclusion. 24 I think the document speaks for THE COURT: itself. It includes what it includes. 25 THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's --26 BY MR. BROYLES: 27 28 Okay. So just to be clear, the grant proposal Q

1 attached to the memorandum of understanding is the same 2 grant proposal from Exhibit 1; correct? I don't believe the proposal is attached. 3 4 think the memorandum stands on its own. 5 Q Okay. THE COURT: There's no attachment to the 6 7 memorandum of understanding, at least the one I have. 8 MR. SLEETH: It doesn't reference an attachment. 9 MR. BROYLES: That's a clerical error, Your It attached to our trial exhibits. It was done 10 11 properly. It's done improperly in our exhibit book. 12 Does anybody have a copy of our trial exhibits 13 that I could -- let me ask the question a different way. BY MR. BROYLES: 14 15 Ultimately, the memorandum of understanding Q 16 incorporated the terms of grant proposal; right? 17 I don't know if it incorporated all of them. Α The 18 memorandum of understanding stands on its own. I will submit a proposal, and then certain elements of 19 20 that proposal will end up in the MOU or the memorandum of understanding. The memorandum of understanding is what 21 22 you actually agree to in the agreement. The rest is just 23 a proposal. The third line in the memorandum of 24 0 Okay. understanding, Exhibit 2, says, "Therefore, as agreed upon 25 26 by both parties and detailed within the approved grant, Exhibit A proposal" --27

Do you see that, sir?

1 I'm sorry. Where are you? Α 2 I'm in Exhibit 2, Paragraph 3. Memorandum of 3 understanding, Paragraph 3. 4 THE COURT: Paragraph 3. 5 THE WITNESS: Okay. 6 BY MR. BROYLES: 7 You got it? Q Okay. So I'm on Exhibit 2 -- okay. 8 Α 9 "Therefore, as agreed upon by both parties and Q 10 detailed within the approved grant, Exhibit A proposal, 11 Encinitas Union School District will provide the oversight for the implementation of a conference of instruction of 12 13 yoga program," and it goes on to say that. Now, is it your recollection -- did I refresh 14 15 your recollection as to whether the grant itself was 16 incorporated within the memorandum of understanding, sir? I need to read this further. One second. 17 Α 18 It does appear that the memorandum does reference 19 the proposal. 20 MR. BROYLES: Your Honor, I -- as I stated 21 just --BY MR. BROYLES: 2.2 23 So the answer is, "Yes"? Q Okay. THE COURT: I think -- I think the memorandum 24 references Exhibit A, but the memorandum doesn't have an 25 26 Exhibit A. 27 MR. BROYLES: Your Honor, and that's --28 THE COURT: You and Mr. Sleeth and Mr. Peck can

work that out. 1 2 MR. BROYLES: Your Honor, just for the record, I 3 want to state that -- and I just looked at my other 4 exhibit list -- the memorandum of understanding, for the 5 record, did have the grant proposal and other schedules attached to it. 6 BY MR. BROYLES: 7 8 Is that correct, Mr. Baird? Q 9 I'm not sure, to be honest. Α You're not sure. Okay. 10 Q 11 Α Yeah. 12 And let me show you my original exhibits and ask Q 13 you --MR. BROYLES: Can I borrow these? 14 15 MR. PECK: Sure, but let's be clear that these 16 are not original exhibits. These are copies my office 17 made. 18 MR. BROYLES: These were copies provided to you and Mr. Sleeth in preparation for trial; is that not 19 20 correct? 21 THE COURT: Mr. Broyles, I think we're -- I don't 2.2 want to get bogged down in something. I have a memorandum 23 of understanding that has no Exhibit A. The memorandum of 24 understanding references an Exhibit A. This witness says,

27 BY MR. BROYLES:

references it, so...

25

26

28

Q Okay. And it specifically references the grant;

"I don't know if it was attached or wasn't." It

_	
1	is that not correct?
2	THE COURT: It does. No, I
3	MR. BROYLES: All right.
4	THE COURT: Isn't there an original someplace?
5	MR. BROYLES: Yeah.
6	THE WITNESS: Yes.
7	MR. BROYLES: Your Honor, I have
8	THE COURT: Well, I know you have it, but
9	MR. BROYLES: Yeah, I understand. I don't have
10	it in your binder.
11	THE COURT: There has to have been an exhibit
12	this is a public
13	THE WITNESS: Yes, we have it.
14	THE COURT: I'm sure these
15	MR. BROYLES: Your Honor, may I approach and show
16	him the complete document and ask him questions about it.
17	THE COURT: Sure.
18	MR. BROYLES: Okay. Thank you.
19	THE COURT: But I assume the original is
20	somewhere in the district.
21	THE WITNESS: Yes, we have the original in our
22	district files.
23	THE COURT: Wherever official documents are kept.
24	THE WITNESS: Yes.
25	BY MR. BROYLES:
26	Q Okay. I just want you to look at this.
27	All right. That's a separate document; right?
28	A Okay.

1	Q If you want to take a minute to look at it, you			
2	can.			
3	MR. BROYLES: And Your Honor, I normally would be			
4	asking questions from there. But since we only have one			
5	version, can I stand a little bit closer?			
6	THE COURT: Sure. But the documents say what			
7	they say.			
8	MR. BROYLES: I understand, Your Honor, but it's			
9	important what documents incorporate what documents, and			
10	that's what I want to say here.			
11	BY MR. BROYLES:			
12	Q Okay. You've had a chance to look at the			
13	memorandum of understanding?			
14	A Yes.			
15	Q Okay. And the memorandum of understanding that			
16	you signed that was entered into between yourself and the			
17	Jois Foundation included the grant proposal language;			
18	correct?			
19	A You have them sequenced together here. It			
20	doesn't say Attachment A on there, so I'm not sure. But			
21	in your sequencing of the documents here, you have one on			
22	top of the other.			
23	Q Okay. But there was a grant proposal			
24	incorporated into the memorandum of understanding; right?			
25	A It was the initial document that we used, yes.			
26	Q Okay. And look at that document. I want you to			
27	authenticate that document for me, sir.			
28	Was that indeed the grant proposal that you see			

behind the memorandum of understanding in the exhibit that 1 2 I'm showing you right now, sir? 3 Yes, it is. Α 4 And that's consistent with Exhibit 1 that I just 5 showed you earlier; right? 6 That is correct. Now, in addition to the grant document being 7 incorporated into the memorandum of understanding, there 8 9 was also a budget attached; right? That is correct. 10 Α 11 And is it the budget that you see here before 12 you, sir? Yes, it is. 13 Α Okay. So for the record, the agreement between 14 15 yourself -- or sorry, strike that -- the district and the 16 Jois Foundation has a memorandum of understanding; 17 correct? 18 Α Yes. The grant document that I just showed you; 19 0 20 correct? 21 I'm not sure that's been determined yet, but it Α was the initial document we worked from. 2.2 23 Do you need to read the grant document to say 0 24 whether this is the agreement or not, sir? 25 Α It's -- the memorandum of understanding is the 26 memorandum of understanding. And the grant document as before you -- that you 27

have before you is the actual grant document, is it not?

1 Α This is the grant proposal that we did, yes. 2 And the grant attached to the memorandum of 3 understanding is the same grant that you agreed to when 4 you entered into the memorandum of understanding with 5 Jois; correct? It's not attached in the way that -- but -- I 6 7 don't know. 8 I'll give you a minute to read it if you need to Q 9 refresh your recollection. I can read it. All I'm saying is you just put 10 11 the two documents together here. It doesn't say 12 Attachment A. But we did use this document earlier, so... 13 Was there any other version of the grant proposal that you're aware of that may have been substituted for 14 15 the document that you see before you, sir? 16 There actually were a couple of versions of the Α 17 grant proposal. So this is the one I -- that we did 18 submit to the Jois Foundation. And they accepted it; right? 19 20 Α They did ultimately. That led to the MOU, yes. They accepted the grant application as you wrote 21 Q 2.2 it; right? 23 They accepted that, yes. Α Yes. 24 Okay. And the grant application that you have Q 25 before you is the one that was actually accepted by the Jois Foundation; is that not true, sir? 26 27 That's correct.

MR. BROYLES: Thank you. All right.

1 MR. PECK: Mr. Broyles --2 MR. BROYLES: Yes. 3 MR. PECK: -- may I have my exhibit? 4 MR. BROYLES: Oh, sorry. 5 MR. PECK: Thank you. 6 I was trying to be sneaky there. MR. BROYLES: 7 Kidding. 8 BY MR. BROYLES: 9 All right. Now, just briefly, I want you to look 0 at Exhibit 3. This should be a lot easier. 10 11 Do you recognize Exhibit 3? Yes, I do. 12 Α 13 And Exhibit 3 is the memorandum of understanding Q 14 between the district and Regur; correct? 15 Α Yes. 16 Related to the yoga program; right? Q 17 Related to the health and wellness program, yes. A 18 0 Okay. And --19 THE COURT: 3 is in. 20 MR. BROYLES: Thank you, Your Honor. 21 (Exhibit 3 received into evidence.) 2.2 BY MR. BROYLES: 23 Do you have any reason to believe that that's not Q the final version of the agreement between you and Regur? 24 25 Α No. 26 Do you need a moment to look at it? Q This is correct. 27 Α 28 Now, the grant proposal --Q

1 THE COURT: This is Exhibit 1? 2 MR. BROYLES: Exhibit 1. 3 BY MR. BROYLES: 4 -- was dated July 24th, 2012; correct? Q 5 Α That's correct. 6 And that document, as we just established very 7 difficultly -- in a difficult manner, was actually incorporated in the memorandum of understanding between 8 9 EUSD and Jois; correct? I'm not sure that was ever done. But this is the 10 11 grant proposal that was accepted by Jois, I believe is the 12 work-around you did. 13 And the core foundation of the program -- I'm 14 sorry. Strike that. 15 The grant proposal says, "The core foundation of 16 this program will be built around providing students, 17 staff, and families access to Ashtanga yoga throughout the 18 school year." That's what the document says; correct? 19 20 Α Where are you reading from? First paragraph? Okay. 21 2.2 Middle of the first paragraph, left side. Q 23 Α Yes. 24 And you see the words "Ashtanga yoga" there; Q 25 right? 26 Α I do. And you're not disputing that the document says 27 28 "Ashtanga yoga," are you?

1 Α No, I'm not. 2 Now, as -- the document goes on to say, 0 Okay. 3 "As the part of grant, the comprehensive K through 6 4 health and wellness life skills curriculum that includes, " 5 quote, "'key yoga life concepts' and other factors will be taught"; is that true, sir? Is that -- am I representing 6 7 the document correctly? Well, the statement actually says, "'key yoga 8 9 life concepts,' such as self-regulation, nutrition, physical education, and healthy lifestyle choices." 10 11 Q Okay. And what's your understanding of what "key yoga life concepts" are, sir? 12 13 That would be self-regulation, nutrition, physical education, healthy lifestyle choices. 14 15 And did you mean anything about yoga world view 16 when you drafted this grant document, sir? 17 Α I don't understand the question, to be 18 honest. I'm just trying to figure out what "key 19 Okay. 0 20 yoga life concepts" are. 21 Did you do any research before you agreed to enter into this document -- or this agreement with Jois as 2.2 23 to what "key yoga life concepts" were besides what the 24 document says? 25 Α We looked at yoga instructional programs, and 26 there -- as I mentioned earlier, there had been

research done on specific yoga programs in schools.

From that, we took that to pull key yoga concepts such

27

1 as strength-building, breathing regulation, balance, 2 nutrition, wellness. Those were the components we were 3 talking about when we reference "key yoga concepts." 4 Q Did you do any research as to the "key yoga life 5 concepts" of Ashtanga yoga? 6 Α No. 7 Q Why not? 8 Α Because that wasn't what we were putting into 9 place. Why -- well, the document says "Ashtanga yoga" 10 Q 11 there in the first paragraph, doesn't it, sir? 12 MR. SLEETH: Objection; argumentative. 13 THE COURT: Well, it's been covered. It says what it says. 14 15 MR. BROYLES: Okay. 16 THE COURT: He's read it and reads it as the 17 Court reads it. It says it. BY MR. BROYLES: 18 Okay. And so by "key yoga life concepts," do you 19 20 mean yoga life concepts beyond or besides those which were 21 found in Ashtanga yoga? I think we were referencing those yoga life 22 23 concepts that most practitioners of yoga would understand, which is the health and wellness components. 24 25 Q Did it matter to you at all what the "key yoga 26 life concepts" of Ashtanga yoga were, sir? 27 Α No. 28 Now, the grant required the outcome of a K-6 Q

curricular guide with the, quote, "inclusion of life 1 2 skills built around key themes of yoga instruction and the 3 core themes found in yoga"; is that correct? 4 Α Yes. 5 Now, yoga -- you understand yoga involves Q 6 physical asana practice, right, physical pose practice? 7 Α Yes. Okay. Yoga also involves views as to what's 8 Q 9 moral or immoral; right? I don't know that -- that that's what we were 10 11 talking about. Well, your curriculum ended up with yamas and 12 13 niyamas, didn't it, sir? Our curriculum doesn't have any yamas or niyamas 14 Α 15 in it. 16 What about the curriculum that was published on 0 17 your website in November? 18 THE COURT: Of what year? MR. BROYLES: 19 2012. 20 BY MR. BROYLES: 21 Did that have yamas and niyamas in it, sir? Q I doubt that it used that language. Perhaps it 2.2 23 did. This curriculum has undergone numerous revisions, and we stand behind the curriculum that we have today. 24 25 Do you know what yamas and niyamas are? 0 26 Α No, I don't. Do you have any understanding as to whether the 27 28 curriculum as originally drafted included Hindu moral

1 teaching in it? 2 I'm sorry. What was the question, whether it had Α 3 Hindu moral teaching in it? 4 Q The curriculum that was being developed by you, 5 the assistant superintendent, and the Jois-trained 6 instructors, did that curriculum have any discussion in it 7 as of November 2012, as was posted on your website -- did it have any discussion of Hindu moral teaching? 8 9 I don't know if it had Hindu moral teaching. Α do have a character development component of our health 10 11 and wellness program. And we teach traits such as 12 responsibility, caring for others. If that is how you're 13 defining Hindu moral, then we do teach those things. Okay. Let me ask the question differently. 14 15 THE COURT: But the question really was what was 16 displayed on the district's website in November when that -- and it is what it is. So we're -- I'm not sure he 17 18 answered that question. BY MR. BROYLES: 19 20 Well, let's be specific. Take a look at 21 Plaintiffs' Trial Exhibit No. 9. 2.2 No that. 23 Try Exhibit No. 7. 24 Do you recognize this document, sir? 25 Α I do. 26 Is that a version of the curriculum being developed by EUSD for the yoga program? 27

28

Α

Yes.

1 This is specifically called the "On-the-Mat 2 Curriculum"; right? 3 Α Yes. 4 MR. BROYLES: Okay. And I'm going to offer 5 Exhibit 7. THE COURT: Any objection? 6 7 MR. SLEETH: No objection. 8 MR. PECK: No. THE COURT: The whole exhibit? 9 There's like 10 many, many pages. 11 MR. BROYLES: It's 50 pages long, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. 7 will be received. 12 13 (Exhibit 7 received into evidence.) BY MR. BROYLES: 14 15 Now, you -- you seem to imply that you weren't 16 aware of any specific Hindu moral teaching being involved 17 in the curriculum; is that right? Is that a fair 18 assessment? I'm not an expert on Hindu moral teaching. 19 20 aware that we put some of our character education program 21 into this, yes. 2.2 Let me ask a different question. 23 If you discovered that Hindu moral teaching was involved in this curriculum, would that concern you at 24 all? 25 26 It depends on what that moral teaching is. it's respect, if it's perseverance, if it's some of the 27 28 things that coincide with our character ed develop, then

it should be in this program. 1 2 Now, this curriculum that we're looking at, it 3 was ultimately changed; right? There are components of the curriculum that have 4 Α 5 changed. So yes, much of the curriculum has evolved over 6 time as all new curriculum does. I want to refer you to -- I think it's about 7 Page -- oh, boy. Sorry. It's such a long document. Let 8 9 me -- let me just ask you a question. Do you have any understanding as to whether the 10 11 teachers were teaching the students the lotus position? 12 THE COURT: Which teachers are you talking about 13 now? MR. BROYLES: The -- sorry. The yoga teachers in 14 15 the district as far as in the Year 2012 to 2013. 16 BY MR. BROYLES: 17 Were they teaching the kids the lotus position? 18 Α We have -- we have a position that's like that. I don't know what we're calling it, but yes. 19 20 Is the lotus position the position you called criss-cross applesauce, sir? 21 2.2 I have used that term, yes. 23 Okay. To refer specifically to the lotus 0 24 position; right? 25 Α Yes. 26 As a matter of fact, a parent asked you about whether the lotus position was being taught by the 27 28 district in the fall of 2012; is that not correct?

ı				
1	A Probably. I don't know, to be honest.			
2	Q Okay. Could you look at			
3	A It's very possible.			
4	Q I'm going to have you look at Trial Exhibit			
5	No. 11, PT11 in your book.			
6	And for the record, I'll represent that it's an			
7	e-mail exchange between a parent named Cindy Gray and			
8	yourself over the yoga program.			
9	THE COURT: Are you offering that?			
10	MR. BROYLES: I need to lay a foundation first,			
11	Your Honor.			
12	THE COURT: Okay.			
13	THE WITNESS: Okay.			
14	BY MR. BROYLES:			
15	Q All right. Do you recognize this document, sir?			
16	A I do.			
17	Q And it's an e-mail exchange between you and Cindy			
18	Baird (sic) in the fall of 2012; is that correct?			
19	A That's not correct. It was between me and Cindy			
20	Gray.			
21	Q I'm sorry. I apologize.			
22	And in her e-mails to you			
23	MR. BROYLES: I want to move it into evidence,			
24	Your Honor.			
25	THE COURT: Any objection?			
26	MR. SLEETH: Not to foundation. But to the			
27	hearsay statements of the mother in the e-mail, I object.			
28	THE COURT: Well, I'm not considering it for the			

1 truth. 2 MR. SLEETH: Okay. It is -- I mean, I don't -- I don't 3 THE COURT: I'm not considering it for the truth 4 think it's hearsay. 5 of whatever is stated. It would be relevant to what he was told. 6 7 MR. SLEETH: Okay. 8 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 9 BY MR. BROYLES: Mr. Baird, Ms. Gray raised concerns that she had 10 Q 11 seen children in the district spontaneously sitting in the 12 lotus position, had she not? 13 Her concern was that in a religious situation, that a child had gone into the lotus position. 14 15 response back to Ms. Gray was that our children often sit 16 in that position in the regular classroom. We call that 17 criss-cross applesauce. And so therefore, a child sitting 18 down in that position would not be unusual because they do that in other settings. 19 20 Just to be clear, she was specifically concerned about a specific yoga pose; correct? 21 Yes, she was. 2.2 Α 23 And the specific yoga pose she was concerned Q about was the lotus position; correct? 24 25 Α That is correct. 26 And it was your understanding her concern about that was that at some kind of a religious prayer meeting, 27

a kid of a different faith who wasn't Hindu spontaneously

1 starting sitting in a criss-cross applesauce position; 2 correct? 3 Α Yes. 4 Now -- but she specifically told you it wasn't Q 5 criss-cross applesauce, though; right? She doesn't use 6 that term; right? 7 She said the lotus position. Α No. She uses the term the "lotus position." 8 Q 9 And you weren't there to see the child; right? Neither was Ms. Gray. 10 Α 11 Q That's not my question, sir. 12 Α No, I was not. 13 You weren't there to observe the child; right? Q 14 Α No, I was not. 15 So why would you disclaim or try to lead her away Q 16 from the fact that the child may, in fact, be sitting in 17 the lotus position? 18 THE COURT: Can I just -- I've got to make findings here, and we're getting kind of -- it's almost 19 20 like you're having your own private conversation here. 21 MR. BROYLES: Sorry, Your Honor. 2.2 THE COURT: I'm looking at this exhibit, and 23 there's an e-mail from somebody named Cindy, and he's 24 responding to it. MR. BROYLES: Uh-huh. 25 26 THE COURT: And he says, "I also wanted to address the little kindergarten girl who sat down to 27 28 worship in the lotus position."

1 That's what --2 THE WITNESS: She had said that a little child 3 had sat down to worship in the lotus position in her 4 e-mail earlier, yes. 5 THE COURT: And you responded to that? THE WITNESS: I did. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 BY MR. BROYLES: 9 And in your response, you didn't --0 THE COURT: But neither one of them was there to 10 11 see what they were responding to? 12 MR. BROYLES: And that's -- that's not the issue, 13 Your Honor. THE COURT: What's the issue, though? 14 15 MR. BROYLES: The issue is how he -- how he 16 characterized it. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MR. BROYLES: And I'm going to ask him questions 19 about that. 20 BY MR. BROYLES: 21 So you didn't characterize the event as a yoga Q 2.2 event; right? 23 Α No, I did not. You characterized this event that no one saw as a 24 Q 25 cross-cross applesauce event; right? 26 Α Yes, I did. 27 And you characterized the event as, in the old 28 days, what we called sitting Indian style; right?

I	1				
1	A That's correct.				
2	Q Mr. Baird, why did you characterize it that way				
3	in this exchange?				
4	A Because Ms. Gray was characterizing it as a				
5	religious event, and I said there are other reasons why a				
6	child might sit down like that because every day in				
7	kindergarten class, her teacher would ask her to sit that				
8	way. So I was giving her another example of why a child				
9	might sit that way.				
10	Q But you don't deny that when she wrote you that,				
11	children in the district were being taught the lotus				
12	position by your teachers; right?				
13	THE COURT: How was the child sitting? I think I				
14	know, but I'm not sure.				
15	MR. BROYLES: I don't want to rip my clothes,				
16	Your Honor.				
17	THE COURT: I guess it used be called Indian				
18	style. I guess.				
19	THE WITNESS: Yes.				
20	MR. BROYLES: Yes.				
21	BY MR. BROYLES:				
22	Q Okay. Mr. Baird, you also at board meetings,				
23	when the lotus position came up when parents were				
24	complaining, also characterized that position as				
25	criss-cross applesauce; isn't that true?				
26	THE COURT: Are we talking about sitting with				
27	your legs				
28	THE WITNESS: Yeah. As you said, like Indian				

style, yes.

2.2

Kids sit like that frequently, and that's -- I was just responding to Ms. Gray's concerns that somehow this child was associating a pose in yoga with a religious experience. And I gave her an example of why a child might just sit that way because they do much of the day.

BY MR. BROYLES:

- Q But you didn't agree with her that it was a lotus position, did you?
- A I -- what I said was I'm not disagreeing that criss-cross applesauce is not the same as the lotus position. What I was giving her was another context why a child might sit that way.
- Q Well, criss-cross applesauce isn't the same as the lotus position, is it, sir?
 - A I think it is, but I could be wrong.
- Q And you don't deny that the lotus position was being taught to students in the EUSD district in the fall of 2012, do you?
 - A It's one of the poses in our program, yes.
- Q Okay. And you don't deny that when they were sitting in this position, that some of the children were being taught to do this with their thumbs and forefingers, do you?
 - A I don't believe -- I don't know that that's true.
 - Q If they were, would that concern you, sir?
- A I don't know. I'd have to talk to our curriculum people as to whether that was. I haven't seen that.

1 Okay. Have you -- well, strike that. 2 Now, isn't it true, Mr. -- Dr. Baird, that a 3 stated purpose of the grant we've been discussing was, at 4 a minimum, to teach the students a yoga-based world view? 5 Where are you quoting from? Α The grant. I'm not quoting from the grant. 6 0 7 asking a question based on the grant. 8 No, I don't believe that's -- we were 9 incorporating some elements of yoga into the program, which we characterized as health and wellness elements. 10 11 Now, the Jois Foundation is named after Shri K. 0 12 Pattabhi Jois; right? 13 I assume so. Α And he's the Indian guru who brought Ashtanga 14 0 15 yoga into Encinitas many years ago; correct? 16 MR. SLEETH: Objection; lacks foundation. 17 THE COURT: Do you know? 18 THE WITNESS: I've read that in your brief. BY MR. BROYLES: 19 20 Okay. You have no independent knowledge about 21 how the Jois Foundation got started, sir? I don't. We work with lots of foundations. 2.2 23 don't generally research the foundation to the elements of their origin. 24 25 Q And I believe earlier you acknowledged that the Jois Foundation teaches Ashtanga; correct? 26 The Jois Foundation doesn't teach Ashtanga 27 28 They're a foundation. I don't think they -- they yoga.

teach anything. They raise money and give money. 1 2 Okay. Well, then why would Ashtanga yoga be in 3 the grant, sir? 4 MR. SLEETH: Objection; argumentative. 5 THE COURT: Well, do you know? 6 Well, I think you're confusing the THE WITNESS: Jois yoga studios with the Jois Foundation, is -- would be 7 my answer to that. The Jois yoga studio teaches Ashtanga 8 9 The Jois Foundation raises money and gives money to beneficiaries. 10 BY MR. BROYLES: 11 12 And when they gave the money to you, they wanted Q 13 Ashtanga yoga to be taught; is that not true, sir? That was in our initial proposal, yes. 14 Α 15 Okay. And Ashtanga yoga wasn't taken out of your Q proposal before it was agreed upon, was it, sir? 16 17 Α No. 18 Now, you mentioned a good distinction. That's a distinction between the Jois Foundation and the Jois yoga 19 20 studios; right? 21 Α Yes. 22 But they're connected -- they're parent and Q 23 sister or brother and sister corporations, are they not? Don't they work together? 24 I don't know what their relationship is. 25 Α 26 And the teachers that taught yoga to the Okay. students needed to be certified and trained by Jois yoga 27 28 instructors; correct?

1 Their skills were assessed to make sure that they 2 were able to teach yoga by Jois certified instructors, 3 yes. 4 And those Jois certified instructors, at least in part, came from the local Jois shala in Encinitas; right? 5 From the yoga studio, yes. 6 7 Okay. And they only teach Ashtanga yoga there, Q 8 to your knowledge; right? 9 I've already said I don't know what else Α No. they teach or what they teach, but... 10 11 Q Okay. Now, do you know what the goals of the 12 Jois Foundation are as an organization, why they exist? 13 You said they exist to give money to schools, but why do they give money to schools? What's the purpose of them 14 15 giving money to schools? 16 MR. SLEETH: Objection; relevance. 17 THE COURT: Overruled. 18 Do you know? 19 THE WITNESS: I don't know what their purpose is, 20 but I can tell you that our purpose in -- anytime we work 21 with a foundation --BY MR. BROYLES: 2.2 23 That's not -- I'm just going to --Q 24 Α Yeah. 25 -- cut you off because that's not the question I Q 26 asked. I don't know their stated purposes. 27 Α 28 Did you do any due diligence or research as to Q

1 what the stated purpose of the Jois Foundation is, sir, 2 before you entered into a partnership with them? Not as to their stated overall goals. We do 3 know -- they did express to me that one of their goals was 4 5 to improve the health and wellness in students. They were concerned about student obesity, diabetes. And in many of 6 7 my conversations, we had similar goals. So that was -that set of goals matched our goals, and that's why we 8 9 formed the partnership. Are you aware that Jois Foundation 10 Q 11 representatives have repeatedly publicly stated that their 12 goal is to teach the -- or spread the teaching of Shri K. 13 Pattabhi Jois? MR. PECK: Lacks foundation. 14 15 THE COURT: Overruled. 16 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of that. That's 17 never been expressed to me, that they were doing that. BY MR. BROYLES: 18 Are you aware that the Jois Foundation, part of 19 20 their reason for existence is to spread Ashtanga yoga nationwide and worldwide in public schools, sir? 21 They have -- they have expressed that they would 2.2 23 like to spread a health and wellness program that consists 24 of yoga and other elements to other school districts. 25 Q So are you denying that their goal is to spread

A I would tell you that in our early conversations, the term "Ashtanga yoga" was used. That has not been used

26

27

28

Ashtanga yoga, sir?

in -- as our relationship has evolved and as our 1 2 curriculum has evolved, that has not been used. 3 don't know if that's their stated purpose today. 4 So you've read none of the media reports that say Q 5 that their goal is to spread specifically only Ashtanga 6 yoga? 7 I have not heard them say that or seen that. I do know that they have a desire to spread yoga programs to 8 9 other school districts that include health and wellness elements and that we are developing that. 10 11 Q Now, under the terms of the grant, if a teacher 12 wanted to teach yoga in your school district, but the Jois 13 Foundation wouldn't put their seal of approval on them, you wouldn't allow them to teach yoga, would you? 14 15 If they did not meet the minimum requirements to be able to teach yoga, that is correct. 16 17 Q Okay. 18 They wouldn't canter into our pool to be selected from. 19 20 So you look to a pool of candidates that comes from Jois; right? 21 No. We look for a pool of candidates that are 22 Α 23 certified to teach yoga and have the capability to teach 24 yoqa. 25 Sir --0 26 But Jois has helped us determine that pool of 27 candidates.

Okay. So it's a very narrow pool of candidates,

28

Q

is it not? 1 2 I don't know how narrow that pool is. 3 some P.E. teachers within the district who were able to teach yoga that are now part of our yoga program. 4 5 candidates came from lots of different places. 6 Okay. But let me ask you the question this way: 7 If I'm a yoga teacher and I come to the district in the 8 fall of last year and I want a job, okay, and I'm 9 certified by -- to teach Bikram yoga, okay, that's not enough, is it? 10 11 Α You would have to --12 Q No, no. Just answer the question. 13

- -- assess --Α
- Is it enough that I'm certified to teach Bikram Q Is that enough? yoga?
 - Enough for what? Α
- Enough to be hired by -- to teach in the Q district.
- Α No.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Okay. And the reason it's not enough is because there was an additional requirement of the teacher; right?
- We looked for lots of elements. I -- so even if you just showed up and you could teach a different type of yoga, we look for lots of elements before we hired or selected people.
- 26 Let me be more specific.
- 27 Α Yeah.
- 28 Q The other requirement that I'd have to have

besides being a certified yoga instructor in Bikram yoga			
would be that the Jois Foundation or Jois yoga shala would			
have to put their stamp of approval on me, too; isn't that			
correct, sir?			
A They would have to let us know that this person			
had the ability to teach yoga, yes.			
Q So you weren't entirely in control of the			
teachers, were you?			
MR. SLEETH: Objection; argumentative.			
THE COURT: Sustained.			
BY MR. BROYLES:			
Q Was the district in complete control of who these			
teachers were?			
A We selected the teachers. They needed to have			
minimum requirements in terms of being able to teach yoga.			
Q But there's lots of different types of yoga out			
there; right?			
A Yes, there are.			
Q Okay. And			
THE COURT: Can I ask a question just before I			
forget?			
MR. BROYLES: Yes, Your Honor.			
THE COURT: Was part of the certification that			
they teach yoga to children or were these people that			
could teach it to everybody?			
THE WITNESS: I think that some of our			
instructors have had experiences teaching with children,			
but not all necessarily when they came in.			

BY MR. BROYLES:

Q So it's fair to say that Jois could veto an

instructor because Jois did not approve of that

instructor?

A Jois could tell us that the instructor did not

have the skill set necessary to teach yoga, and they would

not have been considered, that's correct.

Q So even if they were certified by Bikram or a hundred others yoga studios or groups or organizations out there, if they weren't approved by Jois, you wouldn't hire them; is that correct?

A If Jois did not tell us they had the skill set to teach yoga, that would be correct, we wouldn't have looked at them in the pool.

Q Okay. Now, I'm assuming that as an executive director of the district, you did your due diligence before agreeing to invite the Jois Foundation onto your nine school campuses; is that correct?

A I'm not sure what you're asking.

Q Well, I'm -- before -- the grant says you partnered with the Jois Foundation; right? Is that correct?

A That's correct.

2.2

Q All right. And in your partnership, the Jois Foundation at least had some say into who you were hiring; right?

A They had to approve the skill set. They didn't help us select who we hired.

1	Q Okay. But they were determining, at least in			
2	part, who your teachers might be; right?			
3	A They didn't select the teachers.			
4	Q They didn't hire the teachers, but they helped			
5	select the teachers; isn't that true, Mr. Baird?			
6	A They didn't help select the teachers. They			
7	certified that they had the yoga skills necessary, and			
8	from that pool we selected the teachers.			
9	Q Okay. My question is this, sir: Before you'd			
10	entered this partnership into the Jois Foundation, did you			
11	do due diligence about the Jois Foundation and what they			
12	believed and what they promoted?			
13	A I'm not sure what you're asking me. What we			
14	knew that they had common goals in terms of promoting			
15	student health and welfare with young people and			
16	developing school programs to do that work.			
17	Q Okay. I'm going to back up for one moment and			
18	ask you the question a different way.			
19	The set of teachers or the pool of teachers			
20	from which you hired were hiring was was the pool			
21	a pool of teachers that would only include Jois-approved			
22	teachers; is that correct?			
23	A They had to assess whether they had the yoga			
24	skills to teach the program.			
25	Q So the answer is yes; right?			
26	A Well, it depends on how you ask it. But yes,			
27	they had to approve that they had the yoga skills. They			
28	did not select the teachers.			

1 Do you know -- do you know what criteria the Jois 2 Foundation used to put their stamp of approval on these instructors before you ultimately hired them? 3 4 Α I assume they assessed whether they could do the 5 poses correctly and whether they had a working 6 understanding of yoga. That's part of the reason that we 7 partnered with an expert in the field to do that. Sir, respectfully, I don't want to know what you 8 9 I want to know what you know. And so let me ask the question a different way. 10 11 Do you know whether one of the criteria that Jois 12 used before they put their stamp of approval on potential 13 hirees of the district was that those individuals had to be certified in Ashtanga yoga? 14 15 Α No. 16 So you don't know that --Q 17 Α No. 18 0 -- either way? 19 Α No. 20 Q So they might have used that criteria; is that 21 correct? I -- I don't believe they did because I know we 2.2 23 had two P.E. teachers who were selected to serve in the 24 program. So -- and I don't believe they were, but I --25 but no, I don't know that for sure. 26 Now, sometime in October of November of Okay. 27 2011, the district put out a document called the 28 "Frequently Asked Questions."

ĺ	1	
1		Do you recall that document, sir?
2	А	I do.
3	Q	Could you look at Exhibit No. 5.
4		Do you recognize this document?
5	А	I do.
6		THE COURT: Are you offering 5?
7		MR. BROYLES: Yes, Your Honor.
8		THE COURT: Any objection?
9		MR. SLEETH: No objection.
10		THE COURT: Okay. 5 is in.
11		(Exhibit 5 received into evidence.)
12	BY MR. B	ROYLES:
13	Q	Now, Dr. Baird, did you review this document
14	before i	t was published?
15	A	I did.
16	Q	Okay. And this was a document that was created
17	by the d	istrict after a parent complaint started; right?
18	А	That's correct.
19	Q	And after there were some reports that were
20	starting	in the media about this whole program; right?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Okay. Now, in the document which you indicated
23	that you	reviewed, the district claims to be in charge of
24	the curr	iculum and in charge of the training of the
25	teachers	; right?
26	A	That is correct.
27	Q	So that's consistent with your prior testimony;
28	right?	

1 Α Yes. 2 Why was it -- this document starts out in the 0 3 very early paragraphs on Page 1 noting that the district was in charge of the curriculum and the teachers; correct? 4 5 MR. SLEETH: Objection; misstates the document. 6 It doesn't start out with that. 7 BY MR. BROYLES: Let me go to -- Question 2, which is the 8 Q Okay. 9 second question on Page 1, talks about you being in charge of the curriculum; right? 10 11 Α Yes. 12 Q And by "you," I mean the district; right? 13 Yes. Α That's consistent with your earlier testimony; 14 Q 15 right? 16 Α That is correct. 17 And you also -- it's also stated in this document Q 18 that the district is training the teachers; right? Α 19 Yes. 20 And that the teachers meet on a weekly basis for 21 staff development; right? That is correct. 2.2 Α 23 So that's consistent with your prior testimony; Q 24 right? 25 Α Yes. 26 All right. Now, in this document, I don't see --27 in all three pages of this document, I don't see the word 28 "Ashtanga" mentioned anywhere.

1 Is that an accurate statement? 2 Α That's an accurate statement. Why, Dr. Baird, was Ashtanga yoga not 3 4 mentioned once in this document that's called the 5 Encinitas Union School District Yoga Program? Because this document was written later in the 6 7 I think the only documents that you have school year. referred to that have Ashtanga in it were at the 8 9 developmental stage of the program. After our teachers and our assistant 10 11 superintendent began designing and developing this 12 program, we realized that we were creating the Encinitas It isn't Ashtanga. 13 Union School District Yoga Program. It's not Broga. It's not any of the other things you 14 15 mentioned. I think it's our unique program. 16 therefore, it would be wrong to call it Ashtanga. 17 Now, Dr. Baird, earlier you said you didn't Q 18 really know what Ashtanga yoga was; is that true? Α That's correct. 19 20 So if -- if the program created by Encinitas was 21 actually Ashtanga yoga, you wouldn't know, would you, sir? 2.2 А No, but I would know that my teachers would know, 23 and they have mentioned this. 24 But you're the one who's in charge; right? 0 25 You're the captain of the ship; right? 26 Α Yes. 27 And you couldn't tell me, as we sit here today, 28 whether a program is Ashtanga-based or not Ashtanga-based,

1 could you, sir? 2 No, I couldn't unless somebody told me, which 3 they did. 4 MR. BROYLES: Move to strike, Your Honor. 5 Nonresponsive. 6 THE COURT: All right. 7 BY MR. BROYLES: 8 Now, isn't, it true that the district 9 intentionally omitted the word "Ashtanga" because it was trying to distance itself from appearing entangled with a 10 11 very religious form of yoga, specifically Ashtanga yoga? 12 MR. SLEETH: Objection; argumentative. 13 THE COURT: Overruled. 14 THE WITNESS: No. 15 BY MR. BROYLES: 16 Why did you omit Ashtanga yoga from this Q 17 document, sir? 18 Α Because at this point in our curriculum development, our teachers, who are well-versed in what 19 Ashtanga yoga is, stated in our curriculum development 20 21 meetings that we were doing something different and that we were creating our own unique version of a yoga program. 2.2 23 At that point in time, we decided that it did not make 24 sense to use the term "Ashtanga" because it doesn't apply. 25 Well, your goal in writing this document was to Q 26 get information and truth out to the public; right? 27 Α Yes.

And by the "public," we mean parents who were

28

Q

concerned about the program in the district; right? 1 2 Yes. Α By the "public," we also mean the media; right? 3 Q 4 Α Yes. 5 Anybody else we mean by the "public"? Q Okay. Our community. 6 Α 7 So you wanted to be truthful with the Q Okay. 8 community by preparing this document; right? 9 Α Yes. These weren't just talking points; right? 10 Q 11 Α Right. All right. So why, Mr. Baird, did you not say 12 Q 13 clearly, "We are not teaching Ashtanga yoga in the district"? 14 15 Α Nobody seemed to be worried about that. 16 So didn't parents complain to you at board Q 17 meetings about Ashtanga yoga specifically, sir? 18 Α I don't know that they were complaining about the yoga specifically. I know that you mentioned Ashtanga 19 20 yoga at our board meetings, but I don't believe that that 21 was the crux of the concern. I think what we were hearing were some of these other things that are addressed here in 22 23 the frequently answered questions. 24 Well, sir, you received dozens of e-mails from Q 25 several different parents, right, about the program? 26 Right? 27 Α Thousands.

Okay. And some of those e-mails that were

28

Q

concerned about the program specifically mentioned 1 2 Ashtanga yoga; right? 3 Some did. 4 And Ashtanga yoga was brought up at at least two Q 5 or three school board meetings; isn't that true? 6 It was mentioned. 7 Why do you not -- if it's not Ashtanga Okay. 8 yoga, why aren't you telling the public, the media, 9 concerned parents, "This is not Ashtanga yoga"? I think we answer that in some of the questions 10 Α 11 indirectly when we talk about how this program differs 12 from other programs and why we're doing it and what some 13 of the goals of the program are. Now, on Page 1, the district claims the yoga --14 15 quote, "The yoga program taught in Encinitas Union School 16 District provides no religious instruction whatsoever. 17 There is no discussion of spiritualism, mysticism, or 18 religion in any context." Do you see that, Mr. Baird? 19 20 Α Yes, I do. 21 And you saw this before it went out; right? Q Yes. 2.2 Α 23 And you approved it; right? Q 24 I wrote it. Α 25 Q You wrote it. 26 So these are your words? 27 Α Yes. 28 You already told us that you were not an expert Q

i	
1	on Ashtanga yoga; right?
2	A Correct.
3	Q Okay. Are you an expert on world religion, sir?
4	A No.
5	Q Are you an expert on how to define religion?
6	A No.
7	Q Are you an expert on how to define spiritualism?
8	A No.
9	Q Are you an expert on how to define mysticism?
10	A No.
11	Q And as we've already established, you certainly
12	are not an expert in Ashtanga yoga; correct?
13	A That's correct.
14	Q Okay. How could you make this statement, sir, if
15	you don't know?
16	MR. SLEETH: Objection; argumentative.
17	THE COURT: How could he make what statement?
18	It's not
19	BY MR. BROYLES:
20	Q How can you make the statement that it's not
21	religious in any context, not spiritual, mystic, et
22	cetera, et cetera? How could you make this statement if
23	you don't know?
24	MR. SLEETH: Argumentative.
25	THE COURT: Sustained. It's argumentative.
26	BY MR. BROYLES:
27	Q What's the basis for your statement here, sir?
28	A Well, I am an expert in curriculum. I am an

expert in physical education. And I've observed hundreds 1 2 our classes. In those classes, this is P.E. The kids are 3 being told to stretch, to work out. There is no 4 discussion in those classes of these elements. 5 What elements? Q Of spiritualism, mysticism, or religion. 6 Wе 7 don't teach that. There's not part of that. 8 If I could demonstrate to you from your 9 curriculum and what was happening in those classes that there were those elements in there, what would you do, 10 11 Mr. Baird? Well, it depends on what your demonstration would 12 13 consist of. If it demonstrates to you, your own perception, I can't change your perception. 14 15 I'm asking if I could demonstrate to you in your 16 perception, sir. 17 If there was religion in our program, we would Α 18 take it out because we do not teach religion. But how would you know, sir, that there was 19 20 religion in your program? 21 MR. SLEETH: Relevance. 22 THE COURT: Well --23 MR. SLEETH: Argumentative. I'm not sure how productive this is 24 THE COURT: 25 or probative it is. It's kind of an amorphous -- like 26 fighting phantoms, if I might. 27 MR. BROYLES: Your Honor, I appreciate that. 28 ///

BY MR. BROYLES: 1 2 You wrote this; right? 3 Α Yes. 4 Could you please describe to the Court what you Q 5 understand spiritualism to mean. When we wrote -- when I wrote this, you know, I 6 7 was assuming spiritualism to mean something related to a religious nature, something involving divine beings, a 8 9 dogma. Please describe to the Court what you understand 10 11 mysticism to mean. Similar. 12 Α 13 Mysticism. Q What's mysticism? 14 15 Yes, similar answer; relating to religion. Α 16 Please describe for the Court what you understand Q 17 religion to mean. 18 Α Relating to the worship of higher beings. And so it's your testimony that if the program 19 20 included any of those elements that we just went over, 21 that it doesn't belong in your school; right? If it included something that an average student 22 23 would take to be religion, then, yes, I -- we follow the Constitution. 24 Now on Page 2 of the frequently asked 25 Q Okay. 26 questions, you explain that the district has made the yoga program more kid-friendly by removing cultural references; 27 28 right?

1	A Yes.
2	Q What do you mean by "cultural references"?
3	A That would be items such as the use of Sanskrit
4	or references to the origin of yoga coming from India.
5	Q Okay. And why were you concerned about
6	connections of yoga coming from India, references to that?
7	A Because some of our parents exhibited concerns
8	about that. Frankly, it's all things come from somewhere,
9	and usually we do bring in cultural references.
10	Q Would you admit that some cultural references are
11	religious references?
12	A Many. Our culture
13	Q As a matter of fact, different cultures have
14	different religious; right?
15	A Right.
16	Q And some of those cultural references are
17	religious references, right, or many of them are? Right?
18	A I think culture much of culture originates
19	from religion, yes.
20	Q Then why didn't you use the word here "religious"
21	references, that you were removing "religious" references,
22	sir?
23	A Because we weren't removing religious references.
24	We were removing cultural references. Sanskrit is a
25	language in the same way that Hebrew is not a religion.
26	It's a language.
27	Q One of the things that was taken down from the
28	walls after parents started complaining was an Ashtanga

1 tree that showed the different levels of the eight limbs 2 of Ashtanga in Sanskrit; right? There was one classroom that had that on it, yes. 3 4 0 And --5 Mr. Broyles, I notice that we have THE COURT: 6 about four minutes till noon, and I want to give you a 7 good breaking point, if this is it. 8 MR. BROYLES: We're almost there, Your Honor. Wе 9 have a couple more minutes. THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to break at noon or 10 11 before. So... 12 MR. BROYLES: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. 13 BY MR. BROYLES: Those words were in Sanskrit; right? 14 0 15 They were. Α 16 And to your -- do you know whether those were Q 17 explained to the children in English? 18 Α I don't. Do you know what "samadhi" means, sir? 19 0 20 Α No, I don't. 21 If I told that you one of the words was -- on Q that wall was "samadhi," would you be surprised? 2.2 23 Α I don't know what I would feel, sir. 24 Do you know -- are you aware that "samadhi" means 25 union with the divine or absorption into the universal? 26 Α I told you I don't know what the word means. If "samadhi" does mean an absorption into the 27 28 universal or union with the divine, would you call that a

1	cultural reference or a religious reference or both?
2	A Possibly both, but probably cultural because it's
3	a yoga poster, and there are elements of yoga in
4	mainstream culture that utilize some of those terms.
5	Q But that's at least if that's what
6	"samadhi" means, that's at least a religious reference, is
7	it not?
8	A It it could be a connection back to the
9	religious origination, but that doesn't make it religious
10	necessarily.
11	Q Is union with the divine or absorption into the
12	universal could that mean anything but a religious
13	basis, sir?
14	A Well, again, this was a poster on a wall in one
15	classroom that was there I believe to promote yoga. So
16	was it there to promote religion, I don't believe so.
17	THE COURT: Mr. Broyles, on that note, I think
18	we're running out of time.
19	MR. BROYLES: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: So we'll resume at 1:30. Have a good
21	lunch. We'll see you at 1:30.
22	
23	(The lunch recess was taken at 11:59 a.m.)
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Ī	1
1	SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA, MONDAY, MAY 20, 2013; 1:31 P.M.
2	
3	THE COURT: All right. We're back on the record.
4	MR. BROYLES: Yes, your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Mr. Baird, you're still under oath.
6	Ready to proceed, Mr. Broyles?
7	MR. BROYLES: Yes, your Honor.
8	
9	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
LO	(Resumed)
L1	BY MR. BROYLES:
L2	Q Mr. Baird, before lunch, I asked you about some
L3	additional documents about the yoga program. I want to
L4	continue with that line of questioning.
L5	As you sit here today, is it your testimony that
L6	the EUSD is in compliance with the Jois EUSD grant?
L7	A Are we in compliance with the memorandum of
L8	understanding?
L9	Q The grant.
20	A The grant proposal was a proposal. The
21	memorandum of understanding is the document that is the
22	binding document. We are in compliance with the
23	memorandum of understanding.
24	Q Okay. And as attached to the memorandum of
25	understanding and incorporated therein was the grant;
26	correct?
27	THE COURT: You know, we went over this this
28	morning, and I'm not sure that was established. The

ı	
1	document refers to Exhibit A.
2	BY MR. BROYLES:
3	Q Look at Exhibit A one more time, sir.
4	A Is that No. 1?
5	Q Yes.
6	THE COURT: The problem is the document doesn't
7	have an Exhibit A. The original might or might not.
8	MR. BROYLES: Your Honor, he testified that there
9	was
10	THE COURT: I know what he testified.
11	MR. BROYLES: something attached to it and it
12	looked like appears to be the same document as the
13	grant.
14	THE COURT: I'm not sure that's exactly what he
15	testified.
16	THE WITNESS: That's not
17	THE COURT: That's not what he testified to.
18	BY MR. BROYLES:
19	Q Was the grant attached to the memorandum of
20	understanding that you signed with the Jois Foundation,
21	sir?
22	A I don't believe that that particular
23	necessarily the proposal was a separate document. We
24	sent the proposal. The memorandum of understanding stands
25	on its own.
26	THE COURT: Can I
27	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
28	THE COURT: The memorandum of understanding

refers to Exhibit A. 1 2 Do you know what Exhibit A --3 THE WITNESS: Not without seeing it. 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 BY MR. BROYLES: Okay. Look at that grant, Exhibit 1, you have in 6 0 7 front of you. 8 Α Yes, the proposal. 9 Okay. Does it appear to be the same document Q that was incorporated into the MOU? Are there any 10 11 differences that you recall by looking at that document? 12 Α I don't know. We had a couple of proposals that were done prior to the MOU. 13 14 Q Okay. 15 And that's my hesitation. This was a proposal, Α 16 yes. 17 Okay. And the question I have, sir, is looking Q 18 at that document -- and I want you to look at it. not that long. It's only one page; right? 19 20 No. It's two pages. 21 The two pages that you're looking at, do Okay. 22 you see anything by looking at that document that was 23 different from the grant -- the grant proposal that was 24 attached ultimately as Exhibit A to the MOU? 25 Α I would need to compare them side by side if we're looking for differences between a proposal and a 26 formal MOU. 27 28 I showed you the complete document earlier, and Q

you --

2.2

A No. You showed me this document behind the other document. It did not say Attachment A. It was not the formal document, sir.

THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Broyles, we've been over and over this. I mean, we're getting kind of in a rut here. There is no original document, if I understand the state of record. What you showed him was something that was a copy of a copy. And the document refers to Exhibit A. We don't know, I don't know, he apparently doesn't know what Exhibit A is or was. It probably was a proposal. I'm assuming that. That's a pretty safe assumption.

MR. BROYLES: Okay.

THE COURT: But do you know sitting here whether the document you signed, which is Exhibit 2, had an Exhibit A and, if it did, what that was?

THE WITNESS: It references an Exhibit A, but we had a couple of different proposals that we had worked on, so I can't tell you specifically whether it is this exact one.

THE COURT: I don't think we're going to get any better than that at this point unless we get an original document with his original signature.

MR. BROYLES: Your Honor, I will just represent for the Court that the document that we showed him earlier was a document provided pursuant to a public records request from the district.

1 THE COURT: Well --2 MR. BROYLES: And --Then he would, I guess, recognize it. 3 THE COURT: 4 I don't know. 5 MR. BROYLES: Well, I can go paragraph by paragraph through Exhibit A and ask him if he recalls 6 7 whether that was included, but this is --BY MR. BROYLES: 8 9 Mr. Baird, I'm going to read you a paragraph. 0 "The following proposal puts into place a partnership 10 11 between the Jois Foundation and the Encinitas Union School District." 12 13 MR. BROYLES: And by the way, your Honor, the foundation admissibility of these documents, because they 14 15 were from the district, was already stipulated to. And 16 so --17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MR. BROYLES: -- we're --19 THE WITNESS: I'm not disputing that this was one 20 of the proposals that we had worked upon. 21 MR. BROYLES: Okay. I will proceed. 2.2 BY MR. BROYLES: 23 "The following proposal puts into place a Q 24 partnership between the Jois Foundation and the Encinitas 25 Union School District to deliver a world-class mind/body 26 wellness program at all nine Encinitas elementary 27 schools." 28 To your understanding, Mr. Baird, was that

language in -- ultimately in Exhibit A attached to the 1 2 MOU? I'm sorry. I'm going to have to repeat myself, 3 4 your Honor, that I don't know, without looking at the 5 formal signed MOU with the exhibit, what specific language was used. 6 7 MR. BROYLES: One moment, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Baird, are you aware of any other 8 9 document that might have been attached as Exhibit A to the MOU besides Exhibit 1, the grant proposal we have here 10 11 before us? 12 THE WITNESS: If you're asking were there other 13 proposals, yes, there were. THE COURT: All right. Where were these 14 15 proposals? 16 There are proposals that we had THE WITNESS: 17 worked on that ultimately we took component parts from 18 those proposals to put into the MOU. BY MR. BROYLES: 19 20 Okay. But the MOU incorporates Exhibit A; right? There was an Exhibit A it references, yes. 21 Α 22 Okay. Now, did those other potential versions of Q 23 Exhibit A exclude Ashtanga yoga or not include Ashtanga 24 yoqa? 25 Without looking at them, I can't tell you what Α specific language was used, to be honest. 26 Okay. As we sit here today and as you look at 27 28 Exhibit A, do you have any reason to believe that it

1 wasn't ultimately -- this document wasn't ultimately 2 attached as Exhibit A to the MOU? I'm sorry. The grant 3 proposal. 4 Is the question do I know that this wasn't the 5 document? Yeah. 6 0 7 Do you have any reason to believe it's not the 8 document? 9 This may have been the document. Α Okay. Now, my question, then, is as you look at 10 Q 11 the document in front of you, hypothetically assuming that the grant you have in front of you was ultimately attached 12 13 to the MOU, is there anything in that document, Exhibit 1, that, to your knowledge, the district has not complied 14 15 with? 16 The grant proposal is not an agreement. Α 17 proposal. And so I would say that the proposal began with 18 certain concepts that have evolved over time. So is it your testimony that you're not in 19 20 compliance with the grant proposal, sir? 21 I don't know that you can be in compliance with a proposal. A proposal is a proposal. You can be in 22 23 compliance with a memorandum of understanding. Okay. Well, let me ask you that question. 24 0 25 Are you in compliance -- is the district in 26 compliance with the memorandum of understanding between the Jois Foundation and EUSD --27

28

Α

I believe --

1 -- as we sit here today? Q 2 Α I believe we are. 3 Now, Exhibit 3 I believe I might have touched on Q 4 already. I'd like you to turn to Exhibit 3, please. 5 Do you recognize this document? 6 Α Yes, I do. 7 Okay. It's the RDG memo between Encinitas Union Q School District and Regur Development Group; correct? 8 9 Yes, the Regur. Α 10 Q I'm sorry. The Regur. 11 MR. BROYLES: Do we already have this in 12 evidence? 13 THE COURT: Yes. 14 MR. BROYLES: Okay. 15 BY MR. BROYLES: 16 As we sit here today, is EUSD in compliance with Q 17 the memorandum that we've marked as Exhibit 3? 18 Α Yes. 19 Okay. Now, the purpose of this MOU, at least in 20 part, was to clarify and manage expectations for the 21 effective implementation of the grant provided by the Jois Foundation; correct? 2.2 23 Α Yes. 24 By the grant provided by the Jois Foundation, 25 we're talking about the MOU and grant that generally we've 26 marked as Exhibit 1 and 2; correct? No. Exhibit 2, I believe, is the MOU. 27 28 Okay. So this document, then -- I'm sorry. Q

1 "Accordingly," it says here, "the details and expectations 2 outlined within this MOU are contingent upon EUSD 3 receiving funds from the Jois Foundation." 4 Do you see that, sir? 5 No. Α Where are you reading from? 6 7 The end of Paragraph 2 on Page 1. Q THE COURT: Of what exhibit? 8 9 MR. BROYLES: Exhibit 3, your Honor. apologize. 10 11 THE WITNESS: Yes, I see that. BY MR. BROYLES: 12 13 So was it your understanding that if the district didn't comply with the terms of this agreement, the 14 district wouldn't be paid by the Jois Foundation? 15 I'm sorry. Would you repeat the question. 16 Α 17 Is it your understanding that -- from what I just 18 read that if EUSD failed to comply with this document, that the Jois Foundation wouldn't pay you? 19 20 No, that's -- you're reading it backwards. What this is saying is if we don't get paid, then this 21 agreement is null and void, not vice versa. 2.2 23 Okay. Now, it says here, "EUSD agrees to," in 0 24 the first paragraph there under "EUSD/RDG 25 Responsibilities," "EUSD agrees to coordinate with RDG to 26 ensure that development of any curricular materials is in alignment with the expectations of the grant." 27 28 Do you see that, sir?

1 You're on the first paragraph now? Α 2 I'm sorry. Subparagraph E near the bottom Q Yeah. 3 of the first page. 4 Do you see that? 5 Yes. Α 6 So EUSD was agreeing to coordinate with Okay. 7 RDG to ensure the development of curricular materials; 8 right? 9 Α Yes. Okay. And specifically it says, "in alignment 10 Q 11 with the expectations of the grant." 12 Do you see that? 13 Α Yes. It doesn't say in alignment with expectations of 14 Q 15 the MOU, does it, sir? 16 No. It says, "grant." Α 17 Okay. By the grant, we're referring to some 18 version of Exhibit 1; right? Well, no. That was a proposal. The grant was 19 20 contingent upon the MOU. A proposal was a proposal. A 21 MOU is an agreement. 22 0 But this says the grant. It doesn't say the MOU, 23 sir. Well, I would say that the grant was given on 24 Α 25 condition of the MOU. The proposal laid out some initial 26 ideas. The MOU laid out the conditions of the grant. the conditions of the grant that are being referenced here 27

are that a curriculum would be developed that was a health

28

and wellness curriculum that incorporated yoga. 1 2 Now, let's turn to Page 2. It says here Okay. 3 under G, "RDG agrees to, A, employer contract, Jois 4 Foundation certified instructors who will provide 5 instruction in health and wellness" -- I'm sorry -- "in health and wellness instructors at all nine schools." 6 7 That seems to be a typo there. 8 Α Yes. 9 The question I want to ask is, it says that RDG 0 is specifically going to employ and/or contract Jois 10 11 Foundation certified instructors. 12 Do you see that, sir? 13 Yes, I do. Α Okay. My question is that is in compliance or in 14 0 15 alignment with the grant terminology that required Jois to 16 be involved in certifying the yoga instructors; correct? 17 Yes, that they have yoga skills specific so that Α 18 they could actually do the yoga poses and the sequences. That's what Jois did for us to make sure that they could 19 20 actually teach yoga. And it says here they're actually Jois Foundation 21 certified instructors, doesn't it, sir? 2.2 23 Α Yes, it does. Okay. So wasn't Jois yoga or the Jois shala --24 Q 25 it was the Jois Foundation specifically that was 26 certifying these Ashtanga yoga instructors; isn't that true, sir? 27

Well, it says "foundation," but I believe that

28

Α

1 was a typo as well. But the foundation doesn't have the expertise to certify instructors. 2 3 Now, it says also here -- that's not what the Q 4 document says, though; right? 5 Α Pardon me? That's not what the document says; right? 6 7 No, it's not. Α 8 Okay. So it would be your testimony it wasn't Q 9 really the Jois Foundation certifying the instructors, it was really the Jois shala Encinitas that was certifying 10 11 the instructors. 12 Is that your testimony? 13 My testimony is that they assured us that they had the yoga skills necessary to teach in our classrooms 14 15 in our health and wellness program. When you say "they," who are you talking about? 16 Q The Jois studio. 17 Α 18 Okay. So you're saying it was really the studio, not the foundation. 19 20 Is that your testimony? Yes, that's correct. 21 Α 2.2 Did you -- did you notice that when you --Q 23 No. And I also missed the typo after that --Α Hold on. 24 0 25 Α -- says health and wellness instructors instead 26 of instruction. There's no pending question. Let me finish 27 28 before you cut me off.

To your knowledge, what expertise does the Jois 1 2 Foundation have? To my knowledge, they are more of a foundation 3 4 than -- they're a business entity. They raise money and 5 they distribute it to worthwhile causes. Okay. Now, it says here that, "RDG agrees to 6 7 employee and/or contract with curriculum developers to help create replicable and scalable curriculum to support 8 9 health and wellness instructors." Do you see that, sir? 10 11 Α Yes. 12 And that mimics language that we saw in Q 13 Exhibit 1, the grant document; right? I don't know. I'd have to go back to see that. 14 15 I don't think the grant document talks about Regur 16 Development at all. 17 No, but it talks about developing a replicable Q and scalable curriculum, doesn't it, sir? 18 Yes, it does. Yes. 19 Α Okay. So that's consistent with what we've 20 talked about in Exhibit 1; correct? 21 2.2 Yes, in terms of we're developing a health and 23 wellness curriculum. Okay. And then it goes on to say, if you go down 24 0 25 to Paragraph E, Subparagraph E, "RDG agrees to pilot this 26 curriculum in EUSD schools gathering feedback from district representatives and representatives from the Jois 27 28 Foundation to improve the curriculum."

1 Do you see that, sir? 2 Α I do. So the Jois Foundation was directly involved in 3 4 the development of this curriculum, wasn't it, sir? 5 No, they weren't. Α Then why would it say that feedback -- why was 6 7 any feedback from the Jois Foundation necessary to improve the curriculum, then? 8 9 I don't know why that would be there, but the Α Jois Foundation did not help us develop the curriculum. 10 11 Q Well, did you ask to strike that language from 12 the agreement after you saw it there, sir? 13 No, I did not. 14 0 Why not? 15 This was in the very early stages of our 16 development of this program. But I can tell you from 17 firsthand experience that it has been our yoga teachers, 18 our health and wellness teachers, with our assistant superintendent that has created this program. 19 20 And you want the Court to believe that Jois yoga 21 had little to nothing to do with its selection of the instructors; is that true? 2.2 23 MR. SLEETH: Objection. That's argumentative. 24 THE WITNESS: Jois --25 THE COURT: Sustained. 26 THE WITNESS: Jois yoga is not mentioned in here. 27 BY MR. BROYLES: 28 I'm talking about the Jois Foundation, sir. Q

1 You want the Court to believe that the Jois 2 Foundation didn't have anything to do with the development 3 of the curriculum; is that true? 4 MR. SLEETH: Objection; argumentative. 5 THE COURT: Yeah. Just rephrase the question. Ι think he's already answered the question, but --6 7 BY MR. BROYLES: 8 The Jois Foundation, you want the Court to -- you Q 9 want us to believe that Jois Foundation had nothing to do with the curriculum; is that true? 10 11 MR. SLEETH: Same objection. 12 THE COURT: Well, you just -- I think he's 13 already answered it, but ask did the Jois Foundation have anything to do with the curriculum. 14 BY MR. BROYLES: 15 16 Did the Jois Foundation have anything to do with Q 17 the curriculum? 18 Α With developing the curriculum? 19 0 Yes. 20 Α No. 21 Did the Jois Foundation have anything to do with Q 2.2 hiring the teachers? 23 Α No. And yet in this document, this document actually 24 0 indicates that the Jois Foundation was heavily involved 25 26 both in the hiring of the teachers and the development of the curriculum; isn't that true, Mr. Baird? 27 28 MR. SLEETH: Objection; argument.

1 MR. PECK: Document speaks for itself. 2 THE COURT: Sustained on both grounds. 3 BY MR. BROYLES: 4 Q Okay. Now, let's move to Page 3 of the document, 5 sir. THE COURT: We're still on Exhibit 3? 6 7 MR. BROYLES: Yes, Your Honor. 8 BY MR. BROYLES: 9 About three or four lines down, it says, 0 "Regarding key dates, July, Jois Foundation provides 10 11 training to certify candidates to serve as health and wellness instructors." 12 13 Do you see that, sir? On July? 14 Α 15 July, yes. Q 16 "To employ or contract as health and wellness Α 17 instructors." Oh, I see it, the one above, yes. 18 Q It says, "Jois Foundation provides training to certify candidates to serve as health and wellness 19 20 instructors." 21 Do you see that, sir? 2.2 I do. Α 23 And, in fact, in July of 2012, the Jois Foundation did provide training to certify candidates to 24 serve as health and wellness instructors; isn't that true? 25 26 They provide yoga training. There was no curriculum to provide training in at that time. We were 27 28 writing the curriculum.

1 I'm not asking about the curriculum, sir. 2 asking about what this document says. 3 It says right here, again, "The Jois Foundation 4 provides training to certify candidates to serve as health 5 and wellness instructors." Do you see that? 6 7 Yes, they did get training in yoga. So Jois was specifically training teachers who 8 Q 9 would teach in your classroom in yoga; is that correct? Α 10 Yes. 11 All right. Now, look at the next line. It says, 12 "District representatives" -- also in July, "District 13 representatives, school administrators, and Jois Foundation members are providing -- or provided the 14 15 opportunity to present candidates to RDG to employ or 16 contract as health and wellness instructors." 17 Do you see that, sir? 18 Α Yes, I do. Okay. So the candidates that you received -- or 19 20 that you considered came from different sources; right? 21 That is correct. Α One of those sources was the Jois Foundation 2.2 Q 23 members; is that not correct, sir? That's correct. 24 Α 25 All right. Now, let's move down to November 1, 26 2012 about eight or nine lines below that. It says, "November 1, 2012, estimated date of 27 28 first draft of development materials for feedback and

revisions based on implementation results. Revisions to 1 2 be made ongoing." 3 And does that reflect when you expected to have the first draft of the curriculum completed? 4 5 Of -- portions of the yoga only curriculum. 6 We've not completed all of the health and wellness curriculum. 7 8 Okay. And I showed you earlier Exhibit 7, the 0 9 "EUSD On the Mat" curriculum; correct? Α That is correct. 10 11 0 And that document was posted on the EUSD website on or about November of 2012; is that right? 12 13 I believe so, if that's what you say. Well --14 0 15 Α It was on our website. I don't know when exactly 16 it was on the website. 17 That was in compliance with this Regur contract; 0 18 right? Not necessarily. This contract was signed in 19 20 July, I believe it was. And these are estimated dates of 21 completion. Okay. But sometime --2.2 0 23 They're August, actually. Α 24 Sometime in the fall, a curriculum was developed; O 25 right? 26 Α Yes. And that curriculum appears to be the curriculum 27 28 that's talked about here in this document; correct?

1 Well, yes. It's -- you know, a curriculum 2 continues to evolve, and we have -- we've actually changed 3 curriculum within the last couple of weeks even. We're 4 constantly evolving the curriculum. It's not done. 5 I understand that, sir. And I'm not 6 presenting -- I'm not trying to argue that that was the final version. 7 8 I'm just saying that that version of the 9 curriculum appears to be in compliance with this agreement; right? 10 11 I don't know if it was done by November 1st or 12 not, if that's what you're asking. And I don't know when 13 that was on the website since you haven't -- you haven't shared that with me yet. So... 14 15 Okay. Now, earlier when you first started 16 testifying, you mentioned that meetings were occurring to 17 develop the curriculum; right? 18 Α Yes. 19 And at that meeting, your assistant 20 superintendent was there; right? Α Yes. 21 2.2 And Jois-certified instructors were there; right? Q 23 Α There are -- health and wellness teachers were 24 there, yes. 25 Who were certified by the Jois Foundation; right? 0 26 Α In their yoga skills, yes. 27 And they were actually trained in July in those 28 yoga skills; right?

1 Α Yes. 2 And at these meetings, the curriculum was being 0 3 developed; right? 4 Α Yes. 5 Did the assistant superintendent before this year Q 6 have any experience with yoga, as far as you know? 7 I don't know what experience he has. Probably Α not --8 9 Okay. Q -- but I don't know. 10 11 0 So as far as at least the yoga component of your 12 health and wellness program, who was making the 13 recommendations of how to teach yoga in your school? Well, we actually had a curriculum specialist 14 15 that was hired through Regur Development that was helping 16 us with some of the components related to yoga. 17 Okay. And does that person teach yoga, as far as Q 18 you know, or have a background in yoga? I don't know what her background in yoga is. 19 from my observations in the meetings, she's very 20 21 conversant in the yoga curriculum. And some of the people providing the input in 22 23 those meetings were, in fact, Jois-certified yoga 24 instructors; correct? Yes. Our health and wellness teachers did 25 Α 26 participate in that. As well as you? 27 Q 28 Α Yes.

1	Q As well as the assistant superintendent; correct?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And as well as your curriculum specialist; right?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And was anybody else in those meetings that that
6	we haven't covered already?
7	A I believe Mr. Regur was in those meetings as well
8	at times.
9	Q Okay. Now, let's go back to the frequently asked
10	questions that we were talking about earlier, and then I
11	will conclude. It's Plaintiffs' Trial Exhibit 5.
12	MR. BROYLES: Is that already in evidence?
13	THE COURT: What number?
14	MR. BROYLES: 5.
15	THE COURT: No.
16	THE CLERK: 5, it is.
17	THE COURT: Is that the frequently asked
18	questions?
19	MR. BROYLES: Yes, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Yes, it is in.
21	BY MR. BROYLES:
22	Q Now, before lunch I was asking you about that
23	document, and you indicated that you not only participated
24	in the preparation of the document, but you actually wrote
25	the document; is that correct?
26	A That's correct.
27	Q All right. So these words are generally your
28	words; is that correct, Mr. Baird?

1 Α That's true, yes. 2 Q Now, let me just find the right place. Okay. Here it is. 3 If you turn to Page 2 of that document, sir, 4 5 there's a -- the first question in bold says, "How is the Encinitas yoga program different from a yoga program that 6 7 might be offered in adults" -- I'm sorry -- "to adults in 8 a yoga studio?" 9 Do you see that? 10 Α Yes. 11 Q Okay. And you go on to explain the changes that 12 were made to the poses; is that correct? 13 Yes. Α And you say here, "The district has made many 14 15 changes to the yoga program to make it more kid-friendly 16 and to address some of the concerns voiced by a few of our 17 parents. All cultural references to our yoga program have 18 been removed. We do not teach students Sanskrit phrases, and all the yoga poses have been renamed into 19 20 easy-to-remember words such as gorilla or mountain." Do you see that, sir? 21 22 I do. Α 23 Okay. It's the next line, then, I'm interested Q 24 in here. And you go on to say, "Although the poses 25 themselves are the same physically demanding poses used in 26 adult yoga, students have an easier time remembering our 27 terminology."

Do you see that, sir?

28

I do. 1 Α 2 Now, what do you mean by that? 0 3 Well, as I understand -- and I'm not a yoga Α 4 As I understand, the same poses are used in 5 pretty much all forms of yoga, and our students are using 6 those kind of poses. But they do it at a slower pace, 7 they do it in a way that's -- incorporates correct developmental workouts for kids, and we changed some of 8 9 the terminology to make it more kid-friendly as well. Okay. I appreciate that you're repeating what 10 Q 11 you said earlier, but I'm asking about what this document 12 says, sir. 13 And here it says, "Although the poses themselves are the same physically demanding poses used in adult 14 15 yoga." 16 You're not saying there that the poses themselves 17 have been modified, are you, sir? 18 Α No, I'm not. 19 You're saying above that that the names of the 20 poses have been changed, aren't you? 21 Α Yes. 22 You're not communicating by these words that the Q 23 poses themselves have been modified for kids, are you, sir? 24 25 Α No. 26 Now, the question I have is are you aware Okay. 27 of the Mayo Clinic recommendations about Ashtanga yoga?

Yes. One of our parents sent that to me.

28

Α

1 0 Okay. And did you look at it? 2 I did. Α 3 Did it concern you at all? Q 4 Α No. Because after --5 That's --Q Okay. No, it did not. 6 Α 7 Q That's a yes-or-no question. 8 Α No. 9 And assuming that you looked into it like you say 0 10 you have, the Mayo Clinic recommends that there are two 11 types of yoga that young children should not participate 12 in; is that correct? 13 That was correct. Okay. And those two types of yoga are Bikram 14 Q 15 yoga; correct? 16 Yes. Α 17 And the other type of yoga is specifically Q Ashtanga yoga; is that correct? 18 19 That's correct. Α 20 Q All right. Now, let's look at the bottom of 21 Page 2. 22 The context of this, the question is, "Is yoga 23 the only physical education students get all week?" 24 Do you see that, sir? 25 Α I do. 26 And again, you provide an answer. Okay. 27 says, "No, yoga is only one component of the district's 28 P.E. program."

1 Do you see that? 2 Α I do. Okay. And so I'll just ask the next question. 3 Q 4 "In most of our schools, yoga amounts to 5 approximately half of our instructional minutes devoted to 6 physical education." 7 Do you see that? 8 Α I do. 9 Okay. "In addition to yoga, our students Q participate in a variety of other activities, including 10 11 cardiovascular training, sports, dance, and games"; 12 correct? 13 Α Yes. Now, as a superintendent of the district, you're 14 0 15 aware that public schools have an obligation to offer 200 minutes of P.E. to students every ten days; correct? 16 17 Α Correct. 18 All right. So assuming that EUSD schools were meeting those requirements, yoga would compromise, as you 19 20 say here, approximately a hundred minutes every two weeks or, if we break it down to a weekly basis, approximately 21 50 minutes per week in most schools according to the FAQ 22 23 that you wrote; correct? 24 Α That's incorrect. You're assuming --25 What? 0 26 Α No, that's incorrect. 27 Q Okay. I have to ask the question. 28 Α Please do.

Why is that incorrect, Mr. Baird? 1 Q 2 Because you're assuming that our total number of Α minutes matches the minimum state requirement for P.E. 3 For example, at El Camino Creek where our plaintiffs are 4 5 family and students, the teacher provides a hundred and twenty minutes of P.E., and the yoga is on top of that. 6 So if you added the yoga to our total physical education 7 minutes, it would exceed the State minimum requirement for 8 9 P.E. But, sir, that doesn't even closely match what 10 11 you wrote here. 12 What you wrote here, sir, was that as a component 13 of the total P.E. minutes, yoga was approximately 50 percent of that component; isn't that true, sir? 14 15 MR. SLEETH: Objection; argumentative. THE COURT: Sustained. It's argument. 16 17 MR. BROYLES: Well --18 THE COURT: Just ask him a question. BY MR. BROYLES: 19 20 All right. So you say specifically yoga is only one component of the district's P.E. program; right? 21 2.2 Correct. Α 23 So you're telling parents that yoga was Q 24 considered a component of the overall P.E. program; 25 correct? Α Correct. 26 It wasn't on top of or different from or in 27 28 addition to; right?

1 It's a component of the P.E. program, yes. Α 2 So it's part of P.E., it's not part of something 0 3 else? It could be considered part of health and 4 Α 5 wellness and various other things. 6 0 No. 7 But you counted those minutes in yoga towards the P.E. requirements at that point, didn't you, sir? 8 9 That's -- I think you're making that assumption. Α What I'm telling you is that, for instance, the example I 10 11 gave you, at El Camino Creek, our students participate in other P.E. activities for a total of a hundred and twenty 12 13 minutes. And on top of that, in addition to our physical education program, we provide even more physical 14 15 education, which is the yoga component. So our students 16 meet the minimum State standards, but they still don't 17 have to participate in the yoga to do so. And I believe 18 you have a deposition from our principal stating that. I'm not asking about the principal, sir. 19 20 asking what you wrote here. 21 Α Okay. 2.2 Do you recall telling parents in a board Okay. 23 meeting where they were complaining about not getting enough P.E. minutes that the school district did not have 24

the resources to make up lost P.E. minutes if they opted their kids out of the program?

A The question was asked from a parent who had not yet been implemented into a yoga program. It was a school

25

26

27

28

that was going to come online in January. And what the 1 2 question was is, "Will you run a dual P.E. program at that time to accommodate for my child?" 3 4 And we said, "No." 5 The -- you know, we preferred that everybody stay 6 within our regular P.E. program. However, our teachers --7 and I've already referenced El Camino Creek. Our teachers provide the hundred and twenty minimum minutes through 8 9 classroom P.E. The yoga component is an extension of that as part of our district P.E., which exceeds the State 10 11 minimum. 12 You keep saying a hundred and --Q 13 THE COURT: Can I ask a question? 14 MR. BROYLES: Yes. 15 THE COURT: Mr. Baird, I just want to make sure. 16 Are you saying if the yoga program were 17 eliminated, your students in all nine schools would still 18 get a minimum of 200 hours of P.E. every ten days? 19 THE WITNESS: Yes. 20 THE COURT: That's what you're saying? THE WITNESS: Yes. I know there was concern 21 22 early on that we run a dual program, but our teachers 23 provide that backup. 24 Independent of the yoga? THE COURT: 25 THE WITNESS: Yes. 26 BY MR. BROYLES: 27 But at the time you wrote this, that wasn't being 28 provided, was it, sir?

1	A At the time we wrote this, those schools hadn't
2	implemented yet. And we were being asked to run a second
3	P.E. program, and we said we would not be able to do that.
4	Q I'm not talking about schools that didn't
5	implement it, sir. I'm talking about schools that did
6	implement it at this time.
7	You wrote this in November or December of 2012;
8	is that not true, sir?
9	A I don't know exactly what date I wrote this
10	document. Sometime in the fall.
11	Q Okay. It was the fall of this year, 2012; right?
12	A Yes.
13	Q All right. And here you're saying we have this
14	category called P.E.; right?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And part of P.E a component of P.E. is our
17	new yoga program; right?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And another component of that is what else
20	whatever else teachers teach in their classrooms; correct?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And that's a hundred percent of the universe of
23	P.E. at that time that you wrote this document; right?
24	A Yes.
25	Q There's no other P.E. that's being offered
26	besides those areas; right?
27	A Well, there is. We have in some of our
28	schools, we have P.E. specialists that will teach other

components of P.E. Some of our schools have dance 1 2 programs, for instance. 3 Q Okay. 4 So yes, there are other programs. 5 Okay. But those all fit in with the universe of Q 6 either what the teacher is teaching, what yoga is doing, or what some extra-curricular like Kinderate or --7 Α 8 Yes. 9 -- programs have --0 10 A Yes. 11 Q So there's only 100 percent of your P.E. requirements; right? 12 13 No, that's not correct. We can exceed a State 14 minimum. 15 No, no. I understand. I haven't said it was 200 16 minutes or 500 minutes. Yeah, yeah. That's -- 200 minutes, is what I 17 18 understand. I'm just trying to make sure we're talking about 19 the same universe of P.E. 20 21 Α Yes. 22 0 Within that universe at a minimum every five 23 days --24 A Yes. 25 -- you need to teach 100 minutes; right? 0 26 Α Yes. 27 And every ten days, you need to teach 200-minute 28 minimum; right?

1 Well, actually, the only requirement is every ten Α 2 days, the 200 minutes. 3 Q Okay. 4 Α Yeah. 5 We'll be clear, then. Every ten days, you have Q 6 200 minutes to provide. In this universe that you're describing here, you 7 8 are saying, "Yoga amounts to approximately half of our 9 instructional minutes devoted to physical education, " are you not, sir? 10 11 Α Yes. 12 Q And that was true at the time you wrote it? 13 Yes, but you're --A So -- well --14 Q 15 THE COURT: Wait. 16 BY MR. BROYLES: 17 Let me just -- okay. So how -- however much time Q 18 you have. So it could -- if you had 200 minutes, right, assuming you had -- a particular school had 200 minutes, 19 50 percent of those would have been yoga, 50 percent of 20 21 those would have been something else; correct? 22 Α If you make that assumption. 23 THE COURT: But you're assuming that it's limited to 200 minutes. 24 25 MR. BROYLES: For that question, I am. 26 BY MR. BROYLES: But let's make it 500 minutes; right? 27 28 Α Okay.

1 If the school offers 250 minutes of yoga --Q 2 Α Right. 3 -- and 250 minutes of something else, that gets 4 us to 500 minutes; right? 5 Right. Α 6 Okay. So you would admit that at this point in 7 most of your schools, yoga was making up about half of your P.E. minutes? 8 9 THE COURT: Which point, this point today or this point back in November? 10 11 MR. BROYLES: This point November of 2012. 12 THE WITNESS: That's not true. Because, first of 13 all, half our schools weren't doing the yoga program at 14 that time. BY MR. BROYLES: 15 16 I'm not asking --Q You just did, about all of my schools. 17 Α 18 0 You're talking about yoga in the schools that 19 it's already in in this document, aren't you? 20 Α Yes. 21 Okay. And that's all I'm asking about. Q 2.2 Α Okay. 23 I'm asking about what you're writing about --Q 24 Α Okay. 25 -- not something that's going to happen in 0 26 January of the next year, okay? So if you're -- okay. So at this time in schools 27 28 that were offering yoga, however many minutes of P.E. they

1 were offering, approximately 50 percent of it was yoga; is 2 that correct? 3 Α Yes. 4 Okay. Q 5 Α I would say less. So this statement is true; right? 6 Q 7 It's a generality. Some of our schools did Α 8 different things. All of our schools had various P.E. 9 programs. Okay, but -- yeah. I understand each school is 10 11 different. Each classroom is going to be different. Yes, right. 12 Α 13 But generally, this is a true statement; right? Q 14 Α Generally. 15 Okay. Now, let's turn to the next page. Q The 16 question that you pose and answer is, "What if a family 17 does not want their child to participate in yoga?" 18 Is that -- that's your question; right? 19 Α Yes. 20 And your answer was, quote, "Our preference would 21 be that all children participate in this important component of their P.E. program. However, we are 2.2 23 sensitive to our families' needs. If a parent, after taking their child's principal" -- I'm sorry -- "talking 24 25 with their child's principal asks to opt their child out 26 of the program, each school will provide alternative activities during the regular yoga instruction." 27 28 Do you see that?

1 Α Yes. 2 And then it goes on to say, "Due to Okay. 3 staffing restrictions, these alternative activities may 4 not always be physical education, and in these cases 5 parents will be asked to help ensure their children are getting an appropriate amount of weekly exercise." 6 7 Do you see that, sir? Yes, I do. 8 Α 9 Okay. So my understanding of what you're saying here is that you do provide alternatives -- alternative 10 11 activities for the kids who opt out in the fall of 2012 in your district; right? 12 13 Yes. Α Okay. And not all of those activities are 14 0 15 physical education or P.E.; correct? 16 Α Correct. 17 Okay. So for those families who opt their kids Q 18 out, you're encouraging them to make sure their kids get enough exercise; right? 19 20 Α Correct. 21 And the reason you're encouraging them to help their kids get enough exercise is because their children 2.2 23 will be getting less than the State minimum requirement of 200 minutes; isn't that true, sir? 24 25 Α That's not true. 26 And why is that? Q

Because that's not what it says here.

says here is -- excuse me -- during the time when their

27

28

class is in yoga, we aren't guaranteeing that there is going to be a P.E. program at that time. However, their teacher -- and again, I'll reference back to El Camino Creek -- their teacher provides the hundred and twenty minimum minutes of physical education required.

2.2

Students that are opting out of yoga are getting less physical education than the rest of our students at El Camino Creek, and so we're encouraging parents to, "Make sure your kids stay physically active." But our students at El Camino Creek where our plaintiffs are from, their teachers provide classroom instruction P.E. for the 200 minutes every ten days. On top of that, in addition to that, our P.E. program does incorporate yoga instruction as well.

Q And did you ever tell parents at any of the board meetings that answer, sir?

A We answered a lot of stuff. I can't tell you exactly what I said word for word at a board meeting.

Q Did you ever tell parents that their kids were getting 200 minutes and yoga was only on top of that 200 minutes, sir?

A I believe that your clients have been told that their children are getting 200 minutes, if that's what you're asking.

Q Did you ever send out any specific letter saying, "Hey, there's nothing to worry about here in the last six to seven months since this issue came up," saying, "There's nothing to worry about here. All kids are

getting 200 minutes even if they opt out of yoga"? 1 2 I believe that the parents who have opted out 3 have spoken with their principals and have been told that, 4 yes. I have not sent out a mass mailer to our families 5 stating that. Well, it's an important issue whether these 6 7 parents get 200 minutes; isn't that true, sir? 8 It's an important issue that they get their P.E. 9 requirement, yes. And that was an issue of some of the parental 10 Q 11 complaints you were getting in the fall; right? 12 Α That was one of the components. 13 So why didn't you trumpet that you had fixed the Q problem? 14 15 Α As I stated, I believe that we did and that we 16 expressed that through our principals. So let's take a school and a kid and work out the 17 Q 18 math here. 200 minutes of P.E. every ten days; right? 19 we have a kid who opts out of 60 minutes of yoga a week, 20 21 two 30-minute sessions in several elementary schools; 22 right? 23 Α Yes. Isn't that the norm, there's two 30-minute 24 Q 25 sessions for the younger kids? 26 Α Yes. 27 You multiply that by two weeks, we get a hundred 28 and twenty minutes; right?

1 Α Yes. 2 So that child is -- who is supposed to be getting 0 3 200 minutes minimum, if they opt out, they're getting a hundred and twenty minutes -- they're not getting a 4 5 hundred and twenty minutes, correct, unless it's replaced 6 with something else; right? 7 Right. Α Okay. Just make sure I'm doing my math right. 8 Q 9 I'm an attorney, not an accountant. THE COURT: It's two 30-minute sessions a week? 10 MR. BROYLES: For the younger kids of yoga. 11 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 BY MR. BROYLES: 15 So that child, unless it's replaced, is getting Q 16 80 minutes; right? 17 Α No. 18 No, no. I'm saying unless it's replaced with something else. 19 20 Α Yes. 21 If they opt out of yoga; right? Q 2.2 Α Yes. 23 So that's a hundred and twenty minutes of yoga Q 24 instruction out of the 200 minimum every two weeks; right? 25 Α I don't know. We've gotten up into math here. 26 Yes. 30 times four. 27 THE COURT: 28 THE WITNESS: Yes. That's -- but it's the same

```
thing we've been saying. Yes. It's a hundred and twenty
 1
 2
      minutes over the course of the two weeks, yes.
 3
               THE COURT: So yoga is 30 times four?
 4
               THE WITNESS: Yeah.
 5
               THE COURT: I mean he's correct?
               THE WITNESS:
 6
                             Yes.
 7
               MR. BROYLES: We're all on the same page.
      BY MR. BROYLES:
 8
 9
               So if that person opting out of yoga is missing a
          0
      hundred and twenty minutes, okay, they're getting 80;
10
11
      right?
12
               THE COURT: But they're --
13
      BY MR. BROYLES:
               So to make it up --
14
          Q
15
               THE COURT: They're opting out of yoga and
16
      they're not -- there's no P.E. to replace it.
17
               MR. BROYLES: Well --
18
               THE COURT: Right? I mean, that's a hypothetical
19
      question, but --
20
               MR. BROYLES: He's saying there is.
               THE COURT: -- it's part of your hypothetical
21
22
      that that child is not getting any substitute P.E.; no
23
      yoga, and they're not doing any P.E. --
24
               MR. BROYLES: Yeah, that's part of my
25
      hypothetical.
26
               THE COURT: -- to replace the yoga. Okay.
27
      BY MR. BROYLES:
28
               So that kid right now until anything else happens
          Q
```

is getting a hundred and twenty minutes -- is a hundred 1 2 and twenty minutes deficit; right? 3 No. He's 80 minutes deficit. If you -- oh, 4 you're talking about the yoga. 5 The yoga opter-outer is losing a hundred and 6 twenty minutes every two weeks? 7 Α Yes. 8 They're getting 80 minutes. They're losing --0 9 they're missing of the hundred and -- 200 minimum, they're losing a hundred and twenty; right? 10 11 Α Right. So to make up that difference from the loss of 12 Q 13 yoga, they would need how many more minutes? It would be a hundred and twenty minutes. 14 Α 15 Hundred and twenty. Q 16 Α Yes. 17 So what you want us all to believe is that on top 0 18 of yoga, every student in that school is being offered an extra hundred and twenty minutes? 19 MR. SLEETH: Objection; argumentative. 20 21 THE COURT: Well, I -- I'm not sure it's 22 argumentative, but I --23 THE WITNESS: Asked and answered. THE COURT: Well, you already -- you already -- I 24 25 think, unless I'm missing something, you already testified 26 that the student is getting --27 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 28 THE COURT: -- more than a -- than a hundred and

1 twenty --2 THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: -- minutes. So the student is 3 4 getting 320 minutes, a hundred and twenty minutes of which 5 are yoga; right? THE WITNESS: That's correct. 6 7 THE COURT: That's, I think, what he's already testified to. 8 9 MR. BROYLES: Your Honor, that's exactly what I'm moving towards. 10 BY MR. BROYLES: 11 So that hypothetical student would have to have 12 13 be offered at least a total of 320 minutes; is that correct? 14 15 THE COURT: He's already --16 THE WITNESS: Yes. They get the 200 minutes with 17 their classroom teacher or other sources. THE COURT: Plus a hundred and twenty minutes. 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. 19 20 THE COURT: And if the student says no yoga, then 21 it's 200 minutes. 2.2 THE WITNESS: Yes. 23 BY MR. BROYLES: So it's your testimony that the local school 24 0 district -- or the local schools that we're talking about 25 have enough time in their calendar now to offer that much 26 27 P.E. to everyone? 28 That's -- that's what we're doing. Α

1	Q Is that
2	THE COURT: 200 minutes.
3	MR. BROYLES: Well, more than 200 minutes.
4	THE COURT: 200 minutes plus yoga.
5	MR. BROYLES: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Yes.
7	BY MR. BROYLES:
8	Q 200 minutes plus yoga would be 320.
9	Every child in every school in your district that
10	now has yoga, you would have to be offering over 320
11	minutes of P.E. to those children.
12	Is that what's happening in your schools, sir?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And you have the time to offer those minutes?
15	A Their classroom teachers do this through a
16	variety of ways, yes.
17	Q And you
18	A Yes.
19	Q What you really want us well, strike that.
20	So you're exceeding the two the ten-day
21	requirement minimum requirement actually by, what, a
22	hundred and forty minutes every two weeks?
23	THE COURT: Hundred and twenty.
24	MR. BROYLES: I'm sorry?
25	THE COURT: Hundred and twenty.
26	BY MR. BROYLES:
27	Q A hundred and twenty minutes every two weeks in
28	your schools?

A Yes.

2.2

- Q And you guys have time to fit that all in now?
- A Yes.
- Q As of November of 2012 when you wrote this document, though, was that -- was that occurring then? In the schools that had yoga, was that occurring then, sir?

A What we explained to parents -- and again, at the time, we were not building an alternative P.E. during the time that yoga was taking place. And so it was on an individual basis we had to determine what students, what grade level, what was taking place.

And, you know, all principals then reviewed their schedule and made sure that if they had students that were nonparticipating, that their classroom teacher was making sure that that class got 200 minutes of P.E. So --

O So --

A -- I would say in most of our classes, the students are participating in yoga. So not every one of our classes in all of our schools are doing 320. There are some, such as I mentioned where your plaintiffs attend, that we do have that in place.

Q With due respect, Dr. Baird, you've failed to answer my question, which was as of November when you wrote this FAQ, were the kids who had yoga taught in their schools being offered a total of 320 minutes of P.E.

A I believe that -- at that time, I couldn't tell you specifically about each and every student. We were making individual provisions at that time. I will tell

you that in the fall, we instructed our principals that if 1 2 students were not getting the 200 minutes through their 3 classroom teacher and they were opting out, then the class 4 should make sure that they get the 200 minutes every ten 5 days. So what you're saying is as of November of 2012, 6 7 you really don't know what was happening --I --8 Α 9 -- with these yoga kids -- with these kids who opted out of yoga; isn't that correct, sir? 10 11 Α I can't tell you the specific time, but I can 12 tell you that all kids are getting their 200 minutes of instructional P.E. 13 You keep say, "are getting." 14 Q 15 Α Yes. 16 I'm talking about November, sir. Let's focus on Q 17 November of 2012, please, because I've seen the 18 declaration now that is claiming all district schools are offering 320 minutes plus of P.E., and I'm just amazed by 19 it. But I want to focus --20 That's not what they're saying. 21 Α 22 I want to focus -- well, they're saying that Q 23 on --THE COURT: Ask a question. 24 25 MR. BROYLES: Okay. 26 BY MR. BROYLES: 27 Sir, at the time you wrote this document, you 28 were not a hundred percent sure that the kids who opted

out were getting their P.E. minutes; isn't that true, sir? 1 2 We weren't -- I would agree with that. We didn't know which kids were opting out and where they were and 3 4 which classrooms. We did know that we were offering 200 5 minutes of P.E. So -- and why -- that's why you wrote the 6 7 paragraph we just went over, isn't that true, that yoga 8 was approximately half of the requirement -- or the P.E. 9 universe and that parents needed to help supplement their kids' P.E. because you weren't sure at the time you wrote 10 this that all kids were having their P.E. requirements 11 met; isn't that true, sir? 12 13 THE COURT: Do you understand the question? THE WITNESS: Not really. 14 15 THE COURT: I think he's testified he wasn't 100 16 percent sure in November. I think that's the answer that 17 I have so far. 18 THE WITNESS: Yeah. And I'm tracking with that. 19 MR. BROYLES: Okay. 20 THE COURT: Maybe he was 99 percent sure. 21 don't know. But it wasn't a hundred percent. BY MR. BROYLES: 2.2 23 So sometime after November and sometime 0 Okay. 24 after you wrote this FAO, the district made changes in how 25 P.E. was offered to try to make up the lost minutes; is 26 that correct? 27 That's correct. Half of our students were not on

the program at this time, so we were designing it prior to

28

implementation for all of our schools.

Q Again, I'm not talking about the half that weren't. I'm talking about the half who were at the time.

And just to be clear, all schools today are offering a minimum of 200 minutes to kids, you're saying, whether they opt out of yoga or not?

A Yes.

2.2

- Q And so some schools, that's possibly as much as 320 minutes of yoga; is that correct?
 - A No.
 - Q Why not?
 - A Because you said 320 minutes of yoga.
- Q I'm sorry. 320 minutes of P.E.
 - A That's correct.
 - Q Okay. Now, again, one last time, did you ever notify parents of that change between November and today?

A The notification of parents was done on an individual basis. We have very few families that have opted out. Our principals worked with those families to design specific programs for the families that best met the interest of the family, and we were able to do it within the constraints of what we were available to offer.

That's why this didn't go out on a districtwide letter to parents, because it was a very few number of families. And we did it individually based upon the individual needs of the families.

Q Isn't it true, Dr. Baird, that you made these changes just in the last 30 or more days as a response to

this lawsuit? 1 2 Α No. When did you make this change, sir? 3 4 Α We have made changes in our program throughout 5 the course of starting it and to where we are today. I'm not talking about other changes, sir. I'm 6 7 talking about this change to offer that much P.E. to all children in your district, sir. 8 9 When did you make that change? THE COURT: Mr. Broyles. 10 11 THE WITNESS: We never made that change, sir. don't offer that program to all students in our district. 12 13 THE COURT: I'm not sure that he's testified regarding a discrete change. What I have so far is that 14 15 in November, he wasn't a hundred percent sure that 16 students that dropped out of yoga were getting their 200 17 minutes, and now he is sure. 18 So I suppose inferentially or impliedly there's a But I don't know -- when you're referring to a 19 20 change, you're assuming that there was some discrete change, and I don't think he's established that. So I 21 22 just want to make sure that you're on the same page or I'm 23 not going to get a meaningful answer. MR. BROYLES: Well, your Honor, what he said 24 is --25 26 THE COURT: I'm just saying, that's what I'm gathering at this point. 27 28 ///

BY MR. BROYLES: 1 2 So is it your testimony that EUSD has always 3 offered more than 320 minutes to students in its schools since this yoga program started? 4 5 THE COURT: He's not --THE WITNESS: No, I didn't say that. 6 7 THE COURT: -- a hundred percent sure of that in November, but he is now. 8 9 THE WITNESS: That's correct. THE COURT: That's the testimony that I got. 10 11 BY MR. BROYLES: 12 Do you have any idea how that -- the new Q additional minutes, how that came to be, sir? 13 THE COURT: You mean why he's sure now as opposed 14 15 to --MR. BROYLES: Yeah, why he's sure now and why he 16 17 wasn't sure then. 18 THE WITNESS: Because --19 THE COURT: Do you understand that question. 20 THE WITNESS: I think so, your Honor. You know, a number of our schools came online in 21 2.2 January. We were working with parents prior to that. 23 we needed to see where the students were that were opting 24 out, what classes they were in, what other programs we offered at that same time. 25 26 So to say that we would have a program in place 27 at the beginning in January, in November, whatever, would 28 have been premature. You had to address the solution to

the issue. And the issue was once we identified where the 1 2 families were, we rectified it. So... BY MR. BROYLES: 3 4 Q How many instructional minutes are available per 5 week in your schools total for elementary schools? 6 many instructional weeks -- what's the universe of 7 instructional minutes in a school week for you? I don't know. I'd have to -- I'm not sure. 8 9 go from 8:00 to 2:20. Fridays are a short day. That's --All right. Now, you indicated in your trial 10 Q 11 declaration that Capri, in partnership with the Jois 12 Foundation, had taught Ashtanga yoga at Capri during the 13 2011 to 2012 school year. And that was a type -- a sort of pilot program 14 15 for Ashtanga yoga; is that correct? 16 No. I believe I testified that we had had a yoga Α 17 program at Capri. I don't believe I used the word 18 "Ashtanga" in my declaration. All right. The person who taught that course, 19 20 she teaches at the Jois shala; right? 21 I don't know what she does on her own time. She teaches for our program Monday through Friday. 2.2 23 Okay. So you don't know what the basis of the Q 24 yoga that was being taught at Capri in that school year 25 was? 26 Α No. This is 2011? 27 THE COURT:

MR. BROYLES: Yes, the year before.

28

1 THE COURT: And this is the pilot program? 2 MR. BROYLES: Yes. 3 BY MR. BROYLES: 4 Q Now, you claim that you saw student improvements 5 at Capri, including increased --6 THE COURT: Excuse me. The pilot program was 7 just started in one school? 8 THE WITNESS: Just one school. It was actually 9 started as a school initiative, not a district initiative. We had nothing from a district level to do with the 10 11 curriculum, the training of the teacher, the selection of the teacher. That was done at the school site. We got 12 13 involved as a district in 2012. THE COURT: Okay. 14 15 BY MR. BROYLES: 16 I'm going to refer you briefly to Exhibit 8. Q 17 Do you see the document? It's a GLPD gazette. 18 Α Yes. I'm talking about the right-hand column 19 Okay. Q 20 under "Health, wellness, and yoga." 21 Do you see that, sir? 22 Yes, I do. Α 23 And the date of this document is September 2012; 0 24 correct? 25 Α Correct. 26 And is Parkdale Lane one of the schools in your Q district? 27 28 Α Yes, it is.

1 MR. BROYLES: I want to offer this into evidence, 2 your Honor, as Exhibit 8. 3 MR. SLEETH: No objection. 4 THE COURT: All right. 8 will be received. 5 MR. BROYLES: Okay. (Exhibit 8 received into evidence.) 6 7 BY MR. BROYLES: 8 Looking at this document, sir, and under "Health, 9 wellness, "it says, "Inhale, Ms. Echo and Ms. Gierbauer" -- I'm sorry if I pronouncing that 10 11 incorrectly -- "will be our yoga and health teachers this 12 year at PDL." Then it says, "Exhale." 13 I guess inhale/exhale is referring to breathing? 14 MR. PECK: So stipulated, your Honor. 15 BY MR. BROYLES: 16 "Our school district has been given a generous Q 17 grant by the Jois Foundation, and it's part of a big 18 three-year research study." 19 Do you see that, sir? 20 Α I do. And that's true; right? 21 Q 2.2 I would say the research study is in place to Α 23 study the program. I don't think it's part of the 24 research study. It's semantics perhaps. 25 But is it a big three-year research study? 0 26 There is a three-year research study in place, 27 yes. 28 And you're excited about this research study as a Q

school district; right? 1 2 Α Yes. And you've even appeared in Jois Foundation promo 3 Q 4 videos to promote the program nationwide, haven't you, 5 sir? Yes, I have. 6 Α 7 Now, let's look at the second paragraph here. 0 says, "It includes a process of gathering data, i.e., 8 9 teaching grades K through 6 to take and record their own resting heart rates weekly to see how a mindful approach 10 11 to health through yoga, meditation, and nutrition promotes 12 positive relationships, academic achievement, and students 13 who are ready to learn." Do you see that, sir? 14 15 I do. Α Do you see the word "meditation" there? 16 Q 17 I do. Α 18 0 Does that word concern you at all as the superintendent of this district? 19 20 It's not a word that we're using in our discussion of the program, but I didn't write this 21 2.2 document. 23 The FAQ that we just reviewed that you wrote, you Q didn't talk about meditation there, did you, sir? 24 25 Α No. 26 Now, it goes on to say in the next paragraph, "We'll begin practicing the basics of Ashtanga yoga 27 28 starting with a primary series."

1 Do you see that, sir? 2 Α Yes. Okay. And that's saying that Ashtanga yoga is 3 4 going to be taught at Parkdale Lane; isn't that correct? 5 Yes. This document was probably written from the Α same source documents that you've been referencing, but 6 7 yes. It was done before school started. All right. So do you dispute that Ashtanga yoga 8 9 was taught at Parkdale Lane, sir? I would say that the yoga that was taught at 10 Α 11 Parkdale Lane is the EUSD yoga, and this was -- I'm sure that whoever wrote this -- I don't know if it was the 12 13 principal or our parents do some of this work -- used the same source documents you did, which, if you had looked at 14 15 the source documents from August or July, you would have 16 seen the word "Ashtanga." 17 I'm not talking about source documents. I'm not 0 18 talking about the grant. I'm talking about what this 19 document says, sir. I'm sure that's what led to this document. 20 Okay. So somehow you shared the source documents 21 Q 2.2 with teachers in your schools? 23 Α Yes. So you gave them a copy of the grant? You showed 24 25 it to them? 26 I think lots of people saw some of the source 27 documents, yes. 28 So you -- are you trying to imply that Ashtanga 0

yoga was not taught at Parkdale Lane, sir?

2.2

A I think that the yoga that -- and I've already testified to this. The yoga that we're teaching at Parkdale Lane as well as our other eight schools is our version of yoga.

Q I didn't ask you what yoga you were teaching as if you're teaching it today.

I'm talking about when this document was written in September of 2012, do you have any reason to dispute or any basis for -- to dispute that Ashtanga yoga was being taught at Parkdale Lane?

A I would -- I would state that this document was probably written before school started. And therefore, no yoga was being taught when this document was written. We start school in mid-August, and this is probably the first flier that went home prior to the program getting off the ground.

- Q Did you personally observe the classes in early 2012 at Parkdale Lane, sir?
 - A Yes, I have.
- Q I mean at Parkdale Lane, the yoga classes.
 - A I've been to all of our schools and observed.
- Q But -- you've been. I'm asking as of September of 2012, did you go and observe the class?
- A I'm sure I saw the class at Parkdale Lane. If you're asking me my calendar on what day and what time, I can't tell you, sir.
 - Q Okay. So my question is earlier you stated you

1	II	
1	really don't know what Ashtanga yoga is; isn't tha	at
2	correct?	
3	A That's correct.	
4	Q So if you saw Ashtanga yoga being praction	ced at
5	Parkdale Lane in September of 2012, you wouldn't l	<now;< td=""></now;<>
6	isn't that true, sir?	
7	A That's probably true.	
8	Q All right. Now, it says starting with the	ne
9	primary series.	
LO	Do you see that?	
L1	A Yes.	
L2	Q Do you know what the primary series is?	
L3	A I don't know what they're referencing uni	less
L4	they're talking about the opening sequence, which	we've
L5	already talked about.	
L6	Q And that's also known as surya namaskara	;
L7	correct?	
L8	A If you say so.	
L9	Q No, I'm asking	
20	A I don't know what else we call it the	Opening
21	Sequence A.	
22	Q Now, I need to do some yoga apparently.	
23	Okay. I will move on.	
24	So before oh, back to the improvements	s, the
25	question I was asking before we got distracted.	
26	Now, you claim that you saw improvements	at
27	Capri.	
28	Specifically you say in your trial decla	ration

that you saw increased academic gains at Capri; right? 1 2 That is correct. And you also said that there were principal --3 4 I'm sorry -- there were principal reports of improved 5 playground and classroom behavior --6 Α Correct. 7 -- is that true? Q Okay. Was there a rigorous scientific study done 8 9 at Capri of Capri students during the 2011 to 2012 school year, sir? 10 11 Α Not if you're talking about a university study, 12 no. Yeah, I'm talking about a peer-reviewed study 13 that would pass the smell test in science. 14 15 Was there any type of study done of the Capri students? 16 17 Α No. 18 Okay. So as we sit here today, you really don't know what caused any of the improvements, if any, in those 19 20 Capri students; is that correct? We certainly can point at certain things. 21 look at lots of evidence without getting peer-reviewed 22 23 studies. There are very few peer-reviewed studies that districts use to drive curriculum development. 24 25 But if their grades were better, you don't know 0 what caused their grades to be better, do you, sir? 26 We can look at certain elements. 27 If you've 28 implemented new programs, you can say these are the new

programs that have been implemented. 1 2 Well, kids' grades can be based on many factors; 3 right? 4 Α Right, but I think as --5 Well --Q 6 Α Yes. 7 It could be how things are at home; right? Q 8 Correct? 9 Yes, yes. Many things go into grades. Α How the weather is; correct? 10 Q 11 Α Yes. Whether they're being tutored; right? 12 Q 13 Right. Α Okay. And you didn't control for any of those 14 Q 15 factors before you decided to expand the program; right? 16 No, we didn't. Α 17 Okay. So you took a few anecdotal stories and Q 18 some overall vague grade improvement, and then you expanded the program districtwide; is that correct? 19 20 MR. SLEETH: Objection; argumentative. 21 THE COURT: Overruled. 2.2 THE WITNESS: We looked at data that we thought 23 was pertinent, and we expanded the program. Because as 24 the judge clearly stated earlier, we get to control the curriculum. And I don't believe that's what this is 25 26 about, whether it's a good program or a bad program. believe it's a good program, and we saw evidence at Capri 27

that led us to say this is worth expanding.

28

BY MR. BROYLES:

2.2

Q Okay. Now, you didn't discuss any specific results or attach any proof of improvements to your declaration filed with this Court, did you?

A No, because it wasn't relevant.

Q Well, you thought it was relevant, though, to tell us that the students saw improvement, though, didn't you?

A It was relevant for me to make the decision as the educational expert to do that, to make a decision about the curriculum that we have. As it pertains to this case, I don't believe it's relevant because that's my -- that's my -- under my control.

Q And a study regarding the EUSD's Ashtanga yoga program wouldn't even begin until the following year, this year; right?

A Correct.

Q And that's the study that we've been talking about that's being done this year by two universities; right?

A Yes.

Q And so not knowing the true impact of the program on children, sir, positive or negative, at least not scientifically speaking, you decided to meet with Mr. Gene Ruffin of the Jois Foundation late in that school year to discuss expanding the program into all EUSD schools this year; is that correct?

A That's correct.

1 MR. SLEETH: Objection; argument. Motion to 2 strike argument. 3 THE COURT: It's untimely. 4 BY MR. BROYLES: 5 And you say in your declaration that the net 6 result of that meeting was a decision to expand the 7 program into all nine EUSD schools the following year; 8 correct? 9 That's correct. It's all in the deposition. Α 10 Q Okay. Or the declaration. I'm sorry. 11 Α 12 MR. BROYLES: One moment, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Sure. MR. BROYLES: All right. No further questions. 14 15 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Sleeth, direct exam. 16 MR. SLEETH: Thank you. 17 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SLEETH: 19 Let's break down the 200 minutes. It sounds like 20 21 a lot of minutes. 22 How many minutes is that a day in that ten-year 23 period -- in that ten-day period? 24 Α It's 20. Do you have time to provide 20 minutes of 25 Q 26 physical activity to your students per day? 27 Α Yes. 28 And how often do the yoga classes meet in the Q

elementary schools? 1 2 Usually twice a week, 30 minutes a day -- or 30 Α minutes a time. 3 4 So that would be -- on the days that the yoga 5 class met, how many minutes would be spent doing physical 6 activity total? 7 On the days that the yoga class -- just 30 minutes. 8 9 Plus? 0 Plus the 20. It would be 50 minutes. 10 11 Q 50 minutes. All right. And you have time --12 13 Yes. Α -- in your schedule to do that and teach the 14 Q 15 other things you have to teach? 16 Yes. Α All right. Focusing your attention on the 17 18 plaintiffs in this case, what school are they attending? They attend El Camino Creek. 19 Α And to your knowledge, did they ever become 20 21 involved in the yoga program at all, ever? To my knowledge, I don't believe they have 22 No. 23 observed the program. Their child has not participated in the program. 24 Did each of the --25 O 26 Α Or their children, I should say. Did each of the two plaintiff children get 200 27 28 minutes every ten days during the first semester of this

school year from September 2012 to January 2013? 1 2 Yes, that is correct. Α MR. BROYLES: Objection; lacks foundation. 3 THE COURT: How do you know that? 4 5 THE WITNESS: I know that because there wasn't 6 even a yoga program in place during that first time 7 They weren't at one of our pilot schools. period. THE COURT: Overruled. 8 9 BY MR. SLEETH: After they opted out of the program, did they 10 Q 11 continue to get 200 minutes every ten days? MR. BROYLES: Objection; lacks foundation. 12 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Lay some foundation. 14 15 BY MR. SLEETH: 16 Did you look into the number of minutes that the Q 17 plaintiff children were getting after the beginning of the 18 full program in January? I contacted the principal, discussed it 19 20 with the principal, who reviewed with both teachers and looked at lesson plans, and they were getting 200 minutes 21 every ten days in P.E. outside of the yoga program. 2.2 23 All right. Moving away from the subject of the 0 plaintiffs in this case, you said you weren't a hundred 24 25 percent sure that all of the students who opted out during 26 the beginning of the program last year got their full 200 27 minutes. 28 How confident are you that the students got --

most of the students got their 200 minutes? 1 2 MR. BROYLES: Objection. 3 THE COURT: In November? 4 MR. SLEETH: Yes. Between September and the 5 beginning of this new semester. 6 MR. BROYLES: Objection; lacks foundation, asked 7 and answered. THE COURT: Well, he's -- that was when you asked 8 9 him, but I think he's got the right to follow up on that. But I'm not sure what your -- what are we talking about, 10 11 November --12 MR. SLEETH: Let's --13 THE COURT: -- of 2012 or now or how confident is he now going back then or now? 14 15 MR. SLEETH: I'll do now in a minute, but I was 16 doing between September -- well, there is a foundation 17 question that I ought to ask right there. BY MR. SLEETH: 18 Did all of the parents who had the option to opt 19 0 20 out --THE COURT: 21 When --2.2 BY MR. SLEETH: 23 -- of the yoga program opt out at the same time? Q 24 No, they did not. Α How did you deal with the determination of the 25 26 number of P.E. minutes those students were getting as they 27 opted out? 28 Well, generally the opt-out was a very personal Α

I	1
1	conversation and decision between the parent and the
2	principal. Generally, we would meet with them. We would
3	try to give information to the parents. We would ask them
4	to observe a class, if they would, so they could see what
5	was actually happening.
6	But at the end of the time, we wanted to respect
7	our parents' beliefs, and so we would allow for the
8	opt-out, and then we would work out whatever unique
9	situation we needed to work out to address the needs of
10	the child.
11	THE COURT: But we're talking about from
12	September to January, we're talking about five schools.
13	From January to now, we're talking about nine schools.
14	THE WITNESS: All nine schools, yes.
15	THE COURT: So there are almost twice as many
16	students involved?
17	THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct.
18	THE COURT: So there might be twice as many
19	opt-outs?
20	THE WITNESS: Yes. And
21	THE COURT: Maybe more; maybe less?
22	THE WITNESS: Yes. It varied.
23	BY MR. SLEETH:
24	Q During that first semester?
25	THE COURT: With five schools.
26	BY MR. SLEETH:
27	Q With five schools, between September and December
28	of 2012, how many students

1 I don't know exactly how many students opted out. 2 I do know that we met individually with each of the students, and the principals would work out a plan with 3 those -- with the families. 4 5 When you said you weren't a hundred percent sure 6 that all of those students got their 200 minutes, how sure 7 are you? 8 Α That's -- I'm confident that we're providing that 9 now, and I'm confident that the principals worked with those families to make it happen. So yeah. 10 11 Q All right. Now that -- now let's move to January 12 2013 up to the present. 13 Have other parents opted out? We have had parents opt out after our second 14 Α 15 round of schools came on, yes. 16 And have you looked into whether those students Q 17 are obtaining their 200 minutes of P.E. after opting out 18 of the yoga program? 19 MR. BROYLES: Objection; vague, lacks foundation. 20 THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: We -- I haven't individually every 21 2.2 student who's opted out. But what we have worked through 23 our principals to make sure that teachers are providing 24 the 200 minutes. BY MR. SLEETH: 25 In fact, your principals reported to you that all 26 of the students are now receiving their 200 minutes? 27 28 Yes. They reported through the assistant Α

superintendent, who has reported to me that our students 1 2 are getting their 200 minutes of P.E. Okay. And as superintendent, do you rely on your 3 4 staff? 5 I do rely on my staff. Α Let me change to the subject of religion now, but 6 0 7 I'd like to have some of your background for the Court 8 here. 9 Could you give us just a thumbnail sketch of your educational background. 10 11 I have a doctorate from the University of Southern California in educational policy. I have a 12 13 master's degree from Cal State Fullerton in educational leadership, and I have a bachelor's in history from Cal 14 15 State Fullerton in educational leadership. I have a 16 teaching credential from Cal State Fullerton, and I'm 32 17 years in the educational business, the last ten served as 18 a superintendent. In the course of your career in education, have 19 20 you taught in an elementary school? I have. I taught for six years in the Irvine 21 Unified School District. 22 23 How long ago was that? Q 24 That was a while ago. Α 25 Do you have an occasion now from time to time to 0 26 go into elementary school classrooms? Yes. I'm in elementary school classrooms all the 27

28

time.

1 All right. Are you able to observe a class 2 activity and tell us whether a reasonable student would 3 feel that they were being indoctrinated with religion or their religion was being interfered with by looking at the 4 5 class? MR. BROYLES: Objection; lacks foundation. 6 He's 7 not qualified as an expert, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Sustained. 9 Rephrase the question. BY MR. SLEETH: 10 11 Q Did you see anything when you looked at the 12 program as it is currently constituted in your classes 13 that gave you the impression that a reasonable student would feel that they were being indoctrinated with 14 15 religion? 16 MR. BROYLES: Same objection. 17 THE COURT: Overruled. 18 THE WITNESS: I have seen nothing that would indicate that students are being indoctrinated. 19 20 training as a teacher, as a principal, as an assistant superintendent, and as a superintendent, we do get clear 21 2.2 and specific training about religious entanglement. 23 THE COURT: That's a pretty simple --THE WITNESS: Yes. 24 25 THE COURT: He's not asking for an expert 26 opinion, I don't think. 27 THE WITNESS: Okay. No. So I have seen nothing 28 that would demonstrate indoctrination.

1 BY MR. SLEETH: 2 You know, you said that you were at Park 0 Okay. 3 Lane and saw the program at its inception. 4 Α Yes. 5 Last year? Q 6 Α Yes. 7 Were they using Sanskrit words then to describe Q 8 the poses? 9 Α No. Were they doing anything then that gave you the 10 Q 11 impression that it would indoctrinate a student? 12 Α No. 13 Was there anything that you saw in there that was of a flavor of religion in the classroom last year? 14 15 None whatsoever. Α 16 Have there been changes to the curriculum between Q 17 that time in September, October, November of last year to 18 the present to make the program less offensive to people who objected to it? 19 There have been changes made over time. 20 21 most of them actually occurred very early in the program development. 2.2 23 Do you know if that eight-limbed poster of yoga Q was up in a classroom? There was some talk about --24 25 MR. BROYLES: Objection; vague as to time. 26 THE WITNESS: I believe that was during the pilot school year that we're talking about. 27 /// 28

BY MR. SLEETH: 1 2 Do you know how long it was up? I think it was just for a day. And when somebody 3 4 had objected, then it was taken down. 5 All right. And was there ever a time that you Q saw instructors in your classroom using any of the 6 7 Sanskrit names for any of the positions? 8 Once we started developing the curriculum, No. 9 that has not been part of it. 10 MR. SLEETH: Just a moment. 11 THE COURT: Mr. Sleeth, while you have a minute, 12 can I ask a question? 13 MR. SLEETH: Sure. THE COURT: When you talked about observing the 14 15 yoga class and the question is did you observe something 16 that would tend to give these students something with a 17 religious flavor, my understanding of the question is 18 pretty broad, which would include a broad spectrum of religion. Not just Western religion, but other religion. 19 20 And I guess we're getting from specific religion and denominations to spiritualism and mysticism, and that's 21 what we're talking about here. 2.2 23 You understood that? THE WITNESS: I understand that, and it's -- to 24 25 do that, you would have to speak to origination myths or 26 you would have to teach a doctrine. What happens in our

THE COURT: I mean, was there anything that you

27

28

classes is is that we --

observed that would --1 2 Nothing like that. THE WITNESS: THE COURT: -- that would impart religion in a 3 4 very broad sense? 5 THE WITNESS: Nothing. 6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 I liked your question, your Honor. MR. SLEETH: 8 I'd like to ask another one just almost like that. 9 BY MR. SLEETH: Was there anything that you saw the teachers 10 Q 11 teaching that would attempt to impart any kind of a belief at all? 12 Only in health and wellness. Really there was 13 Α instruction in physical movement, in stretching, in 14 15 breathing, in nutrition, and our character education development program which we've already had in place 16 17 throughout the district. 18 0 And describe with some more detail the character 19 program. 20 The character program really is promoting those kind of things that we would like our students to exhibit; 21 2.2 things such as responsibility, perseverance, caring. And 23 so there is usually a character education component as 24 part of the curriculum that's being developed where 25 students will learn about responsibility, and then they'll maybe, you know, read a story about it and then carry that 26 conversation out not just in the yoga class, but also back 27

28

in their homeroom class.

1	
1	Q What was the primary reason that the district
2	became involved in the yoga program?
3	A To improve the health and wellness of our
4	students.
5	MR. SLEETH: Nothing further, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Mr. Peck.
7	MR. PECK: I have a few questions, your Honor.
8	Your Honor, if I may stay at counsel table, it will
9	probably save some time.
10	
11	DIRECT EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. PECK:
13	Q Good afternoon, Dr. Baird.
14	The yoga program in the district you've
15	referenced as the EUSD program?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Do you teach Ashtanga yoga in the program?
18	A No.
19	Q And yoga, I believe Ashtanga, Bikram, EUSD, it
20	consists of poses; is that correct?
21	A That's correct.
22	Q And those poses can be performed in a variety of
23	sequences.
24	Is that your understanding, too?
25	A That's my understanding.
26	Q Now, Mr. Broyles brought up a study apparently
27	performed by the Mayo Clinic or a commentary by the Mayo
28	Clinic that talked about Ashtanga perhaps being less than

ideal for children. 1 2 Do you recall that? 3 I do. Α 4 Was that the poses, the sequences, was it the Q 5 religion or the potential for religious indoctrination? 6 What was it that the Mayo Clinic had concerns about? What I read in the article was it was not the 7 poses themselves. It was the sequencing and how quickly 8 9 you went through the sequencing. And it was designed -the study that they were reviewing was Ashtanga yoga that 10 11 has been designed for adults being given to children, and that's not what we're doing. 12 13 So when I read the article and I saw the references that they were making, they were talking about 14 15 taking an adult yoga program and delivering that same 16 program to children. That's not what we're doing, and 17 that's why I did not have concerns about that program. 18 So if I understand you correctly, Dr. Baird, even though the district is using some of the same poses as 19 20 adults might perform, the actual sequencing is wholly 21 different? 22 And the pacing, I think, is really important 23 there as well, yes. Now, does the district have authority to 24 25 terminate any of the yoga instructors it desires and 26 terminate its relationship with these instructors? 27 Α Yes.

Does the Jois Foundation have any say in that?

28

Q

1 In other words, do you have to get the Jois Foundation's 2 blessing before you can terminate a relationship? 3 No, not at all. 4 If I heard you correctly, Dr. Baird, two of the Q 5 current yoga instructors were, in fact, teaching at the district prior to implementation of the yoga program? 6 7 That is correct. Α 8 And they were already approved by the district 0 9 for educating our students? That is correct. 10 Α 11 And they went and got this certification through 12 the Jois Foundation and came back and continued teaching 13 those students; true? 14 Α Yes. 15 Did either of those two educators indicate that 16 they had undergone any sort of religious transformation 17 between the time they taught the students and the return 18 to teaching the yoga program? In fact, as I understand it, there's very 19 No. 20 little speaking that goes on during the practice. just demonstrate the poses and then show them the right 21 way to hold the pose and how to do sequences. 2.2 23 So the certification process is what you're 0 24 referring to? 25 Α Yes. And it's your understanding that, in fact, that 26 certification process is more physical than it is 27 28 educational in the sense of learning origins?

1	A Yes. It's only physical.
2	THE COURT: Mr. Peck, can I ask a question before
3	I forget?
4	MR. PECK: Absolutely.
5	THE COURT: I want to make sure I understood your
б	answer to something.
7	If a yoga instructor who has been certified by
8	Jois does something inappropriate, I assume that would be
9	seen by the other teacher or teachers in the class?
10	THE WITNESS: Yes.
11	THE COURT: In other words, this is not a teacher
12	in a class without other teachers.
13	THE WITNESS: We it's done in various ways at
14	different schools. In many of our schools, the teacher
15	goes in the classroom with them and other ways. But the
16	answer to your question is is that we can dismiss that
17	teacher through our arrangement with
18	THE COURT: No. All I'm saying is, though, that
19	this yoga instructor is part of a teaching team.
20	THE WITNESS: Yeah. Usually, yes.
21	THE COURT: It's not like the yoga teacher is
22	there for the day and nobody else is around.
23	THE WITNESS: They may be. A number of our
24	teachers have teaching credentials as well.
25	THE COURT: But the ones that don't.
26	THE WITNESS: Yeah, they're usually with either
27	another teacher or the classroom teacher is there.
28	THE COURT: If, for whatever reason, the district

gives -- the principal finds out or someone goes to the
principal about a yoga teacher doing something
inappropriate, what would be the protocol to get rid of
that teacher?

THE WITNESS: Well, we would go through -THE COURT: This would be a non-certificated

2.2

employee.

THE WITNESS: We'd still go through our due process to make sure that the complaint is valid and verifiable. Depending upon what the issue was, we would take appropriate stances. If it was something serious enough to dismiss that person, we would simply contact Regur and say that we're asking that that teacher be removed from our contract, and it would happen immediately.

THE COURT: So they don't have a hearing with Regur or Jois? It's just up to the district?

THE WITNESS: It's up to the district. We would have a contract with Regur & Associates to provide us with the nine teachers that we get to pick, and train, and that we get to dismiss if we don't want them.

THE COURT: And if this were a certificated employee, then you'd have to go through the --

THE WITNESS: Not even then because they don't -they technically are not our employees. We contract
through a company to work with them. We have similar
contracts with some of our speech and language folk where
we can just say, "We'd rather that teacher not come back,"

and they don't. 1 2 THE COURT: Have you had to dismiss? 3 THE WITNESS: We have not. We have excellent 4 teachers. 5 THE COURT: Okay. MR. PECK: I think you took about three of my 6 7 four questions there, your Honor. I appreciate that. BY MR. PECK: 8 9 Dr. Baird, on your frequent visits to these 0 elementary classrooms, would it be correct to say that 10 11 you're looking for inappropriate as well as appropriate teaching methods? 12 13 Exactly. Α And if you notice anything that would be 14 15 inappropriate, what would be the district's typical 16 response? 17 Well, if it was something minor, for instance, 18 perhaps one of our teachers were not, you know, solving a problem among students in an appropriate way, we would 19 give them training in terms of how to do that. And that's 20 21 been part of the staff development that we've provided, which is classroom management control and various other 2.2 23 elements of effective teaching. 24 And you expect the yoga instructors in the Q district to follow the EUSD curriculum; correct? 25 26 Α Yes. THE COURT: How much more time do you have? 27 28 want to give the reporter a break.

```
1
               MR. PECK: Last question, your Honor.
 2
     BY MR. PECK:
 3
               Dr. Baird, do you have any reason to believe that
          Q
 4
      the EUSD yoga instructors are actually trying to inculcate
 5
      these students in Hinduism or Eastern mysticism or any
      other kind religious philosophy?
 6
 7
          Α
               No.
 8
               THE COURT: Recross?
 9
               MR. BROYLES: Briefly, your Honor. Very briefly.
               THE COURT: Well, I don't want to cut you off. I
10
11
      want to give the reporter a break.
               Would this be a good time to take a break or do
12
13
      you want to --
               MR. BROYLES: I will be probably five to seven
14
15
      minutes.
16
               THE COURT: Five to seven?
17
               MR. BROYLES: Yeah, maybe less.
18
               THE COURT:
                           Maybe eight?
               Unless you really want --
19
20
               MR. BROYLES: We can break, your Honor.
21
               THE COURT: Okay. I think it would be fine.
22
      Let's take a break. Let's take 15 minutes, and we'll be
23
      back at 3:15.
24
               (Recess.)
25
               THE COURT: All right. Mr. Broyles, recross.
26
               MR. BROYLES: Yes, Your Honor.
27
      ///
28
      ///
```

1		RECROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. B	
3		Now, there was testimony about what would happen
	Q	
4		g if a yoga teacher wasn't doing their job and had
5		red; right?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Do you remember that testimony before we broke?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Okay. So if a teacher is fired who's teaching
10	yoga, th	ey would be replaced by a teacher who was trained
11	by the J	ois Foundation; isn't that correct?
12	A	Who would be certified that they have the yoga
13	skills,	yes.
14	Q	Yeah.
15		But that would be a requirement of the rehired
16	the rehi	re for that position where the teacher was fired;
17	right?	
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	So that requirement hasn't changed; right?
20	A	No.
21	Q	Okay. Now
22		THE COURT: Well, when you said "Right," he's
23	right.	
24		THE WITNESS: Yes.
25		THE COURT: Okay.
26		THE WITNESS: Yes, correct.
27	BY MR. B	ROYLES:
28	Q	So you seemed to have your recollection refreshed
	•	

a little bit when Mr. Peck asked you about the yoga 1 2 program and Ashtanga yoga. 3 I asked you a question earlier, and you seemed to 4 indicate that you weren't really sure about Ashtanga yoga 5 and what is involved with it; is that correct? Specifically, no. 6 7 Let me be specific, then. Q 8 Α Yeah. 9 You indicated to Mr. Peck new information to me Q which was that somehow the order or the sequence or the 10 11 speed of the poses in the series of poses was somehow modified for EUSD; is that correct? 12 13 Α Yes. Yet in your FAQ when you address -- I took it 14 15 out. Will you look at Exhibit No. 5 for me, sir. You 16 said, didn't you, quote --17 THE COURT: Wait, where are you? 18 MR. BROYLES: Exhibit 5, your Honor. THE COURT: Yeah, where? 19 20 MR. BROYLES: Page 2, top of the page, first 21 question and first answer. 2.2 THE COURT: Do you have that there? 23 THE WITNESS: Yes. 24 BY MR. BROYLES: 25 The question was, "How is Encinitas yoga program Q 26 different from a yoga program that might be offered to adults in a yoga studio?" 27 28 Do you see that?

1 Α Yes. 2 Now, programs that might be offered to adults in 0 3 a yoga studio include Ashtanga yoga taught by Jois; 4 correct? 5 Α Yes. So that's one of the yoga programs that you might 6 7 be referring to. 8 Now, what you said here, though, sir, contradicts 9 what you actually told Mr. Peck earlier. It says, quote, "Although the poses themselves are the same physically 10 11 demanding poses used in adult yoga, students have an 12 easier time remembering our terminology." 13 Do you see that? 14 Α I see that, yes. 15 So what you meant by that is the poses themselves Q 16 aren't changed; isn't that true, sir? 17 Α Yes. 18 And you meant that the poses are still strenuous or physically demanding; correct? 19 20 Α Yes. And that's why you have it as a part of the P.E. 21 Q 2.2 program; isn't that true? 23 Α Yes. 24 Okay. So since you don't really understand or Q 25 know what's involved in Ashtanga yoga, you wouldn't really 26 know whether the poses themselves were modified; isn't 27 that true? 28 I didn't say the poses were modified. Α

1 said was the pacing was modified and the sequencing, and I 2 got that from the article that talked about this is more 3 of an adult focus type yoga. So... 4 I'm not asking about the article, sir. Q 5 asking about the actual practices and teachings in your district. 6 7 Do you understand that? 8 So please repeat the question. Α 9 So my question is in your district, the Okay. Q poses that are being done are the same physically 10 11 demanding poses used in adult yoga studios; isn't that true, sir? 12 Yes, my understanding is the poses are the same. 13 Okay. Now, regarding the funding of this 14 15 program, I asked an inartful question earlier. Let's get 16 away from the documents themselves and just let me ask you 17 a practical question. 18 If EUSD, for whatever reason, had decided in December of 2012 before they decided to expand the program 19 20 to all nine district schools to end the program, to stop proceeding under the grant, you would not have received 21 any further Jois grant funding; isn't that correct, sir? 22 23 Α Yes. 24 Now, regarding the opt-out, why did you allow 25 students to opt out of the program? 26 We were trying to listen to our parents and find a middle ground for them. Our preference would be that 27

they didn't opt out because we believe it's a solid

28

1 program that students should participate in. 2 Do you allow students to opt out of math classes 3 in your district, sir? 4 Α No, generally we don't. 5 Do you allow children to opt out of history Q 6 lessons in your district? 7 Α No. If you didn't believe -- if you don't believe, as 8 Q 9 you clearly stated, that the program is in any way religious or has any religious content, why then did you 10 11 provide an opt-out to the parents? 12 Α We did it to try to meet the needs of the 13 parents. Now, there was some confusing testimony in 14 response to Mr. Sleeth's question that raised an issue 15 16 that I'm learning here for the first time. 17 It's my understanding that the majority of yoga 18 classes that are being taught in your district are taught by single teachers; is that correct, sir? 19 20 Α Yes. Okay. And the usual experience for those 21 teachers is to be teaching that yoga class alone; isn't 22 23 that true, sir? 24 Not always, no. Classroom teachers accompany in Α 25 many cases. 26 In some cases, they accompany; right? Q 27 Α I would say many. 28 What do you mean by "many," sir?

Q

ı	I
1	A A number of. More than a few.
2	Q Okay.
3	THE COURT: Mr. Broyles, can I ask a question? I
4	just want to make sure I understand.
5	MR. BROYLES: Sure.
6	THE COURT: These students are in a classroom?
7	THE WITNESS: Yes.
8	THE COURT: And the classrooms are what's a
9	classroom, 20, 30 students in a classroom?
10	THE WITNESS: Yeah. 24, 30, yes.
11	THE COURT: But the yoga's taught in the
12	multi-purpose room?
13	THE WITNESS: In many cases. Not always.
14	Sometimes in the classroom.
15	THE COURT: Oh.
16	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
17	THE COURT: Okay. But if it's taught in a
18	multi-purpose room, this is a much bigger room? This is
19	like an auditorium; right?
20	THE WITNESS: Yes.
21	THE COURT: Gymnasium?
22	THE WITNESS: Yes.
23	THE COURT: And there is more than one class?
24	THE WITNESS: No. Usually, it's just one.
25	THE COURT: Oh, okay. But isn't the
26	multi-purpose room more conducive to yoga?
27	THE WITNESS: It is, but we often have other
28	things going on; if there's a play, for instance, that's

taking place or something. So it's nice -- some of our 1 2 schools have found that it's better to have a dedicated 3 space so that the yoga can always go on so that you're not 4 continually moving that whenever there's a play or a 5 musical performance. THE COURT: But doesn't yoga require a room 6 7 without furniture? THE WITNESS: Yes. 8 9 THE COURT: So what do you do in the classroom? THE WITNESS: Well, what we do is we've got 10 11 dedicated classrooms that are set up for our yoga instruction. 12 THE COURT: So the kids in a classroom with desks 13 would move to another --14 15 THE WITNESS: Yes. 16 THE COURT: -- either the multi-purpose room or a 17 vacant classroom, and they put their yoga mats down? 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. And we've done this before with our other P.E. programs that were indoors, too, where 19 20 we had a dedicated class. THE COURT: Would they go with the yoga teacher 21 or would the yoga teacher be there or would their 22 23 classroom teacher take them? THE WITNESS: All of the above. 24 25 The COURT: I see. Okay. 26 BY MR. BROYLES: You just said that in some of the classrooms, 27 28 there may periodically be someone besides the yoga

1 teacher; is that correct? 2 Α Yes. 3 And sometimes it's only the yoga teacher and the 4 students; isn't that correct? 5 Α Yes. 6 And some of the videos that you'll be showing us 7 later, it seems to be only taught by or led by one teacher; is that correct? 8 9 Yeah, that's usually the case. Α That's usually the case. 10 0 11 So it's actually more rare that another adult teacher would be in the room; isn't that correct, 12 13 Mr. Baird? What you asked earlier was is it led by one 14 Α 15 That would be, yes, a yoga teacher. Oftentimes, person. 16 the teacher is in the class, and sometimes they're doing 17 the yoga with the students or sometimes they're just 18 observing in the back. 19 THE COURT: And the class is a half an hour? 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. 21 THE COURT: Never more; never less? 2.2 THE WITNESS: No. Sometimes it's 40 minutes, 23 too. THE COURT: About a half an hour? 24 25 In that range, yes. THE WITNESS: 26 THE COURT: Okay. 27 BY MR. BROYLES: 28 And sometimes the extra teacher that you're Q

referring to, this hypothetical extra person, is not 1 2 there; correct? 3 Α Yes. 4 0 So it's --5 THE COURT: Is there ever more than one class? 6 Like if you got the multi-purpose room, are there 7 sometimes two classes --THE WITNESS: I don't think --8 9 THE COURT: -- having yoga at the same time? THE WITNESS: We usually don't do that. 10 11 Sometimes we have a couple of instructors at one time 12 where that's happened, but most -- our general practice is 13 that it's one class at a time. THE COURT: Of how many students, 20 to 30? 14 15 24 to 30, yeah. THE WITNESS: 16 BY MR. BROYLES: All right. You testified earlier that Sanskrit 17 18 was an element of the original yoga curriculum; correct? Sanskrit was part of the pilot class that took 19 20 place at Capri. 21 Okay. To your knowledge, why was Sanskrit in the 22 original curriculum, sir? 23 Α I believe that Sanskrit is because in most yoga studios, you will hear the poses called in Sanskrit terms. 24 25 Q Do you know what the Sanskrit terms that were on 26 the wall meant? 27 Α No. 28 Okay. Do you know if there are any students who Q

may have understood what the Sanskrit meant? 1 2 Not that I'm aware of. And so you don't know whether the yoga instructor 3 4 explained the meaning of the Sanskrit terms on the wall to 5 the students, do you, sir? At what point in time are we talking about? Are 6 7 you talking about during the pilot year? During the pilot year. 8 9 I don't know what was done during that year. Α That was not our district program that we're addressing 10 11 now. And there's been testimony actually from 12 Okay. 13 some of your witnesses and some of our witnesses that the yoga tree with eight branches and the Sanskrit terms were 14 15 actually also on a classroom wall in the beginning of this 16 year. 17 Do you understand that? Now that you've -- I was thinking that was 18 Α last year, but I think that was at the very beginning of 19 20 the school year. And that was the case, where it was taken down after one day. 21 2.2 THE COURT: Are we talking about August or 23 September or July? 24 THE WITNESS: Yeah. We're talking about in the 25 very beginning of school year, like September-ish, I 26 think, yeah. BY MR. BROYLES: 27 28 Okay. So that was done -- when I say "that," I

Q

1 mean there was a yoga tree on the wall with Sanskrit 2 terms -- last year at Capri; correct? 3 Α Yes. 4 And there was a yoga tree with Sanskrit terms on 5 the wall in the beginning of this school year; correct? Yes. I think it was in the same classroom at 6 7 Capri, but I think that poster was just left up. 8 THE COURT: In one school? 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. 10 BY MR. BROYLES: 11 Q Okay. And do you know if any of the students understand Sanskrit, sir? 12 13 No, I don't. Α Okay. Do you believe -- strike that. 14 0 15 Do you understand that for Hindus, Sanskrit is a 16 holy and religious language, sir? 17 MR. PECK: Lacks foundation. 18 MR. SLEETH: Objection; lacks foundation. 19 THE COURT: Overruled. 20 If you know. 21 THE WITNESS: No, I don't know that. 2.2 BY MR. BROYLES: 23 Okay. If you didn't know that Sanskrit was a 0 holy and religious language to Hindus, why would you have 24 that tree with the Sanskrit removed from the classroom, 25 26 sir? We had a parent that complained about it, and we 27 28 were trying to comply and make our parents feel

1	comfortable.
2	MR. BROYLES: No further questions.
3	THE COURT: Mr. Sleeth, anything further?
4	MR. SLEETH: Nothing, your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Mr. Peck, anything further?
6	MR. PECK: Nothing, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: All right. Can this witness step
8	down?
9	MR. SLEETH: Yes.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir.
11	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
12	THE COURT: Mr. Broyles, next witness.
13	MR. BROYLES: Your Honor, because of the speed at
14	which we're going and the availability of my expert, I
15	would like to call her out of order next.
16	THE COURT: Sure.
17	MR. BROYLES: We call Candy Brown, Dr. Candy
18	Brown.
19	THE CLERK: Thank you, ma'am. Would you please
20	have a seat at the witness stand.
21	MR. CARELLI: Your Honor, if I may, just before
22	the witness starts, I'm going to be leaving at 4:15. Jack
23	will be here, Mr. Sleeth. He'll take care of the witness.
24	THE COURT: Fine. Okay.
25	THE CLERK: Ma'am, would you please state your
26	name for the record and spell both your first and last
27	name.
28	THE WITNESS: My name is Candy Gunther Brown,

1 C-a-n-d-y B-r-o-w-n. 2 THE CLERK: Thank you. 3 4 CANDY GUNTHER BROWN, 5 having been called as a witness by the plaintiffs, was first duly sworn and testified as follows: 6 7 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. BROYLES: Good afternoon, Dr. Brown. 10 0 11 Could you tell the Court where you live. I currently live in Oxford in the United Kingdom 12 Α 13 where I'm on sabbatical until July of this year. permanent home is in Bloomington, Indiana. 14 15 What is your profession, Dr. Brown? 0 16 I'm a tenured university professor of religious Α 17 studies. 18 0 How long have you been a professor of religious studies? 19 I've been teaching religious studies to 20 21 university students for the past 16 years. My first two full-time appointments were in history and American 2.2 23 studies departments, and I've had my current appointment in religious studies department for seven years. 24 25 Q Whom do you work for currently? 26 Α Indiana University. How long have you been a professor at Indiana 27 28 University?

1 Α For seven years. 2 0 What courses have you taught at Indiana 3 University? Religion, Illness, and Healing; Religion Health 4 Α 5 and Healthcare Management; Sickness and Health; Religion 6 and American Culture; Introduction to Christianity; 7 Evangelical America; and Women and Religion. I've also advised about 24 dissertations and theses. 8 9 Did you teach anywhere before Indiana University? I taught in the religion and in the history 10 Α 11 and literature programs at Harvard University from 1996 to 12 1999 and at -- in the comparative literature department at 13 Lesley University in 1998. I taught in the history department at Vanderbilt University for one year from 2000 14 15 to 2001, and I taught in the American studies department 16 at Saint Louis University from 2001 to 2006. And then I 17 started my appointment at Indiana University as an 18 associate professor of religious studies in 2006 until the present, and then my department has just voted to put me 19 20 up for promotion to full professor at Indiana. Congratulations. 21 Q 2.2 Have you taught any courses relating to Hinduism, 23 Buddhism, Western metaphysics, or yoga? Yes, I have. 24 Α 25 How long have you been teaching courses related Q 26 to Hinduism, Buddhism, Western metaphysics, and yoga? 27 Α For seven years.

What courses have you taught relating to

28

Q

Hinduism, Buddhism, Western metaphysics, and yoga? 1 2 Religion, Illness, and Healing; Religion Health and Healthcare Management; Sickness and Health; Religion 3 4 and American Culture; and Women and Religion. 5 Professor Brown, would you please tell the Court Q what colleges or universities you've attended and what 6 7 courses of study you've pursued. 8 All of my degrees are from Harvard University. 9 received a B.A. summa cum laude in History and Literature in 1992, and my honors thesis was on religion, women and 10 11 religion. My M.A. is in the history department also at 12 Harvard in 1995. And my Ph.D was in the History of 13 American Civilization or American Studies department in 2000. 14 15 Is it usual or the normal course for Harvard 16 undergraduates to continue their graduate studies at 17 Harvard? 18 No, it isn't because it's actually much more difficult to get accepted to the graduate programs if you 19 20 were there as an undergraduate. What is required to earn a Ph.D in history of 21 American civilization at Harvard? 2.2 23 Α First there are two years of coursework, then there are comprehensive oral examinations in four fields. 24 25

A First there are two years of coursework, then
there are comprehensive oral examinations in four fields.

Two of my four fields were in religion divided
chronologically and then in history and in literature.

And then there's a dissertation that's approved by two -by three committee members. Two of my members, including

my primary advisor, were at the Divinity School and with the committee on religion, and my third professor was in the literature department and focused on religion.

- Q Did any of your coursework at Harvard pertain to Hinduism, Buddhism, Western metaphysics, or yoga?
 - A Yes.

2.2

- Q Okay. Would you please tell us about those.
- A I studied scholarly books about world religions in my coursework preparing for my religion oral exams. And between 1993 and 2000, I was part of a twice weekly research colloquium at the Divinity School that was attended by graduate students and faculty from the greater Boston area who were presenting works in progress on all the world religion traditions as well as theory and method in the study of religion.
- Q Have you ever taken or attended any special courses, seminars, lectures, or instructional programs in religious studies since you received your Ph.D. from Harvard?
 - A Yes, I have.
 - Q Could you please describe those.
- A I regularly attend and present at professional society meetings such as those of the American Academy of Religion and the American Society of Church History. And I'm also a part of a lecture series and workshops of works in progress hosted by the Center for Religion and American Culture in Indianapolis and by the Indiana University department of religious studies. And those topics range

across all the world's religious traditions.

2.2

Q Did you focus on or pursue any specialized academic areas after graduating?

A Yes. My research contributes to several areas in religious studies. These include religion and science, ethics, globalization, spiritual healing practices, complementary and alternative medicine including yoga and meditation, comparative religions, Evangelical/Pentacostal Christianity, Hinduism, Buddhism, Taoism, and Western metaphysics.

Q Tell the Court how you keep up with the latest developments in the area of religious studies.

A I keep abreast of recent books and articles, I attend national conferences, and I'm on the Research Prize Committee for the American Society of Church History. And in that capacity, I read basically all the recent publications in the field.

Q Is there anything else you do to keep up with the latest developments in Hinduism, Buddhism, Western metaphysics, or yoga?

A Yes. I'm always browsing publisher catalogs and looking at recent titles, I'm on list-serves, I do book reviews, and I'm constantly conducting Internet searches.

Q Now, before we continue with your qualifications, Professor Brown, you were retained by the National Center for Law and Policy to review, evaluate, and render expert opinion testimony about the yoga program in the Encinitas Union School District, weren't you?

1 Α Yes. 2 Are you being paid for your professional 0 Okay. 3 services in this case by the National Center for Law and 4 Policy or anyone else? 5 Α No, I'm not. So just to be clear, you will not be paid for any 6 0 7 of your testimony in court today; is that correct? That is correct. 8 Α 9 All right. I'm going to be asking you now about Q professional societies and organizations. 10 11 Α Okay. Professor Brown, are you or have you been a 12 0 13 member of any professional associations? 14 Α Yes. 15 Could you please describe them for the Court. Q 16 I'm a current member of the American Academy of Α 17 Religion, the American Society of Church History, the 18 Society for the Scientific Study of Religion, and the Sociology -- or the religion section of the American 19 20 Sociological Association. 21 Have you held any offices or special positions in 2.2 the American Academy of Religion? 23 Α Yes. I've served on the Evangelical Theology Group's steering committee from 2006 to 2010 and as chair 24 25 of that committee from 2008 and 2010. And just to explain 26 what that committee -- or that what organization is is the

American Academy of Religion is the world's largest

professional society of scholars of religion. It has

27

28

about 10,000 members worldwide. 1 2 Thank you. What is the American Society of Church History? 3 4 Α It is an association of professional scholars of 5 Christianity and culture including the relationship between Christianity and other world religions. 6 7 Have you held any offices or special positions in the American Society of Church History? 8 9 I served as chair of the Membership Α Yes. Committee from 2007 to 2010, on the Council from 2008 to 10 11 2010, on the Nominations Committee in 2010, and on the Research Prize Committee from 2012 to the present. 12 13 Dr. Brown, what is the American Sociological Association section on sociology of religion? 14 It's an association of scholars who use sociology 15 16 to study religion in society. 17 Professor Brown, have you done any speaking, 0 18 writing, or lecturing on subjects relating to Hinduism, Buddhism, Western metaphysics, or yoga? 19 20 Yes, I have. Can you tell us a little bit about the different 21 0 2.2 topics you've spoken on and the groups or organizations 23 you've spoken to? I've given a number of lectures on complementary 24 Α 25 and alternative medicine including yoga and meditation at 26 various professional societies and universities. including at the American Academy of Religion; at the 27 28 Indiana Wesleyan School of Nursing; the Oxford Center for

1 Mission Studies; United Theological Seminary in Ohio; 2 Lancaster University in the UK; Uppsala University in Sweden; and I'm scheduled to give related talks at 3 4 ASCH/AAR annual meetings and at those of the American 5 Society of Church History; at Birmingham University in the UK; at IU Health Ball Memorial Hospital in Muncie; and at 6 7 the Union Presbyterian Seminary in Virginia. 8 I've also given a number of lectures in the field 9

of religion and science and how the terminology of "religion" and "science" are used often in cultural debates, including for the Americans Studies Association annual meeting, at Yale University, Heidelberg University in Germany, Virginia Commonwealth University, Butler University, Boston College, Vanguard University in California, and for the Secular Alliance of Indiana University.

THE COURT: You had mentioned alternative medicine.

THE WITNESS: That is correct.

THE COURT: Like meditation?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: And acupuncture.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: As well as chiropractic and alternative cancer remedies and a variety of other kinds of alternatives that people use.

28 ///

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

26

27

BY MR. BROYLES:

2.2

Q Dr. Brown, have you published any articles or books dealing with the topics of Hindusim, Buddhism, Western metaphysics, or yoga?

- A Yes, I have.
- Q Please describe the books or articles for the Court.

A Well, first of all, if I could just explain some of the general research that I've done in this area, and then get to the books.

Is it okay if I do that?

Q Sure.

A I've been conducting this general program of research on complementary alternative medicine. I'll just say CAM for short. So including yoga, meditation, and these other practices we've mentioned and the relationship specifically to religious traditions, including Christianity, Hinduism, Buddhism, Western metaphysics.

And I've been doing this for the past ten years.

The research includes historical and literary analysis of both written texts and audiovisual materials. It includes ethnographic research, surveys, interviews, observation. It includes sociological study, including qualitative and quantitative analysis, including statistics. My work includes biomedical and clinical studies of the empirical effects of health practices or healing practices on health, and it includes the analysis of scientific publications and systematic reviews of

medical literature in clinical studies.

2.2

And this research builds upon training and experience that I've had since 1989 in historical, literary, religious, and cultural analysis, including close readings of texts, historical and cultural contextualization of practices and documents, assessments of what people mean when they use terminology such as "religion" and "science," and comparisons of scientific research with popular use of scientific language.

And so this has resulted in a number of books.

Did you have --

THE COURT: You're kind of talking over my head.

THE WITNESS: Okay. So --

THE COURT: But for -- what I get out of what you're saying is somebody that claims that they were cured of a disease by positive thinking would be in your statistical analysis when somebody says they weren't cured. In other words, that's what your --

THE WITNESS: When I'm using statistical analysis, that will generally be one of two types of research that I've done. It could be survey research where I'm doing statistics on the results of that research, and it's also been clinical studies.

So, for instance, of praying for healing and kind of statistically is it significant what the improvements being reported after the prayer practice was or is it not statistically significant?

THE COURT: It can be -- prayer, it can be

meditation, it can be something --1 2 THE WITNESS: Exactly THE COURT: -- out of mainstream of --3 4 THE WITNESS: Exactly. 5 THE COURT: -- drugs and surgery. THE WITNESS: Exactly. And my research spans 6 7 So prayer practices and then also kind of this both. 8 realm of yoga and meditation and related practices. 9 Okay. All right. What about fasting THE COURT: and that --10 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's all within the same 12 realm. Yes, I've done a broad kind of survey of these 13 topics. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 THE WITNESS: And so then this has resulted, 16 then, in -- a number of the publications on my CV are 17 related specifically. And I'll just try to briefly 18 highlight a few of those, and then if you have further 19 questions. 20 My most recent book is called The Healing Gods, Complementary and Alternative Medicine in Christian 21 America, which is forthcoming from Oxford University Press 2.2 23 in August of this year, and the galley copies are now circulating. 24 25 The book explains how and why complementary and 26 alternative medicine or CAM has become mainstream even 27 though much of CAM is religious, but not specifically 28 Christian and there's a lack of scientific evidence

generally of either safety or efficacy. I explore the 1 2 relationship between CAM and religious traditions, such as 3 Hinduism --4 THE COURT: What is CAM? 5 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Complementary and 6 Alternative Medicine; and so again, specifically the yoga, 7 meditation, acupuncture, et cetera. I deal with about ten practices in the book. And one of the chapters is 8 9 specifically on yoga, and then there are sections on yoga in several of the other chapters. And there's several 10 11 chapters that talk about mindfulness meditation as well as transcendental meditation and other kinds of meditation. 12 13 THE COURT: And shamans? THE WITNESS: I don't talk as much about shamans 14 15 in this particular book, but it's in the broader realm of things. 16 17 They're kind of out of style now, THE COURT: 18 aren't they? They are, actually, right, because 19 THE WITNESS: 20 they're very explicitly religious in what they talk about. They're not as -- they don't use the language of science 21 22 quite as well. 23 THE COURT: I've never gone to one, so I don't 24 know. MR. BROYLES: Your Honor, you know, what you do 25 on your own time is fine with me. 26 The -- in the book, I start off by 27 THE WITNESS: 28 asking the question, "What is religion?"

THE COURT: No. I think I --

2.2

THE WITNESS: And I've got a chapter on that.

THE COURT: I understand --

THE WITNESS: And I review scientific evidence of efficacy and safety. I've got a couple of chapters that do that. And then I explain also how this language of religion and science are used often in cultural debates.

A second book which was published by Harvard University Press in 2012 makes comparisons between Christian spiritual healing practices and healing practices from other religious traditions, including Buddhism and Hinduism. And the book also reviews scientific evidence of efficacy and safety, and it also talks about this relationship between what religion is and what science is.

An edited book that I did, Global Pentacostal and Charismatic Healing, which was published by Oxford University Press in 2011, includes chapters on the relationship between these Christian healing practices and Hindu healing practices.

And then I've also -- I'll just highlight a few of my journal articles. There's "Balancing Personalized Medicine and Personalized Care" published in Academic Medicine in 2011 -- or I'm sorry -- in 2013. It's a peer-reviewed medical journal article that I co-authored with an M.D. And the article explores how frustrations with regular biomedicine often leads people to experiment with complementary medicine that has religious influences

within it.

Another medical journal article that I was lead author for was a "Study of the therapeutic effects of proximal intercessory prayer on auditory and visual impairments in rural Mozambique." That's a little bit of a mouthful. Also, co-author with two M.D.s. And it's another peer-reviewed medical journal author -- or article -- I'm sorry -- that looks -- it's a clinical study of the effects of prayer on health. And it's specifically hearing and vision.

Also, an article on "Chiropractic and Christianity" published in *Church History* in 2010, a peer-reviewed journal article that explains the close ties between chiropractic and Western metaphysics and also how chiropractic often integrates other types of healing practices, including yoga and its ties to Hinduism often in that context.

A textbook chapter I wrote is called "Practice" published in a book Religion in American History in 2010, and that textbook chapter explains how physical practices from different world religions embody religious beliefs and religion.

And then finally, I'll just mention "Touch and American Religions" published in *Religion Compass* in 2009. It's another peer-reviewed journal article, and this one compares Christian and Buddhist ethics and specifically ethics of touch.

///

BY MR. BROYLES: 1 2 0 Thank you. Dr. Brown, have you received any awards or 3 4 special recognition for your work relating --5 THE COURT: What do you mean by "touch"? THE WITNESS: In the context of that article, 6 7 it's empathy touch or touch in healing practices. 8 THE COURT: Laying on of hands? 9 THE WITNESS: Laying on of hands or other kinds of touch. And there's kind of a belief that touch can 10 11 actually be very ethical because it communicates in a way 12 that language doesn't. So touching can tell someone something, and it can actually change their beliefs in a 13 way that talking doesn't. 14 And so we think often in the American context 15 16 about beliefs being shaped by words, but this -- the 17 premise of this article and the theorists from Buddhism 18 I'm talking about talk about how touch often communicates on this kind of primordial, preverbal level and actually 19 20 can communicate a lot more than words can. 21 THE COURT: And you get into Tai Chi and that 2.2 whole thing? 23 THE WITNESS: Yeah, and that's actually another big section of this book on the healing gods that's coming 24 I talk a lot about Tai Chi. 25 out. 26 THE COURT: Okay. Sounds interesting. 27 THE WITNESS: It's been fun. 28 ///

BY MR. BROYLES: Q And th

Q And that book, The Healing Gods, comes out when?

A In August of this year.

Q Dr. Brown, have you received any awards or special recognition for your work related to teaching, lecturing, or writing about Hinduism, Buddhism, Western metaphysics, or yoga?

A Yes, I have.

Q Okay. Could you please tell us about those.

I've been awarded \$241,000 in grants, not quite as much as EUSD, to support this research. I've been interviewed by the media a number of times, including an appearance on the National Geographic Television Show Taboo as an expert on "Devils and Demons."

This component of my research contributed to my being awarded a trustee's teaching award and an Outstanding Junior Faculty Award from Indiana University.

Q All right. Now, Dr. Brown, you mentioned your -- I'm sorry -- you provided me with your curriculum vitae, which has been attached to several documents in this case, including your expert witness declaration and our original petition. And I believe your -- you would like to provide us all with an updated version of your CV.

A Yeah. I've published a couple more things since the last version.

Q Just for clarity's sake and for the record, could you just tell us what change or changes you made.

A For one thing, the title changed. I think the --

0.4

ı	1
1	the title of <i>The Healing Gods</i> changed from the first
2	version to the second, and then I think one or two more
3	articles are added later. But there aren't major changes.
4	It's not really worth I think I did a couple more media
5	interviews. Anything new will just be a little bit higher
6	up if you look at the top of each category. And I have
7	four copies of this here.
8	MR. BROYLES: Your Honor, just so we're all on
9	the same page literally, I'd like to offer her updated CV
10	as Trial Exhibit 13.
11	THE COURT: Any objection?
12	MR. SLEETH: No objection.
13	THE COURT: All right. The updated CV will be
14	received.
15	(Exhibit 13 was received into evidence.)
16	MR. BROYLES: And would counsel like copies right
17	now? Because I don't want to forget to give them.
18	MR. SLEETH: At your pleasure.
19	MR. BROYLES: May my co-counsel approach, your
20	Honor?
21	MR. REYNOLDS: I'll just grab these and pass them
22	out and grab a new water jug while I'm over here.
23	THE COURT: You're supposed to be doing that.
24	THE BAILIFF: They're up there, your Honor.
25	BY MR. BROYLES:
26	Q All right. Just to make sure I haven't forgotten
27	anything, Ms. Brown or Professor Brown, if we have not
28	covered it already or it has not been included in your CV,

please describe for the Court what special knowledge, 1 2 skill, experience, training, or education you have that are not -- I'm sorry -- that are sufficient to qualify you 3 4 as an expert on the subject to which your testimony 5 relates in this case, specifically Hinduism, Buddhism, Western metaphysics, and yoga. 6 7 I think we've covered everything. Α 8 Q

Thank you very much.

Have you been asked to render opinions in this case?

Α Yes, I have.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

26

27

28

Okay. Now, I want to -- before I ask you about Q those opinions, I want to ask about the evidentiary foundation for those opinions.

Professor Brown, what documents or other information did you review related to the yoga program at the Encinitas Union School District?

I've reviewed basically everything that's been submitted to the Court by both sides and both sets of defendants and co-counsel. And I guess for the record I'll list them.

So that includes the MOU between EUSD and the Jois Foundation; the EUSD grant proposal to the Jois Foundation; the MOU between EUSD and the RDG; the EUSD yoga program FAQ; the GLPD Gazette dated 9/12; both the original and revised --

THE COURT: Ms. Brown, we've got a court reporter who is going to need some alternative medicine or

something.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE WITNESS: Apologies.

Are you okay up to the GLPD Gazette dated 9/12?

THE REPORTER: Yes.

THE WITNESS: Both the original and revised EUSD On the Mat curriculum; the Capri quiz study quide; the Ashtanga Yoga Institute asana poster; the ABCs of Yoga for Kids poster; the Timothy Baird e-mail to the parents; the Sedlock letter to the EUSD board; the Gray-Baird correspondence dated 10/12; C-Ville article on UVA CSC; the Southern California NPR interview; trial briefs by plaintiffs, defendants, and YES; respondents' answer to verified petition; declarations by Eady, Hevrin, Gray, True, Nordal, Vigil, Sedlock, Baird, Miyashiro, Carrie Brown, Reich, O'Keefe, Weber, McCloskey, Cooney, Bergeron, Campbell, Paul, Ruzic, Gerbarg, Enjedi, J. Brown, Wood, Chapple, Singleton, Hartsell, Borak, designations of expert witnesses and motion to exclude expert testimony and motion in limine, and also publications that are listed in my bibliography related to my long-term research on yoga, meditation, and CAM, a true and correct copy of which is appended as Exhibit B to my declaration originally.

I've also spoken with parents from EUSD who -families who have observed EUSD yoga classes, and I've
also read written statements by those parents beyond the
declarations that were submitted today. And I've done
Internet searches on organizations and individuals who are

referenced in the trial materials, including websites and linked videos from the Jois Foundation; JoisYoga.com; K.

Pattabhi Jois Ashtanga Yoga Institute, Mysore, India;

Ashtanga Yoga Center; Ashtanga.com; Core Power; Radiantly Alive; Integral Yoga Institute; as well as news articles and blogs reporting or commenting on this case.

BY MR. BROYLES:

2.2

Q Now, before we get into the specifics about the program in Encinitas, I want to ask you a few more background questions.

Dr. Brown, what is religion?

A Very simple question. The term "religion" has obviously been defined in a variety of ways. Many scholars prefer what are called functional to substantive definitions of religion. So, in other words, we find it more useful not just to try to tick off a list, for instance, of what you'd find in a dictionary definition of religion. So is there a god worshipped or is there belief in a deity? That would be an example of a substantive definition of religion.

But often, it can be very useful to observe how religions function. For instance, religions can function to set apart what's sacred from what's profane or ordinary. Religions can function to grapple with kind of ultimate problems of human existence or ultimate explanations of the world and the position of the individual within the world.

Most religious studies scholars are actually very

much in agreement that the definition of "religion" needs to be broader than just looking at Judeo-Christian and monotheistic religions that are focused on belief or theistic beliefs. But it's also important to consider as religion bodily practices or rituals that are -- that have a function, like this functional definition of, say, connecting individuals with suprahuman perhaps -- perhaps deities, but sometimes just energies or forces or transcendent realities or --

THE COURT: What does that mean?

2.2

THE WITNESS: Well, it can mean a variety of things, but the sense, the word "suprahuman" is often used by scholars as something more than human. So it's an individual's relationship with something bigger and often something very ultimate, that there's kind of a force, for instance.

And, I mean, you mentioned Tai Chi, right? And so there it would be a force or a power of universal energy that's believed to have brought the universe into creation and to then have to be balanced against each other. So it's about, say, that individual's relationship to that kind of universal energy. It's not a personal god, it's not a personal deity, but it's this suprahuman kind of force. So that might be one way that religions function.

Also, religions can function to try and cultivate a kind of heightened spiritual awareness or a cultivation of ethical and moral virtues and character building and to

try and kind of make for virtuous and ethical behavior, how to live the good life, how to live well in the world.

Often religion is expressed through symbolic actions or rituals -- and I'll say a bit more about rituals as we go along -- because these actions, these symbolic actions, can work to establish very powerful moods and motivations. They make you feel a certain way. They make you want to do things. They motivate you. And they support a world view or a way of looking at what's really real in the world. It gives you an overall picture of reality.

And often these kind of symbolic actions can function to instill a kind of ethos or a kind of life philosophy for how to live ethically and virtuous, how one should live in the world.

THE COURT: But can it go the other way, too, in some cultures?

THE WITNESS: How do you mean?

THE COURT: North Korea and Nazi Germany and --

THE WITNESS: Oh, that religions can be bad.

Yeah, absolutely. Ideology, right, is sometimes used.

And, I mean, sometimes, right, scientific naturalism can

have a very compelling vision of reality based on

24 materialism, right? So again, not a deity, but a kind of

25 compelling reality.

2.2

And you can see that in secular humanism. You can see that in scientific naturalism. So it's not restricted to beliefs in a deity. And there is often kind

of something more than kind of what exercise does. And, I mean, I remember in your opening comments, I mean, I think you made a good point that say exercise practices can -- there can be an overlap, right, kind of in health and well-being. But often with religion, it's something more than that. It's kind of a bigger picture or a broader significance than just eating a healthy diet would get. There's kind of a meaning that's invested in what's religious.

2.2

And what's important here, I think, is that for much of America's history, Protestant Christianity has been very dominant, right, up until about the 1960s with opening of immigration and kind of diversification of American culture.

And what this means is that we've got an increasingly religiously pluralistic society, but definitions of religions haven't always kept pace with that religious change.

And so today there really are two broad categories of religion in America. So first there are word- or belief-oriented kinds of religion. And so, for instance, belief that the Bible is the word of God. It's important to evangelize and share your beliefs with others. It's important to have correct doctrine, to preach the gospel. So words, beliefs, what you believe, what you say about what you believe is very central to this kind of belief/word-oriented kind of religion.

But then there's a second category of religion

which is increasingly prominent in American culture, which I would talk about as more a practice or an experience-oriented category of religion. And so where here it's more you directly experience the divine -- and I'll say more about the divine as we go kind of view -- but it's more individualistic. Beliefs may vary. Talking about what you believe may not mean as much, but it's about the individual's experience of what seems sacred, what seems kind of more than mundane or divine or God-like.

2.2

And for the goals, right, I mean the functions of both these word/belief-oriented religions, these practice-, these experience-oriented religions often can be very parallel. Their end goals are the same. How they function in people's lives are the same. But the means by which they operate are very different.

For the first category of religion, verbal expression, kind of what you believe, what you say about what you believe. Whereas for the second category of religion, it's the practices. It's what you do to express, to symbolize, to instill religion are much more central.

And what we find as scholars of religion is that in America, there's often this kind of Protestant bias where people who have kind of grown to learn the sense that religion is this, right, Judeo-Christian religions, Islamic religions even, they often -- whether they believe those religions themselves or it's just kind of some

through culturally, they often won't recognize the second 1 2 category of religion as religious, and they're prone to misunderstand embodied religious traditions in which 3 4 practice itself is an essential expression of religion and 5 an essential means of instilling religion in others whether or not verbal proclamation is used. 6 7 Does that make sense or --THE COURT: Well, I think so. 8 9 Okay. I think I'll elaborate on it THE WITNESS: 10 as we go. 11 THE COURT: Whatever it is, you can't teach it in 12 school. To clarify, I think -- I think we 13 THE WITNESS: didn't ask this question, but my intention, right, is to 14 15 simply render an opinion as to whether the Ashtanga yoga program in EUSD is religious. I'll let you decide whether 16 17 it belongs in schools. 18 THE COURT: I'm just trying to digest the answer to the question. I mean, it's a kind of profound question 19 20 and a profound answer. 21 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Okay. 2.2 BY MR. BROYLES: 23 Often in our culture, there's a discussion when 0 24 you talk about religion of -- religion and spirituality. 25 And I think it would be helpful if you'd explain what relationship, if any, there is between the term "religion" 26

or "religious" and "spiritual" or "spirituality."

28 A Sure.

27

In contemporary American culture, the term "spiritual" is often used as a euphemism for religion, and there are two basic reasons for this.

First of all, Christianity and kind of Christian religion has come to have very negative connotations for many people.

THE COURT: What was the question? I was just writing something.

MR. BROYLES: In essence, your Honor, it's what's the relationship between religion and spirituality.

THE WITNESS: And so my basic answer is that -actually, where I'm going to go with this is that
spirituality is a subset of religion, but often
spirituality is a term as used as a euphemism when people
don't want to use the term "religion." There are two
reasons for this.

The first is because of these negative associations that people have with Christian religion. So when you hear religion -- and actually, even Christians can have these same negative associations. So they won't want to use the term religion either often. But people think about rules and sin and judgment and dogma and creeds. They think of prosthelytizing and indoctrinating. And it's this very negative sense of rules and worship services and attendance and all these things that you have to do or you can't do or you're somehow bad for not doing things. So that gives these very negative associations with the word "religion," and people want to distance

themselves from that.

2.2

And then the second reason why spirituality is often used as a euphemism is actually as a way of assuaging the worries of Christians who -- and other monotheists who would consider practicing another religion idolatrous, but who are able to rationalize engaging in a practice that's spiritual and not religious or universally spiritual and then somehow can be accommodated with their particular expression of belief. And both of those tendencies --

THE COURT: I mean, like American Indians and the Mexican culture with the Day of the Dead where spirits come back.

THE WITNESS: I'm not so much saying that, but -THE COURT: The Holy Ghost.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. No, what I'm talking about,
I'm kind of saying you're spiritual, but not religious,
this is language that I find everywhere. Not just in
yoga, but in this broader world of alternative America and
surveys of America where increasingly people are saying,
"What I believe is spiritual, it's sacred, but it's not
religion." Because they're thinking, "I don't want to be
like Christianity. My definition of religion, it's
Christian. I'm not that. I don't care about creeds, I
don't care about doctrines, but I want to have the
spiritual connection to kind of a greater transcendent
reality or I want to cultivate these ethical and moral
virtues, but I don't want to identify with all these

negative things that are associated with Christianity."

2.2

So that's more what I'm meaning than kind of
Native American spirituality. We've talked about
spiritualism. It actually has a very particular meaning,
which is about kind of communicating spirits of the dead.
And that's not really what I'm talking about here either.

I'm talking about kind of this -- maybe this will help: So spirituality -- this is why I'm saying spirituality is a subset of religion. So whether or not you call it religion, it's still -- it still serves very similar functions. So going back to that functional definition of religion.

THE COURT: You're calling it religion.

THE WITNESS: I'm calling it religion. I'm calling spirituality a subset of religion. So even if it's untethered to specific religious traditions, even if it's nondenominational, it's nonsectarian, it's accepting of other beliefs -- and these are kind of -- again, I'm pulling from what I hear for when people say, "I'm spiritual and not religious," they'll say, "But it's nondominational. It's nonsectarian."

You can have different beliefs that are mixed in with it. It can apply across different religions. So how is it religion? If the same thing can be believed by Buddhists and by Hindus, then it must not be religion.

But my point here is that regardless of any of these things, spirituality still makes metaphysical or, in other words, more than physical assumptions about the

nature of reality.

Spirituality still will often distinguish that which is sacred from that which is profane. Or spirituality can function as a life philosophy to locate individuals within the cosmos, to cultivate ethical and moral character or to aspire from salvation from suffering existence or to try to establish a relationship or a union with the divine or with God.

And spirituality doesn't have to do all of these things, but it will often pick one or more of these kinds of goals, these metaphysical goals, which function very similarly to the way that religion functions.

And so it's a class of religion rather than a class by itself, is the way I would explain it.

MR. SLEETH: Your Honor, may we learn what the witness is reading from.

THE WITNESS: I just wrote out some notes so I don't miss things that I wanted to talk about. I haven't shared these with anyone. These are just my own thinking points.

THE COURT: Do you want to look at them?

MR. SLEETH: At the conclusion of this, I'd like to look at them.

THE WITNESS: Sure, that's fine.

THE COURT: The rules are if you're referring to something, he's got the right to --

THE WITNESS: No problem.

THE COURT: -- look at them.

1 THE WITNESS: I think it will all be in the court 2 record by the time we're done anyway, so there's not going 3 to be anything new to learn from this. As I said, I 4 haven't showed this to anyone. 5 THE COURT: He's got the right to look at it. 6 THE WITNESS: Yeah, no problem. 7 BY MR. BROYLES: What is Hinduism? 8 Q Okay. 9 Hinduism is the world's oldest surviving Α religious tradition or religion with a billion 10 11 practitioners making it the world's third largest 12 religion. Historically, there was considerable overlap 13 among --It's the oldest religion? 14 THE COURT: THE WITNESS: 15 It's the oldest surviving religion, 16 third largest. 17 And historically, there was considerable overlap 18 among South Asian religions that today we might call Hinduism, Buddhism, or Jainism. They didn't develop 19 20 completely independently of each other. So the term "Hindu" actually was first used by 21 2.2 Arab traders around the eighth century as a kind of 23 geographic and cultural kind of designation. So Indus 24 Valley/Hindu kind of sounds the same. And so it takes on the world "Hindu." 25 26 And then the term gets picked up by Westerners in 27 the nineteenth century to try and make sense of religious

traditions that they're just coming into contact with for

28

the first time. So ancient religious traditions that's new to Westerners. And so it's Westerners who actually start using the language of Hinduism.

But then over time, practitioners of Hinduism started to apply the term to themselves. So today Hindus call themselves Hindus. But it was a process that developed over time even though the tradition itself is very old. It's just language changes over time.

And the oldest literature which Hindus today will consider the revealed words of the divine are a body of oral texts known as Vedas or kind of knowledge. And so sometimes you'll talk about Vedic culture. It's referring --

THE COURT: V-e-d-a?

2.2

THE WITNESS: V-e-d-i-c. It's the same thing.

It's the early form of Hinduism. So when you read Vedic,

you can think Hindu essentially.

Now, in the post-Vedic Brahmanical tradition -- and I'm going to talk about Brahman here -- the all-pervading divine -- and this is a term we've talked about, what is divine, right? But the all-pervading divine existence or the reality behind everything in the universe is Brahman, another aspect of which is Atman.

So you've got Brahman, overall reality in the universe. You've got Atman, which is kind of universal spirit. And in one of the influential schools of Hindu philosophy, Advaita Vedanta, it focuses on the nonduality of Brahman and Atman.

And so basically here the premise is that the
Brahman, the universal spirit, the Atman, the kind of
individual spirit, kind of the self and the universe, are

4 the same. They're not separate from each other.

Now, there are also Hindu devotional schools where it is more of a dualistic belief and there is a sense of trying to aspire towards relationship with the divine. But in a very prominent field of Hinduism, the essence is to try to make a connection or union with the divine. And that's the tradition we're going to be talking about here in this court, is the nondualistic idea of trying to bring Atman and Brahman in connection with each other.

And so, yeah, that -- that's kind of the -- the big idea of Hinduism that I want to get there, kind of Brahman and Atman.

BY MR. BROYLES:

2.2

Q Does Hinduism involve religious beliefs and practices?

A Yes. I mean, Hinduism is a multifaceted religious tradition. It's actually the most complicated of the world religions. And it embraces wide varieties of beliefs and actually is intentionally very embracing and welcoming of differences in belief. And that's kind of one of the differences between, say, Christianity and Hinduism where for Christianity, it's very important to have correct doctrine or your heretical, right? You don't belong within the fold.

For Hinduism, you can believe a lot of different things and still be Hindu. That's -- it's this practice-oriented kind of religion, not this belief-oriented religion. Nonetheless, there's -- there are a lot of shared beliefs among many Hindus. instance, those would include belief in, say, reincarnation; that there's this cycle of life and births and deaths.

2.2

And actually a goal of Hinduism is to escape that cycle of births and deaths, and that's seen as liberation, is to not have to continue being reincarnated, but to become enlightened and to enter into kind of this union with the divine so that you don't have to keep on going in this endless cycle of births and deaths.

There's a shared belief in this kind of Brahman, this greater reality, this divine, this kind of universal everything. That's also very important. And there's a sense of kind of multiple manifestations of that define.

So this can be a point of confusion, too, where Hinduism can be polytheistic, but it actually doesn't have to be polytheistic. Gods and goddesses can be viewed as manifestations of Brahman. So they're just different representations, just different incarnations of Brahman rather than being kind of, "Well, I worship this god" or -- they're all kind of the same god, but they're different manifestations of God.

THE COURT: Who's Brahman?

THE WITNESS: Brahman is --

ĺ	I
1	THE COURT: Oh, Brahman or
2	THE WITNESS: Brahman, B-r-a-h-m-a-n.
3	THE COURT: That's why cows are sacred?
4	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
5	THE COURT: Shows you I don't
6	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, because
7	everything's, right because everything
8	THE COURT: Brahman is a bull.
9	THE WITNESS: Right, but Brahman in yes,
10	right? So this would be one kind of these schools, right?
11	Brahman is the infinite. It's the reality behind
12	everything in existence. It's the absolute. It's not
13	exactly parallel to the Christian definition of God, but
14	that's the roughest kind of parallel
15	THE COURT: This goes back to like the eighth
16	century, you said?
17	THE WITNESS: Well, I mean, no. I mean, Hinduism
18	goes back much beyond that. This is where the oldest
19	Vedic text they date back to 1500 B.C.E. So very, very
20	old. And it's only the term "Hindu" that's of recent
21	origin. The Hindu religion goes much, much further back
22	than that.
23	So Brahman is this greater reality. And, I mean,
24	often the term "god" is actually used for Brahman by many
25	Hindus. But that's what Brahman is. It's that greater
26	underlying reality.
27	And then so so also shared beliefs by
28	Hindus would include the idea of pursuing a spiritual path

of self-development, ethical moral character kind of growth for the goal of reaching this -- this union or this relationship with the divine and achieving liberation from this cycle of life and death or reincarnation.

2.2

Practices of Hinduism can also vary. It can include worship. So pujas, kind of devotion to icons or statues which can be seen as manifestations of God. That's not necessarily -- I mean, that doesn't -- just like there's variety of beliefs, there's variety of practices. So that's not an essential feature of Hinduism.

It can involve different symbols, such as the lotus and the mandala are very sacred for many Hindus or the cow, right, because there are symbolic meanings, significances. Often there are associations with particular deities.

THE COURT: What's a lotus?

THE WITNESS: I was planning to talk more about a lotus as we go, but it's a very -- it's a flower, but it's seen as a very sacred flower that kind of came from the naval of the god and that rec- -- kind of symbolizes kind of growing up from the muck and kind of growing into something very beautiful. And I'll say more about the religious significance of lotuses later, but it's -- for now, I'll just say that it's a very sacred flower for Hindus.

And also animals occupy a very important place in Hinduism. They're seen as vehicles for gods and

goddesses. They're also seen as aspects of Vishnu or of Shiva some of these divine manifestations.

2.2

Mantras are praise and prayer that through their meaning, through their sound, and through the style by which they are chanted focus the mind on holy thoughts or express devotion to God or to deities. And so the best-known mantra is om, right, which is Sanskrit. And it's considered a sacred sound. In fact, so sacred that om is believed to in some sense be Brahman, right? It represents and it actually is, in some sense, Brahman.

And so saying om -- and I'll actually give you a couple quotes as I go on here. Saying om brings you into connection. You actually can become Brahman as you repeat om over and over again meditatively. And it's because of the sound of the word, the language in which it's spoken, it's being chanted, it's seen as very, very sacred, whereas kind of most words in English wouldn't be seen as sacred in that way.

So those are some of the beliefs and practices that can be central in Hinduism.

THE COURT: Om is a word?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. It means kind of the infinite. It means Brahman. It means God. It means everything. It's this -- see, this is where I have to give you multiple definitions because these are seen as ineffable in some ways, concepts. It's so holy, it's so sacred that you can't give a single definition for it.

THE COURT: The picture I have is the caricature

```
of somebody meditating saying, "Om."
 1
 2
               That's what you're talking about?
               THE WITNESS: I'm talking about this, saying,
 3
 4
      "Om, om, om" repeatedly, right?
 5
               THE COURT: Like a 1960s movie?
               THE WITNESS: Yeah.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: I got it.
 8
               THE WITNESS: Not just in the '60s.
                                                    Still
 9
      actually very important practice.
               THE COURT:
                           That's what I thought I said.
10
11
               THE WITNESS: Yeah, exactly.
     BY MR. BROYLES:
12
               I think you already answered this question, but
13
      is Hinduism a religion?
14
15
               Yes. So, for instance, the Hinduism group of the
     American Academy of Religion says that Hinduism is a,
16
17
     quote, "distinctive world religious tradition."
18
               THE COURT: Mr. Broyles, it's 4:25. This seems
      like a good point to break. We're not going to finish
19
20
      today.
              I think the reporter probably would complain if
21
     we --
22
               MR. REYNOLDS: I hate to interrupt, your Honor,
23
     but the reporter deserves applause.
24
               THE WITNESS: Sorry. I talk a lot.
25
               THE COURT: She's a good reporter. I want to
26
     make sure she shows up tomorrow.
27
               MR. BROYLES:
                             This is a good time, your Honor.
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you. You'll be
28
```

Ī	
1	back tomorrow? You're not going back to England?
2	THE WITNESS: I'm not. I'll be here one more
3	day.
4	THE COURT: All right. Then we're off the record
5	or is there something you want to put on the record?
6	MR. BROYLES: Not at this time, your Honor.
7	MR. SLEETH: I'm a little concerned about time.
8	How many more days have we got?
9	THE COURT: We said two.
10	MR. SLEETH: I do recall telling the Court that I
11	had prepaid tickets to go someplace on Thursday, early in
12	the morning.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	MR. SLEETH: So we can't go too far. We're
15	moving real slow.
16	MR. BROYLES: That's yeah. Your Honor, I
17	think we can move a lot faster tomorrow. I don't know how
18	many witnesses they have because he has I'm not sure.
19	I haven't been told yet. So I don't know how many
20	witnesses they're planning on calling, but we should be
21	THE COURT: We can discuss it off the record.
22	Okay. Thank you. All right. We're off the
23	record, then, and see you tomorrow.
24	
25	(The proceedings were adjourned at 4:27 p.m.)
26	
27	
28	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA) : SS. COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO) I, Paula A. Rahn, CSR NO. 11510, hereby of that I reported in shorthand the above proceedings Monday, May 20, 2013, and I do further certify that above and foregoing pages numbered 1 to 240, inclusion of the said process.	s on at the usive, ceedings.
COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO) I, Paula A. Rahn, CSR NO. 11510, hereby of that I reported in shorthand the above proceedings Monday, May 20, 2013, and I do further certify that above and foregoing pages numbered 1 to 240, includes	s on at the usive, ceedings.
I, Paula A. Rahn, CSR NO. 11510, hereby of that I reported in shorthand the above proceedings Monday, May 20, 2013, and I do further certify that above and foregoing pages numbered 1 to 240, include	s on at the usive, ceedings.
I, Paula A. Rahn, CSR NO. 11510, hereby of that I reported in shorthand the above proceedings Monday, May 20, 2013, and I do further certify that above and foregoing pages numbered 1 to 240, include	s on at the usive, ceedings.
that I reported in shorthand the above proceedings Monday, May 20, 2013, and I do further certify that above and foregoing pages numbered 1 to 240, inclu	s on at the usive, ceedings.
Monday, May 20, 2013, and I do further certify that above and foregoing pages numbered 1 to 240, included	at the usive, ceedings.
8 above and foregoing pages numbered 1 to 240, inclu	usive, ceedings.
	ceedings.
9 contain a true and correct transcript of said prod	
	ted
I further certify that I am a disinterest	
11 person and am in no way interested in the outcome	of said
12 proceeding.	
13	
14 Dated: June 8, 2013.	
15	
16	
17	
18	
Paula A. Rahn	
CSR No. 11510	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	